



JPR
ARIZONA JUDICIAL PERFORMANCE REVIEW

[Home](#) > [Performance Reports](#) > Judicial Reports

Judicial Reports

Commission number votes may vary based upon abstentions. Voting abstentions are procedural matters within the Commission and should not be viewed in any way as a negative factor with respect to a judge's performance.

Maricopa County Voters Only

Hon. John A. Buttrick

Maricopa County Superior Court

Bench: Civil

Appointed: 2001

**100% of the Commission Voted Judge Buttrick
MEETS Judicial Performance Standards**

30 Commissioners Voted 'Meets'

0 Commissioners Voted 'Does Not Meet'

Show Surveys from Prior Years

2012	Attorney Surveys	Juror Surveys	Litigant Witness Surveys
	Distributed: 441 Returned: 138 Score (See Footnote)	Distributed: 17 Returned: 4 Score (See Footnote)	Distributed: 56 Returned: 11 Score (See Footnote)
Legal Ability	97%	n/a	n/a
Integrity	99%	100%	98%
Communication Skills	97%	100%	100%
Temperament	99%	100%	100%
Admin Performance	98%	100%	100%
Settlement Activities	91%	n/a	n/a

FOOTNOTE:

Judges are evaluated on their judicial performance midway through their term and prior to their retention election. Beginning in 2022, their survey evaluation scores include midterm scores and retention scores. Newly appointed judges serve a two-year term and are evaluated prior to their retention election on their retention scores. The score is the percentage of all evaluators who rated the judge "superior", "very good", or "satisfactory" in each of the Commission's evaluation categories. Depending on the assignment, a judge may not have responses in certain categories, indicated by N/A (for example, some judicial assignments do not require jury trials or no survey responses were returned). The JPR Commission votes "Yes" or "No" on whether a judge "MEETS" Judicial Performance Standards, based on the statistical information, as well as any other information submitted by the public or the judge. Further information on the judges and justices can be found at each court's website.



JPR
ARIZONA JUDICIAL PERFORMANCE REVIEW

[Home](#) > [Performance Reports](#) > Judicial Reports

Judicial Reports

Commission number votes may vary based upon abstentions. Voting abstentions are procedural matters within the Commission and should not be viewed in any way as a negative factor with respect to a judge's performance.

WHO JUDGES THE JUDGES?



Arizona Commission on Judicial Performance Review

WE CAN HELP.

Hon. John A. Buttrick

2012 Attorney Survey Responses

Key: **SU** = Superior **VG** = Very Good **SA** = Satisfactory **PO** = Poor **UN** = Unsatisfactory

	UN		PO		SA		VG		SU		Mean	Total	No Resp
	Num.	Pct.	Num.	Pct.	Num.	Pct.	Num.	Pct.	Num.	Pct.			
1. Legal Ability													
93. Legal reasoning ability	1	1%	3	2%	8	6%	33	24%	91	67%	3.54	136	2
94. Knowledge of substantive law	1	1%	3	2%	7	5%	28	21%	93	70%	3.58	132	6
95. Knowledge of rules of evidence	1	1%	2	2%	5	4%	26	23%	81	70%	3.60	115	23
96. Knowledge of rules of procedure	1	1%	2	2%	7	5%	27	21%	94	72%	3.61	131	7
Category Total	4	1%	10	2%	27	5%	114	22%	359	70%	3.58	514	
2. Integrity													
97. Basic fairness and impartiality	1	1%	1	1%	8	6%	24	18%	101	75%	3.65	135	3
98. Equal treatment regardless of race	0	0%	0	0%	6	7%	10	11%	71	82%	3.75	87	51
99. Equal treatment regardless of gender	0	0%	0	0%	7	7%	11	11%	79	81%	3.74	97	41
100. Equal treatment regardless of religion	1	1%	0	0%	5	6%	9	11%	65	81%	3.71	80	58
101. Equal treatment regardless of national origin	0	0%	1	1%	4	5%	9	11%	65	82%	3.75	79	59
102. Equal treatment regardless of disability	0	0%	0	0%	5	6%	9	12%	64	82%	3.76	78	60
103. Equal treatment regardless of age	0	0%	0	0%	5	6%	10	11%	72	83%	3.77	87	51
104. Equal treatment regardless of sexual orientation	0	0%	0	0%	5	7%	9	12%	61	81%	3.75	75	63
105. Equal treatment regardless of economic status	1	1%	1	1%	5	6%	11	12%	72	80%	3.69	90	48
Category Total	3	0%	3	0%	50	6%	102	13%	650	80%	3.72	808	
3. Communication Skills													
106. Clear and logical oral communications and directions	0	0%	3	2%	8	6%	23	17%	103	75%	3.65	137	1
107. Clear and logical written decisions	1	1%	5	4%	10	8%	25	20%	84	67%	3.49	125	13

