



JPR
ARIZONA JUDICIAL PERFORMANCE REVIEW

[Home](#) > [Performance Reports](#) > Judicial Reports

Judicial Reports

Commission number votes may vary based upon abstentions. Voting abstentions are procedural matters within the Commission and should not be viewed in any way as a negative factor with respect to a judge's performance.

Maricopa County Voters Only

Hon. Jo Lynn Gentry

Maricopa County Superior Court

Bench: Civil

Appointed: 2005

44% of the Commission Voted Judge Gentry MEETS Judicial Performance Standards

14 Commissioners Voted 'Meets'

17 Commissioners Voted 'Does Not Meet'

Show Surveys from Prior Years

2016	Attorney Surveys	Juror Surveys	Litigant Witness Surveys
	Distributed: 129 Returned: 25 Score (See Footnote)	Distributed: 18 Returned: 4 Score (See Footnote)	Distributed: 103 Returned: 0 Score (See Footnote)
Legal Ability	81%	n/a	n/a
Integrity	82%	85%	n/a
Communication	75%	92%	n/a
Temperament	88%	75%	n/a
Admin Performance	88%	83%	n/a
Settlement Activities	80%	n/a	n/a

FOOTNOTE:

Judges are evaluated on their judicial performance midway through their term and prior to their retention election. Beginning in 2022, their survey evaluation scores include midterm scores and retention scores. Newly appointed judges serve a two-year term and are evaluated prior to their retention election on their retention scores. The score is the percentage of all evaluators who rated the judge "superior", "very good", or "satisfactory" in each of the Commission's evaluation categories. Depending on the assignment, a judge may not have responses in certain categories, indicated by N/A (for example, some judicial assignments do not require jury trials or no survey responses were returned). The JPR Commission votes "Yes" or "No" on whether a judge "MEETS" Judicial Performance Standards, based on the statistical information, as well as any other information submitted by the public or the judge. Further information on the judges and justices can be found at each court's website.

20160106. Clear and logical oral communications and directions	1	5%	4	20%	4	20%	4	20%	7	35%	2.60	20	0
20160107. Clear and logical written decisions	2	12%	3	18%	4	24%	2	12%	6	35%	2.41	17	0
20160108. Gave all parties an adequate opportunity to be heard	1	5%	3	16%	4	21%	1	5%	10	53%	2.84	19	0
Category Total	4	7%	10	18%	12	21%	7	12%	23	41%	2.62	56	
4. Temperament													
20160109. Understanding and compassion	1	5%	2	11%	6	32%	3	16%	7	37%	2.68	19	0
20160110. Dignified	1	5%	0	0%	7	33%	6	29%	7	33%	2.86	21	0
20160111. Courteous	1	5%	1	5%	5	23%	5	23%	10	45%	3.00	22	0
20160112. Conduct that promoted public confidence in the court and judge's ability	2	9%	3	14%	4	18%	6	27%	7	32%	2.59	22	0
20160113. Patient	1	5%	1	5%	3	15%	6	30%	9	45%	3.05	20	0
Category Total	6	6%	7	7%	25	24%	26	25%	40	38%	2.84	104	
5. Admin Performance													
20160114. Punctual in conducting proceedings	0	0%	1	5%	9	41%	3	14%	9	41%	2.91	22	0
20160115. Maintained proper control over courtroom	1	5%	2	9%	5	23%	6	27%	8	36%	2.82	22	0
20160116. Prompt in making rulings and rendering decisions	2	10%	0	0%	7	35%	4	20%	7	35%	2.70	20	0
20160117. Was prepared for the proceedings	2	10%	4	20%	2	10%	4	20%	8	40%	2.60	20	0
20160118. Efficient management of the calendar	0	0%	0	0%	6	35%	3	18%	8	47%	3.12	17	0
Category Total	5	5%	7	7%	29	29%	20	20%	40	40%	2.82	101	
6. Settlement Activities													
20160119. Appropriately promoted or conducted settlement	1	20%	0	0%	2	40%	1	20%	1	20%	2.20	5	0
Category Total	1	20%	0	0%	2	40%	1	20%	1	20%	2.20	5	

_____ . _____



JPR
ARIZONA JUDICIAL PERFORMANCE REVIEW

[Home](#) > [Performance Reports](#) > Judicial Reports

Judicial Reports

Commission number votes may vary based upon abstentions. Voting abstentions are procedural matters within the Commission and should not be viewed in any way as a negative factor with respect to a judge's performance.

