



JPR
ARIZONA JUDICIAL PERFORMANCE REVIEW

[Home](#) > [Performance Reports](#) > [Judicial Reports](#)

Judicial Reports

Commission number votes may vary based upon abstentions. Voting abstentions are procedural matters within the Commission and should not be viewed in any way as a negative factor with respect to a judge's performance.

Cochise, Gila, Graham, Greenlee, Pinal and Santa Cruz County Voters Only

Hon. Garye L Vasquez
Court of Appeals Division II
Appointed: 2006

100% of the Commission Voted Judge Vasquez MEETS Judicial Performance Standards
32 Commissioners Voted 'Meets'
0 Commissioners Voted 'Does Not Meet'

Show Surveys from Prior Years

2020	Attorney Surveys	Superior Court Judge Surveys
	Distributed: 362 Returned: 48 Score (See Footnote)	Distributed: 189 Returned: 46 Score (See Footnote)
Legal Ability	96%	98%
Integrity	100%	100%
Communication	100%	n/a
Temperament	n/a	n/a
Admin Performance	100%	100%

FOOTNOTE:

Judges are evaluated on their judicial performance midway through their term and prior to their retention election. Beginning in 2022, their survey evaluation scores include midterm scores and retention scores. Newly appointed judges serve a two-year term and are evaluated prior to their retention election on their retention scores. The score is the percentage of all evaluators who rated the judge "superior", "very good", or "satisfactory" in each of the Commission's evaluation categories. Depending on the assignment, a judge may not have responses in certain categories, indicated by N/A (for example, some judicial assignments do not require jury trials or no survey responses were returned). The JPR Commission votes "Yes" or "No" on whether a judge "MEETS" Judicial Performance Standards, based on the statistical information, as well as any other information submitted by the public or the judge. Further information on the judges and justices can be found at each court's website.



JPR
ARIZONA JUDICIAL PERFORMANCE REVIEW

[Home](#) > [Performance Reports](#) > Judicial Reports

Judicial Reports

Commission number votes may vary based upon abstentions. Voting abstentions are procedural matters within the Commission and should not be viewed in any way as a negative factor with respect to a judge's performance.

WHO JUDGES THE JUDGES?



Arizona Commission on Judicial Performance Review

WE CAN HELP.

Hon. Garye L Vasquez

2020 Attorney Survey Responses

Key: **SU** = Superior **VG** = Very Good **SA** = Satisfactory **PO** = Poor **UN** = Unsatisfactory

	SU		VG		SA		PO		UN		Mean	Total
	Num.	Pct.	Num.	Pct.	Num.	Pct.	Num.	Pct.	Num.	Pct.		
1. Legal Ability												
1. Legal reasoning ability	39	71%	8	15%	6	11%	0	0%	2	4%	3.49	55
2. Knowledge of law	38	68%	11	20%	5	9%	1	2%	1	2%	3.50	56
3. Decisions based on laws and facts	38	68%	10	18%	5	9%	1	2%	2	4%	3.45	56
4. Clearly written, legally supported decisions	40	71%	9	16%	5	9%	0	0%	2	4%	3.52	56
Category Total	155	70%	38	17%	21	9%	2	1%	7	3%	3.49	223
2. Integrity												
5. Basic fairness and impartiality	29	67%	9	21%	4	9%	0	0%	1	2%	3.51	43
6. Equal treatment regardless of race	14	64%	7	32%	1	5%	0	0%	0	0%	3.59	22
7. Equal treatment regardless of gender	14	67%	6	29%	1	5%	0	0%	0	0%	3.62	21
8. Equal treatment regardless of religion	11	61%	6	33%	1	6%	0	0%	0	0%	3.56	18
9. Equal treatment regardless of national origin	13	65%	6	30%	1	5%	0	0%	0	0%	3.60	20
10. Equal treatment regardless of disability	13	68%	5	26%	1	5%	0	0%	0	0%	3.63	19
11. Equal treatment regardless of age	15	68%	6	27%	1	5%	0	0%	0	0%	3.64	22
12. Equal treatment regardless of sexual orientation	11	61%	6	33%	1	6%	0	0%	0	0%	3.56	18
13. Equal treatment regardless of economic status	16	70%	6	26%	1	4%	0	0%	0	0%	3.65	23

Category Total	136	66%	57	28%	12	6%	0	0%	1	0%	3.59	206
3. Communication												
14. Attentiveness	9	100%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	4.00	9
16. Appropriate restrictions on counsel during argument	9	100%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	4.00	9
17. Relevant questions	9	100%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	4.00	9
Category Total	27	100%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	4.00	27
4. Admin Performance												
24. Promptness in making rulings and rendering decisions	36	71%	10	20%	5	10%	0	0%	0	0%	3.61	51
Category Total	36	71%	10	20%	5	10%	0	0%	0	0%	3.61	51



JPR
ARIZONA JUDICIAL PERFORMANCE REVIEW

[Home](#) > [Performance Reports](#) > Judicial Reports

Judicial Reports

Commission number votes may vary based upon abstentions. Voting abstentions are procedural matters within the Commission and should not be viewed in any way as a negative factor with respect to a judge's performance.

WHO JUDGES THE JUDGES?



Arizona Commission on Judicial Performance Review

WE CAN HELP.

Hon. Garye L Vasquez

2020 Superior Court Judge Survey Responses

Key: **SU** = Superior **VG** = Very Good **SA** = Satisfactory **PO** = Poor **UN** = Unsatisfactory

	SU		VG		SA		PO		UN		Mean	Total	No Resp
	Num.	Pct.	Num.	Pct.	Num.	Pct.	Num.	Pct.	Num.	Pct.			
1. Legal Ability													
1. Legal reasoning ability	33	79%	7	17%	1	2%	1	2%	0	0%	3.71	42	0
2. Knowledge of the law	32	76%	8	19%	1	2%	1	2%	0	0%	3.69	42	0
3. Decisions based on law and facts	33	79%	7	17%	1	2%	1	2%	0	0%	3.71	42	0
4. Clearly written, legally supported decisions	33	79%	7	17%	1	2%	1	2%	0	0%	3.71	42	0
Category Total	131	78%	29	17%	4	2%	4	2%	0	0%	3.71	168	
2. Integrity													
5. Basic fairness and impartiality	35	92%	3	8%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	3.92	38	0
6. Equal treatment regardless of race	31	94%	2	6%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	3.94	33	0
7. Equal treatment regardless of gender	30	94%	2	6%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	3.94	32	0
8. Equal treatment regardless of religion	30	94%	2	6%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	3.94	32	0
9. Equal treatment regardless of national origin	30	94%	2	6%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	3.94	32	0
10. Equal treatment regardless of disability	30	94%	2	6%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	3.94	32	0
11. Equal treatment regardless of age	30	94%	2	6%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	3.94	32	0
12. Equal treatment regardless of sexual orientation	30	94%	2	6%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	3.94	32	0

13. Equal treatment regardless of economic status	30	94%	2	6%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	3.94	32	0
Category Total	276	94%	19	6%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	3.94	295	
3. Admin Performance													
14. Promptness in making rulings and rendering decisions	18	72%	6	24%	1	4%	0	0%	0	0%	3.68	25	0
Category Total	18	72%	6	24%	1	4%	0	0%	0	0%	3.68	25	