108. Gave all parties an adequate opportunity to be heard	1	1%	0	0%	9	7%	20	15%	107	78%	3.69	137	1
Category Total	2	1%	8	2%	27	7%	68	17%	294	74%	3.61	399	
4. Temperament													
109. Understanding and compassion	0	0%	3	2%	9	7%	27	21%	91	70%	3.58	130	8
110. Dignified	0	0%	0	0%	7	5%	27	20%	102	75%	3.70	136	2
111. Courteous	0	0%	0	0%	7	5%	26	19%	103	76%	3.71	136	2
112. Conduct that promoted public confidence in the court and judge's ability	1	1%	1	1%	7	5%	20	15%	105	78%	3.69	134	4
113. Patient	0	0%	0	0%	10	7%	26	19%	98	73%	3.66	134	4
Category Total	1	0%	4	1%	40	6%	126	19%	499	74%	3.67	670	
5. Admin Performance													
114. Punctual in conducting proceedings	0	0%	5	4%	11	8%	32	24%	87	64%	3.49	135	3
115. Maintained proper control over courtroom	1	1%	0	0%	8	6%	31	23%	95	70%	3.62	135	3
116. Prompt in making rulings and rendering decisions	1	1%	2	1%	7	5%	33	24%	92	68%	3.58	135	3
117. Was prepared for the proceedings	1	1%	1	1%	6	4%	28	21%	100	74%	3.65	136	2
118. Efficient management of the calendar	1	1%	2	2%	8	6%	26	21%	87	70%	3.58	124	14
Category Total	4	1%	10	2%	40	6%	150	23%	461	69%	3.58	665	
6. Settlement Activities													
119. Appropriately promoted or conducted settlement	0	0%	3	9%	6	18%	8	24%	16	48%	3.12	33	105
Category Total	0	0%	3	9%	6	18%	8	24%	16	48%	3.12	33	



JPR
ARIZONA JUDICIAL PERFORMANCE REVIEW

[Home](#) > [Performance Reports](#) > Judicial Reports

Judicial Reports

Commission number votes may vary based upon abstentions. Voting abstentions are procedural matters within the Commission and should not be viewed in any way as a negative factor with respect to a judge's performance.

WHO JUDGES THE JUDGES?



Arizona Commission on Judicial Performance Review

WE CAN HELP.

Hon. John A. Buttrick

2012 Juror Survey Responses

Key: **SU** = Superior **VG** = Very Good **SA** = Satisfactory **PO** = Poor **UN** = Unsatisfactory

