



**JPR**  
ARIZONA JUDICIAL PERFORMANCE REVIEW

[Home](#) > [Performance Reports](#) > Judicial Reports

## Judicial Reports

Commission number votes may vary based upon abstentions. Voting abstentions are procedural matters within the Commission and should not be viewed in any way as a negative factor with respect to a judge's performance.

### Pinal County Voters Only

**Hon. Joseph R. Georgini**  
Pinal County Superior Court  
Bench: Civil  
Appointed: 2006

**100% of the Commission Voted Judge Georgini MEETS Judicial Performance Standards**  
33 Commissioners Voted 'Meets'  
0 Commissioners Voted 'Does Not Meet'

Show Surveys from Prior Years

2020	<b>Attorney Surveys</b> Distributed: 106 Returned: 31 <b>Score (See Footnote)</b>	<b>Juror Surveys</b> Distributed: 0 Returned: 0 <b>Score (See Footnote)</b>	<b>Litigant Witness Surveys</b> Distributed: 405 Returned: 53 <b>Score (See Footnote)</b>
Legal Ability	96%	n/a	n/a
Integrity	100%	n/a	98%
Communication	99%	n/a	98%
Temperament	99%	n/a	98%
Admin Performance	100%	n/a	96%
Settlement Activities	100%	n/a	n/a

#### FOOTNOTE:

Judges are evaluated on their judicial performance midway through their term and prior to their retention election. Beginning in 2022, their survey evaluation scores include midterm scores and retention scores. Newly appointed judges serve a two-year term and are evaluated prior to their retention election on their retention scores. The score is the percentage of all evaluators who rated the judge "superior", "very good", or "satisfactory" in each of the Commission's evaluation categories. Depending on the assignment, a judge may not have responses in certain categories, indicated by N/A (for example, some judicial assignments do not require jury trials or no survey responses were returned). The JPR Commission votes "Yes" or "No" on whether a judge "MEETS" Judicial Performance Standards, based on the statistical information, as well as any other information submitted by the public or the judge. Further information on the judges and justices can be found at each court's website.



**JPR**  
ARIZONA JUDICIAL PERFORMANCE REVIEW

[Home](#) > [Performance Reports](#) > Judicial Reports

## Judicial Reports

Commission number votes may vary based upon abstentions. Voting abstentions are procedural matters within the Commission and should not be viewed in any way as a negative factor with respect to a judge's performance.

WHO JUDGES THE JUDGES?



## Arizona Commission on Judicial Performance Review

WE CAN HELP.

Hon. Joseph R. Georgini

2020 Attorney Survey Responses

Key: **SU** = Superior **VG** = Very Good **SA** = Satisfactory **PO** = Poor **UN** = Unsatisfactory

	SU		VG		SA		PO		UN		Mean	Total	No Resp
	Num.	Pct.	Num.	Pct.	Num.	Pct.	Num.	Pct.	Num.	Pct.			
<b>1. Legal Ability</b>													
1. Legal reasoning ability	17	61%	9	32%	1	4%	1	4%	0	0%	3.50	28	0
2. Knowledge of substantive law	19	70%	5	19%	2	7%	1	4%	0	0%	3.56	27	0
3. Knowledge of rules of evidence	18	69%	7	27%	0	0%	1	4%	0	0%	3.62	26	0
4. Knowledge of rules of procedure	19	68%	6	21%	2	7%	1	4%	0	0%	3.54	28	0
<b>Category Total</b>	<b>73</b>	<b>67%</b>	<b>27</b>	<b>25%</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>5%</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>4%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>3.55</b>	<b>109</b>	
<b>2. Integrity</b>													
5. Basic fairness and impartiality	20	67%	10	33%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	3.67	30	0
6. Equal treatment regardless of race	20	71%	8	29%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	3.71	28	0
7. Equal treatment regardless of gender	20	71%	8	29%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	3.71	28	0
8. Equal treatment regardless of religion	18	72%	7	28%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	3.72	25	0
9. Equal treatment regardless of national origin	20	74%	7	26%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	3.74	27	0
10. Equal treatment regardless of disability	16	70%	7	30%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	3.70	23	0
11. Equal treatment regardless of age	18	69%	8	31%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	3.69	26	0

