



JPR
ARIZONA JUDICIAL PERFORMANCE REVIEW

[Home](#) > [Performance Reports](#) > Judicial Reports

Judicial Reports

Commission number votes may vary based upon abstentions. Voting abstentions are procedural matters within the Commission and should not be viewed in any way as a negative factor with respect to a judge's performance.

Maricopa County Voters Only

Hon. Randall M. Howe
Court of Appeals Division I
Appointed: 2012

100% of the Commission Voted Judge Howe MEETS Judicial Performance Standards
33 Commissioners Voted 'Meets'
0 Commissioners Voted 'Does Not Meet'

Show Surveys from Prior Years

2020	Attorney Surveys	Superior Court Judge Surveys
	Distributed: 683 Returned: 101 Score (See Footnote)	Distributed: 153 Returned: 61 Score (See Footnote)
Legal Ability	87%	97%
Integrity	95%	97%
Communication	96%	n/a
Temperament	95%	n/a
Admin Performance	98%	100%

FOOTNOTE:

Judges are evaluated on their judicial performance midway through their term and prior to their retention election. Beginning in 2022, their survey evaluation scores include midterm scores and retention scores. Newly appointed judges serve a two-year term and are evaluated prior to their retention election on their retention scores. The score is the percentage of all evaluators who rated the judge "superior", "very good", or "satisfactory" in each of the Commission's evaluation categories. Depending on the assignment, a judge may not have responses in certain categories, indicated by N/A (for example, some judicial assignments do not require jury trials or no survey responses were returned). The JPR Commission votes "Yes" or "No" on whether a judge "MEETS" Judicial Performance Standards, based on the statistical information, as well as any other information submitted by the public or the judge. Further information on the judges and justices can be found at each court's website.



JPR
ARIZONA JUDICIAL PERFORMANCE REVIEW

[Home](#) > [Performance Reports](#) > Judicial Reports

Judicial Reports

Commission number votes may vary based upon abstentions. Voting abstentions are procedural matters within the Commission and should not be viewed in any way as a negative factor with respect to a judge's performance.

WHO JUDGES THE JUDGES?



Arizona Commission on Judicial Performance Review

WE CAN HELP.

Hon. Randall M. Howe

2020 Attorney Survey Responses

Key: **SU** = Superior **VG** = Very Good **SA** = Satisfactory **PO** = Poor **UN** = Unsatisfactory

	SU		VG		SA		PO		UN		Mean	Total
	Num.	Pct.	Num.	Pct.	Num.	Pct.	Num.	Pct.	Num.	Pct.		
1. Legal Ability												
1. Legal reasoning ability	40	60%	13	19%	4	6%	7	10%	3	4%	3.19	67
2. Knowledge of law	41	62%	12	18%	6	9%	5	8%	2	3%	3.29	66
3. Decisions based on laws and facts	40	60%	13	19%	4	6%	7	10%	3	4%	3.19	67
4. Clearly written, legally supported decisions	39	59%	14	21%	5	8%	6	9%	2	3%	3.24	66
Category Total	160	60%	52	20%	19	7%	25	9%	10	4%	3.23	266
2. Integrity												
5. Basic fairness and impartiality	39	68%	8	14%	5	9%	2	4%	3	5%	3.37	57
6. Equal treatment regardless of race	24	80%	4	13%	1	3%	0	0%	1	3%	3.67	30
7. Equal treatment regardless of gender	27	69%	8	21%	2	5%	1	3%	1	3%	3.51	39
8. Equal treatment regardless of religion	24	80%	3	10%	1	3%	1	3%	1	3%	3.60	30
9. Equal treatment regardless of national origin	23	79%	4	14%	1	3%	0	0%	1	3%	3.66	29
10. Equal treatment regardless of disability	27	79%	5	15%	1	3%	0	0%	1	3%	3.68	34
11. Equal treatment regardless of age	25	76%	5	15%	2	6%	0	0%	1	3%	3.61	33
12. Equal treatment regardless of sexual orientation	23	77%	4	13%	2	7%	0	0%	1	3%	3.60	30
13. Equal treatment regardless of economic status	26	79%	4	12%	0	0%	2	6%	1	3%	3.58	33
Category Total	238	76%	45	14%	15	5%	6	2%	11	3%	3.57	315