WHO JUDGES THE JUDGES?



Arizona Commission on Judicial Performance Review

WE CAN HELP.

Hon. Jo Lynn Gentry

2016 Juror Survey Responses

Key: **SU** = Superior **VG** = Very Good **SA** = Satisfactory **PO** = Poor **UN** = Unsatisfactory

	UN		PO		SA		VG		SU		Mean	Total	No Resp
	Num.	Pct.	Num.	Pct.	Num.	Pct.	Num.	Pct.	Num.	Pct.			
1. Integrity													
20160057. Basic fairness and impartiality	1	25%	0	0%	1	25%	0	0%	2	50%	2.50	4	0
20160058. Equal treatment regardless of race	0	0%	0	0%	1	33%	0	0%	2	67%	3.33	3	0
20160059. Equal treatment regardless of gender	0	0%	0	0%	1	33%	0	0%	2	67%	3.33	3	0
20160060. Equal treatment regardless of religion	0	0%	0	0%	1	33%	0	0%	2	67%	3.33	3	0
20160061. Equal treatment regardless of national origin	0	0%	0	0%	1	33%	0	0%	2	67%	3.33	3	0
20160062. Equal treatment regardless of disability	0	0%	0	0%	1	33%	0	0%	2	67%	3.33	3	0
20160063. Equal treatment regardless of age	0	0%	1	33%	0	0%	0	0%	2	67%	3.00	3	0
20160064. Equal treatment regardless of sexual orientation	0	0%	1	33%	0	0%	0	0%	2	67%	3.00	3	0
20160065. Equal treatment regardless of economic status	0	0%	1	50%	0	0%	0	0%	1	50%	2.50	2	0
Category Total	1	4%	3	11%	6	22%	0	0%	17	63%	3.07	27	
2. Communication													
20160066. Explained proceedings to the jury	0	0%	0	0%	1	25%	1	25%	2	50%	3.25	4	0
20160067. Explained reasons for delays	1	25%	0	0%	0	0%	1	25%	2	50%	2.75	4	0
20160068. Clearly explained the juror's responsibilities	0	0%	0	0%	1	25%	1	25%	2	50%	3.25	4	0
Category Total	1	8%	0	0%	2	17%	3	25%	6	50%	3.08	12	
3. Temperament													
20160069. Understanding and Compassion	1	25%	0	0%	1	25%	0	0%	2	50%	2.50	4	0

20160070. Dignified	1	25%	0	0%	1	25%	0	0%	2	50%	2.50	4	0
20160071. Courteous	1	25%	0	0%	1	25%	0	0%	2	50%	2.50	4	0
20160072. Conduct that promotes public confidence in the court and judge's ability	1	25%	0	0%	1	25%	0	0%	2	50%	2.50	4	0
20160073. Patient	1	25%	0	0%	1	25%	0	0%	2	50%	2.50	4	0
Category Total	5	25%	0	0%	5	25%	0	0%	10	50%	2.50	20	
4. Admin Performance													
20160074. Punctuality in conducting proceedings	1	25%	0	0%	0	0%	1	25%	2	50%	2.75	4	0
20160075. Maintained proper control of courtroom	0	0%	0	0%	2	50%	0	0%	2	50%	3.00	4	0
20160076. Was prepared for the proceedings	1	25%	0	0%	0	0%	1	25%	2	50%	2.75	4	0
Category Total	2	17%	0	0%	2	17%	2	17%	6	50%	2.83	12	