12. Equal treatment regardless of sexual orientation	16	70%	6	26%	1	4%	0	0%	0	0%	3.65	23	0
13. Equal treatment regardless of economic status	18	69%	7	27%	1	4%	0	0%	0	0%	3.65	26	0
<b>Category Total</b>	<b>166</b>	<b>70%</b>	<b>68</b>	<b>29%</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>1%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>3.69</b>	<b>236</b>	
<b>3. Communication</b>													
14. Clear and logical oral communications and directions	22	76%	6	21%	1	3%	0	0%	0	0%	3.72	29	0
15. Clear and logical written decisions	15	68%	6	27%	0	0%	1	5%	0	0%	3.59	22	0
16. Gave all parties an adequate opportunity to be heard	22	76%	5	17%	2	7%	0	0%	0	0%	3.69	29	0
<b>Category Total</b>	<b>59</b>	<b>74%</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>21%</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>4%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>3.68</b>	<b>80</b>	
<b>4. Temperament</b>													
17. Understanding and compassion	23	77%	5	17%	2	7%	0	0%	0	0%	3.70	30	0
18. Dignified	24	80%	4	13%	2	7%	0	0%	0	0%	3.73	30	0
19. Courteous	24	80%	4	13%	2	7%	0	0%	0	0%	3.73	30	0
20. Conduct that promoted public confidence in the court and judge's ability	25	83%	4	13%	1	3%	0	0%	0	0%	3.80	30	0
21. Patient	23	77%	4	13%	2	7%	1	3%	0	0%	3.63	30	0
<b>Category Total</b>	<b>119</b>	<b>79%</b>	<b>21</b>	<b>14%</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>6%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>3.72</b>	<b>150</b>	
<b>5. Admin Performance</b>													
22. Punctual in conducting proceedings	20	67%	7	23%	3	10%	0	0%	0	0%	3.57	30	0
23. Maintained proper control over courtroom	23	77%	6	20%	1	3%	0	0%	0	0%	3.73	30	0
24. Prompt in making rulings and rendering decisions	22	76%	6	21%	1	3%	0	0%	0	0%	3.72	29	0
25. Was prepared for the proceedings	23	77%	5	17%	2	7%	0	0%	0	0%	3.70	30	0
26. Efficient management of the calendar	22	76%	6	21%	1	3%	0	0%	0	0%	3.72	29	0
<b>Category Total</b>	<b>110</b>	<b>74%</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>20%</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>5%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>3.69</b>	<b>148</b>	
<b>6. Settlement Activities</b>													
27. Appropriately promoted or conducted settlement	13	68%	4	21%	2	11%	0	0%	0	0%	3.58	19	0
<b>Category Total</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>68%</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>21%</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>11%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>3.58</b>	<b>19</b>	



**JPR**  
ARIZONA JUDICIAL PERFORMANCE REVIEW

[Home](#) > [Performance Reports](#) > Judicial Reports

## Judicial Reports

Commission number votes may vary based upon abstentions. Voting abstentions are procedural matters within the Commission and should not be viewed in any way as a negative factor with respect to a judge's performance.

WHO JUDGES THE JUDGES?



## Arizona Commission on Judicial Performance Review

WE CAN HELP.

Hon. Joseph R. Georgini

2020 Litigant Witness Survey Responses

Key: **SU** = Superior **VG** = Very Good **SA** = Satisfactory **PO** = Poor **UN** = Unsatisfactory

	SU		VG		SA		PO		UN		Mean	Total	No Resp
	Num.	Pct.	Num.	Pct.	Num.	Pct.	Num.	Pct.	Num.	Pct.			
<b>1. Integrity</b>													
1. Basic fairness and impartiality	37	73%	9	18%	4	8%	0	0%	1	2%	3.59	51	0
2. Equal treatment regardless of race	37	74%	12	24%	0	0%	0	0%	1	2%	3.68	50	0
3. Equal treatment regardless of gender	39	76%	10	20%	1	2%	0	0%	1	2%	3.69	51	0
4. Equal treatment regardless of religion	37	77%	10	21%	0	0%	0	0%	1	2%	3.71	48	0
5. Equal treatment regardless of national origin	39	78%	10	20%	0	0%	0	0%	1	2%	3.72	50	0
6. Equal treatment regardless of disability	38	79%	9	19%	0	0%	0	0%	1	2%	3.73	48	0
7. Equal treatment regardless of age	40	80%	9	18%	0	0%	0	0%	1	2%	3.74	50	0
8. Equal treatment regardless of sexual orientation	36	77%	10	21%	0	0%	0	0%	1	2%	3.70	47	0
9. Equal treatment regardless of economic status	38	81%	7	15%	1	2%	0	0%	1	2%	3.72	47	0
<b>Category Total</b>	<b>341</b>	<b>77%</b>	<b>86</b>	<b>19%</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>1%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>2%</b>	<b>3.70</b>	<b>442</b>	
<b>2. Communication</b>													
10. Explained proceedings	42	79%	7	13%	3	6%	0	0%	1	2%	3.68	53	0
11. Explained reasons for delays	36	82%	4	9%	3	7%	0	0%	1	2%	3.68	44	0
<b>Category Total</b>	<b>78</b>	<b>80%</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>11%</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>6%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>2%</b>	<b>3.68</b>	<b>97</b>	

<b>3. Temperament</b>													
12. Understanding and compassion	41	77%	6	11%	5	9%	0	0%	1	2%	3.62	53	0
13. Dignified	42	79%	8	15%	2	4%	0	0%	1	2%	3.70	53	0
14. Courteous	42	79%	9	17%	1	2%	0	0%	1	2%	3.72	53	0
15. Conduct that promotes public confidence in the court	42	79%	8	15%	2	4%	0	0%	1	2%	3.70	53	0
16. Patient	40	77%	8	15%	3	6%	0	0%	1	2%	3.65	52	0
<b>Category Total</b>	<b>207</b>	<b>78%</b>	<b>39</b>	<b>15%</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>5%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>2%</b>	<b>3.68</b>	<b>264</b>	
<b>4. Admin Performance</b>													
17. Punctual in conducting proceedings	40	77%	8	15%	2	4%	1	2%	1	2%	3.63	52	0
18. Maintained proper control of courtroom	42	81%	8	15%	0	0%	1	2%	1	2%	3.71	52	0
19. Was prepared for the proceedings	42	81%	6	12%	1	2%	2	4%	1	2%	3.65	52	0
<b>Category Total</b>	<b>124</b>	<b>79%</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>14%</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>2%</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>3%</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>2%</b>	<b>3.67</b>	<b>156</b>	