3. Communication												
14. Attentiveness	34	76%	7	16%	4	9%	0	0%	0	0%	3.67	45
15. Deameanor in communications with counsel	27	71%	7	18%	3	8%	1	3%	0	0%	3.58	38
16. Appropriate restrictions on counsel during argument	5	71%	2	29%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	3.71	7
17. Relevant questions	30	65%	10	22%	3	7%	2	4%	1	2%	3.43	46
18. Preparation for oral argument	27	73%	5	14%	2	5%	1	3%	2	5%	3.46	37
Category Total	123	71%	31	18%	12	7%	4	2%	3	2%	3.54	173
4. Temperament												
19. Dignified	30	77%	5	13%	4	10%	0	0%	0	0%	3.67	39
21. Courteous	29	76%	5	13%	2	5%	2	5%	0	0%	3.61	38
22. Patient	29	74%	5	13%	2	5%	3	8%	0	0%	3.54	39
23. Conduct that promotes confidence in the court and judge's ability	29	74%	4	10%	3	8%	1	3%	2	5%	3.46	39
Category Total	117	75%	19	12%	11	7%	6	4%	2	1%	3.57	155
5. Admin Performance												
24. Promptness in making rulings and rendering decisions	32	54%	14	24%	12	20%	1	2%	0	0%	3.31	59
Category Total	32	54%	14	24%	12	20%	1	2%	0	0%	3.31	59



JPR
ARIZONA JUDICIAL PERFORMANCE REVIEW

[Home](#) > [Performance Reports](#) > Judicial Reports

Judicial Reports

Commission number votes may vary based upon abstentions. Voting abstentions are procedural matters within the Commission and should not be viewed in any way as a negative factor with respect to a judge's performance.

WHO JUDGES THE JUDGES?



Arizona Commission on Judicial Performance Review

WE CAN HELP.

Hon. Randall M. Howe

2020 Superior Court Judge Survey Responses

Key: **SU** = Superior **VG** = Very Good **SA** = Satisfactory **PO** = Poor **UN** = Unsatisfactory

	SU		VG		SA		PO		UN		Mean	Total	No Resp
	Num.	Pct.	Num.	Pct.	Num.	Pct.	Num.	Pct.	Num.	Pct.			
1. Legal Ability													
1. Legal reasoning ability	40	67%	15	25%	3	5%	1	2%	1	2%	3.53	60	0
2. Knowledge of the law	39	65%	15	25%	4	7%	1	2%	1	2%	3.50	60	0
3. Decisions based on law and facts	39	65%	15	25%	4	7%	1	2%	1	2%	3.50	60	0
4. Clearly written, legally supported decisions	38	64%	16	27%	3	5%	1	2%	1	2%	3.51	59	0
Category Total	156	65%	61	26%	14	6%	4	2%	4	2%	3.51	239	
2. Integrity													
5. Basic fairness and impartiality	33	72%	10	22%	2	4%	1	2%	0	0%	3.63	46	0
6. Equal treatment regardless of race	23	68%	8	24%	2	6%	1	3%	0	0%	3.56	34	0
7. Equal treatment regardless of gender	23	66%	9	26%	2	6%	1	3%	0	0%	3.54	35	0
8. Equal treatment regardless of religion	22	67%	8	24%	2	6%	1	3%	0	0%	3.55	33	0
9. Equal treatment regardless of national origin	22	67%	8	24%	2	6%	1	3%	0	0%	3.55	33	0
10. Equal treatment regardless of disability	21	66%	8	25%	2	6%	1	3%	0	0%	3.53	32	0
11. Equal treatment regardless of age	22	67%	8	24%	2	6%	1	3%	0	0%	3.55	33	0
12. Equal treatment regardless of sexual orientation	22	67%	8	24%	2	6%	1	3%	0	0%	3.55	33	0

13. Equal treatment regardless of economic status	23	68%	8	24%	2	6%	1	3%	0	0%	3.56	34	0
Category Total	211	67%	75	24%	18	6%	9	3%	0	0%	3.56	313	
3. Admin Performance													
14. Promptness in making rulings and rendering decisions	30	63%	11	23%	7	15%	0	0%	0	0%	3.48	48	0
Category Total	30	62%	11	23%	7	15%	0	0%	0	0%	3.48	48	