



**JPR**  
ARIZONA JUDICIAL PERFORMANCE REVIEW

[Home](#) > [Performance Reports](#) > Judicial Reports

## Judicial Reports

Commission number votes may vary based upon abstentions. Voting abstentions are procedural matters within the Commission and should not be viewed in any way as a negative factor with respect to a judge's performance.

Apache, Coconino, La Paz, Mohave, Navajo, Yavapai and Yuma Voters Only

### Hon. Michael J. Brown

Court of Appeals Division I  
Appointed: 2007

**100% of the Commission Voted Judge Brown MEETS Judicial Performance Standards**  
32 Commissioners Voted 'Meets'  
0 Commissioners Voted 'Does Not Meet'

[View Decisions](#)

Show Surveys from Prior Years

2016	Attorney Surveys	Peer Judge Surveys	Superior Court Judge Surveys
	Distributed: 576 Returned: 106 Score (See Footnote)	Distributed: 15 Returned: 13 Score (See Footnote)	Distributed: 146 Returned: 48 Score (See Footnote)
Legal Ability	87%	100%	97%
Integrity	99%	100%	94%
Communication	100%	100%	n/a
Temperament	100%	100%	n/a
Admin Performance	86%	100%	98%

#### FOOTNOTE:

Judges are evaluated on their judicial performance midway through their term and prior to their retention election. Beginning in 2022, their survey evaluation scores include midterm scores and retention scores. Newly appointed judges serve a two-year term and are evaluated prior to their retention election on their retention scores. The score is the percentage of all evaluators who rated the judge "superior", "very good", or "satisfactory" in each of the Commission's evaluation categories. Depending on the assignment, a judge may not have responses in certain categories, indicated by N/A (for example, some judicial assignments do not require jury trials or no survey responses were returned). The JPR Commission votes "Yes" or "No" on whether a judge "MEETS" Judicial Performance Standards, based on the statistical information, as well as any other information submitted by the public or the judge. Further information on the judges and justices can be found at each court's website.



20160166. Attentiveness	0	0%	0	0%	6	9%	19	28%	43	63%	3.54	68
20160167. Deameanor in communications with counsel	0	0%	0	0%	4	6%	18	28%	42	66%	3.59	64
20160168. Relevant questions	0	0%	0	0%	8	12%	17	25%	42	63%	3.51	67
20160169. Preparation for oral argument	0	0%	0	0%	7	11%	17	27%	39	62%	3.51	63
<b>Category Total</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>25</b>	<b>10%</b>	<b>71</b>	<b>27%</b>	<b>166</b>	<b>63%</b>	<b>3.54</b>	<b>262</b>
<b>5. Temperament</b>												
20160170. Dignified	0	0%	0	0%	5	8%	13	20%	46	72%	3.64	64
20160171. Courteous	0	0%	0	0%	4	6%	14	22%	46	72%	3.66	64
20160172. Patient	0	0%	0	0%	2	3%	18	29%	43	68%	3.65	63
20160173. Conduct that promotes confidence in the court and judge"s ability	0	0%	0	0%	3	5%	16	26%	42	69%	3.64	61
<b>Category Total</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>6%</b>	<b>61</b>	<b>24%</b>	<b>177</b>	<b>70%</b>	<b>3.65</b>	<b>252</b>
<b>6. Communication</b>												
20160256. Appropriate restrictions on counsel during argument	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	1	25%	3	75%	3.75	4
<b>Category Total</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>25%</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>75%</b>	<b>3.75</b>	<b>4</b>



20160230. Attentiveness	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	2	15%	11	85%	3.85	13	0
20160231. Appropriate restrictions on counsel during argument	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	2	15%	11	85%	3.85	13	0
20160232. Relevant questions	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	1	8%	12	92%	3.92	13	0
<b>Category Total</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>13%</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>87%</b>	<b>3.87</b>	<b>39</b>	
<b>4. Temperament</b>													
20160233. Dignified	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	13	100%	4.00	13	0
20160234. Courteous	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	13	100%	4.00	13	0
20160235. Patient	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	13	100%	4.00	13	0
20160236. Conduct that promotes public confidence in the court and judge's ability	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	13	100%	4.00	13	0
<b>Category Total</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>52</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>4.00</b>	<b>52</b>	
<b>5. Admin Performance</b>													
20160237. Promptness in making rulings and rendering decisions	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	4	31%	9	69%	3.69	13	0
20160238. Prepared for proceedings	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	13	100%	4.00	13	0
20160239. Works effectively with other judges	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	13	100%	4.00	13	0
20160240. Works effectively with other court personnel	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	12	100%	4.00	12	0
20160241. Effective handling of ongoing workload	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	2	15%	11	85%	3.85	13	0
<b>Category Total</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>9%</b>	<b>58</b>	<b>91%</b>	<b>3.91</b>	<b>64</b>	



20160090. Promptness in making rulings and rendering decisions	1	3%	0	0%	7	18%	12	30%	20	50%	3.25	40	0
<b>Category Total</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>2%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>18%</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>30%</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>50%</b>	<b>3.25</b>	<b>40</b>	