	UN		PO		SA		VG		SU		Mean	Total	No Resp
	Num.	Pct.	Num.	Pct.	Num.	Pct.	Num.	Pct.	Num.	Pct.			
1. Integrity													
57. Basic fairness and impartiality	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	1	25%	3	75%	3.75	4	0
58. Equal treatment regardless of race	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	4	100%	4.00	4	0
59. Equal treatment regardless of gender	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	4	100%	4.00	4	0
60. Equal treatment regardless of religion	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	4	100%	4.00	4	0
61. Equal treatment regardless of national origin	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	4	100%	4.00	4	0
62. Equal treatment regardless of disability	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	4	100%	4.00	4	0
63. Equal treatment regardless of age	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	4	100%	4.00	4	0
64. Equal treatment regardless of sexual orientation	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	3	100%	4.00	3	1
65. Equal treatment regardless of economic status	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	4	100%	4.00	4	0
Category Total	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	1	3%	34	97%	3.97	35	
2. Communication Skills													
66. Explained proceedings to the jury	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	4	100%	4.00	4	0
67. Explained reasons for delays	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	4	100%	4.00	4	0
68. Clearly explained the juror's responsibilities	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	4	100%	4.00	4	0
Category Total	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	12	100%	4.00	12	
3. Temperament													
69. Understanding and Compassion	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	4	100%	4.00	4	0
70. Dignified	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	4	100%	4.00	4	0
71. Courteous	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	4	100%	4.00	4	0

72. Conduct that promotes public confidence in the court and judge's ability	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	4	100%	4.00	4	0
73. Patient	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	4	100%	4.00	4	0
Category Total	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	20	100%	4.00	20	
4. Admin Performance													
74. Punctuality in conducting proceedings	0	0%	0	0%	1	25%	0	0%	3	75%	3.50	4	0
75. Maintained proper control of courtroom	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	4	100%	4.00	4	0
76. Was prepared for the proceedings	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	4	100%	4.00	4	0
Category Total	0	0%	0	0%	1	8%	0	0%	11	92%	3.83	12	



JPR
ARIZONA JUDICIAL PERFORMANCE REVIEW

[Home](#) > [Performance Reports](#) > Judicial Reports

Judicial Reports

Commission number votes may vary based upon abstentions. Voting abstentions are procedural matters within the Commission and should not be viewed in any way as a negative factor with respect to a judge's performance.

WHO JUDGES THE JUDGES?



Arizona Commission on Judicial Performance Review

WE CAN HELP.

Hon. John A. Buttrick

2012 Litigant Witness Survey Responses

Key: **SU** = Superior **VG** = Very Good **SA** = Satisfactory **PO** = Poor **UN** = Unsatisfactory

	UN		PO		SA		VG		SU		Mean	Total	No Resp
	Num.	Pct.	Num.	Pct.	Num.	Pct.	Num.	Pct.	Num.	Pct.			
1. Integrity													
38. Basic fairness and impartiality	1	9%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	10	91%	3.64	11	0
39. Equal treatment regardless of race	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	1	13%	7	88%	3.88	8	3
40. Equal treatment regardless of gender	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	1	10%	9	90%	3.90	10	1
41. Equal treatment regardless of religion	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	1	13%	7	88%	3.88	8	3
42. Equal treatment regardless of national origin	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	1	11%	8	89%	3.89	9	2
43. Equal treatment regardless of disability	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	1	11%	8	89%	3.89	9	2
44. Equal treatment regardless of age	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	1	11%	8	89%	3.89	9	2
45. Equal treatment regardless of sexual orientation	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	1	13%	7	88%	3.88	8	3
46. Equal treatment regardless of economic status	1	10%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	9	90%	3.60	10	1
Category Total	2	2%	0	0%	0	0%	7	9%	73	89%	3.82	82	
2. Communication Skills													
47. Explained proceedings	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	1	9%	10	91%	3.91	11	0
48. Explained reasons for delays	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	7	100%	4.00	7	4
Category Total	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	1	6%	17	94%	3.94	18	
3. Temperament													
49. Understanding and compassion	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	11	100%	4.00	11	0
50. Dignified	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	11	100%	4.00	11	0
51. Courteous	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	11	100%	4.00	11	0
52. Conduct that promotes public confidence in the court	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	1	9%	10	91%	3.91	11	0

53. Patient	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	11	100%	4.00	11	0
Category Total	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	1	2%	54	98%	3.98	55	
4. Admin Performance													
54. Punctual in conducting proceedings	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	11	100%	4.00	11	0
55. Maintained proper control of courtroom	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	11	100%	4.00	11	0
56. Was prepared for the proceedings	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	1	9%	10	91%	3.91	11	0
Category Total	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	1	3%	32	97%	3.97	33	