



**JPR**  
ARIZONA JUDICIAL PERFORMANCE REVIEW

[Home](#) > [Performance Reports](#) > Judicial Reports

## Judicial Reports

Commission number votes may vary based upon abstentions. Voting abstentions are procedural matters within the Commission and should not be viewed in any way as a negative factor with respect to a judge's performance.

### Pinal County Voters Only

**Hon. Robert Carter Olson**  
Pinal County Superior Court  
Bench: Civil  
Appointed: 2018

**100% of the Commission Voted Judge Olson MEETS Judicial Performance Standards**  
33 Commissioners Voted 'Meets'  
0 Commissioners Voted 'Does Not Meet'

Show Surveys from Prior Years

2020	Attorney Surveys Distributed: 114 Returned: 37 Score (See Footnote)	Juror Surveys Distributed: 0 Returned: 0 Score (See Footnote)	Litigant Witness Surveys Distributed: 133 Returned: 46 Score (See Footnote)
Legal Ability	100%	n/a	n/a
Integrity	99%	n/a	100%
Communication	98%	n/a	100%
Temperament	99%	n/a	100%
Admin Performance	100%	n/a	100%
Settlement Activities	100%	n/a	n/a

#### FOOTNOTE:

Judges are evaluated on their judicial performance midway through their term and prior to their retention election. Beginning in 2022, their survey evaluation scores include midterm scores and retention scores. Newly appointed judges serve a two-year term and are evaluated prior to their retention election on their retention scores. The score is the percentage of all evaluators who rated the judge "superior", "very good", or "satisfactory" in each of the Commission's evaluation categories. Depending on the assignment, a judge may not have responses in certain categories, indicated by N/A (for example, some judicial assignments do not require jury trials or no survey responses were returned). The JPR Commission votes "Yes" or "No" on whether a judge "MEETS" Judicial Performance Standards, based on the statistical information, as well as any other information submitted by the public or the judge. Further information on the judges and justices can be found at each court's website.



**JPR**  
ARIZONA JUDICIAL PERFORMANCE REVIEW

[Home](#) > [Performance Reports](#) > Judicial Reports

## Judicial Reports

Commission number votes may vary based upon abstentions. Voting abstentions are procedural matters within the Commission and should not be viewed in any way as a negative factor with respect to a judge's performance.

WHO JUDGES THE JUDGES?



## Arizona Commission on Judicial Performance Review

WE CAN HELP.

Hon. Robert Carter Olson

2020 Attorney Survey Responses

Key: **SU** = Superior **VG** = Very Good **SA** = Satisfactory **PO** = Poor **UN** = Unsatisfactory

	SU		VG		SA		PO		UN		Mean	Total	No Resp
	Num.	Pct.	Num.	Pct.	Num.	Pct.	Num.	Pct.	Num.	Pct.			
<b>1. Legal Ability</b>													
1. Legal reasoning ability	27	75%	7	19%	2	6%	0	0%	0	0%	3.69	36	0
2. Knowledge of substantive law	27	77%	6	17%	2	6%	0	0%	0	0%	3.71	35	0
3. Knowledge of rules of evidence	25	86%	3	10%	1	3%	0	0%	0	0%	3.83	29	0
4. Knowledge of rules of procedure	29	83%	5	14%	1	3%	0	0%	0	0%	3.80	35	0
<b>Category Total</b>	<b>108</b>	<b>80%</b>	<b>21</b>	<b>16%</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>4%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>3.76</b>	<b>135</b>	
<b>2. Integrity</b>													
5. Basic fairness and impartiality	29	78%	6	16%	2	5%	0	0%	0	0%	3.73	37	0
6. Equal treatment regardless of race	24	80%	4	13%	2	7%	0	0%	0	0%	3.73	30	0
7. Equal treatment regardless of gender	25	78%	5	16%	1	3%	1	3%	0	0%	3.69	32	0
8. Equal treatment regardless of religion	23	79%	4	14%	2	7%	0	0%	0	0%	3.72	29	0
9. Equal treatment regardless of national origin	23	79%	4	14%	2	7%	0	0%	0	0%	3.72	29	0
10. Equal treatment regardless of disability	24	80%	5	17%	1	3%	0	0%	0	0%	3.77	30	0
11. Equal treatment regardless of age	25	81%	4	13%	2	6%	0	0%	0	0%	3.74	31	0

12. Equal treatment regardless of sexual orientation	23	79%	4	14%	1	3%	0	0%	1	3%	3.66	29	0
13. Equal treatment regardless of economic status	24	73%	5	15%	3	9%	0	0%	1	3%	3.55	33	0
<b>Category Total</b>	<b>220</b>	<b>79%</b>	<b>41</b>	<b>15%</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>6%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>1%</b>	<b>3.70</b>	<b>280</b>	
<b>3. Communication</b>													
14. Clear and logical oral communications and directions	29	78%	6	16%	1	3%	0	0%	1	3%	3.68	37	0
15. Clear and logical written decisions	25	78%	4	13%	3	9%	0	0%	0	0%	3.69	32	0
16. Gave all parties an adequate opportunity to be heard	28	78%	5	14%	2	6%	0	0%	1	3%	3.64	36	0
<b>Category Total</b>	<b>82</b>	<b>78%</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>14%</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>6%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>2%</b>	<b>3.67</b>	<b>105</b>	
<b>4. Temperament</b>													
17. Understanding and compassion	26	72%	8	22%	2	6%	0	0%	0	0%	3.67	36	0
18. Dignified	28	78%	7	19%	1	3%	0	0%	0	0%	3.75	36	0
19. Courteous	29	81%	6	17%	1	3%	0	0%	0	0%	3.78	36	0
20. Conduct that promoted public confidence in the court and judge's ability	27	77%	5	14%	2	6%	1	3%	0	0%	3.66	35	0
21. Patient	28	80%	6	17%	1	3%	0	0%	0	0%	3.77	35	0
<b>Category Total</b>	<b>138</b>	<b>78%</b>	<b>32</b>	<b>18%</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>4%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>3.72</b>	<b>178</b>	
<b>5. Admin Performance</b>													
22. Punctual in conducting proceedings	25	68%	10	27%	2	5%	0	0%	0	0%	3.62	37	0
23. Maintained proper control over courtroom	28	82%	5	15%	1	3%	0	0%	0	0%	3.79	34	0
24. Prompt in making rulings and rendering decisions	28	80%	6	17%	1	3%	0	0%	0	0%	3.77	35	0
25. Was prepared for the proceedings	29	81%	6	17%	1	3%	0	0%	0	0%	3.78	36	0
26. Efficient management of the calendar	27	82%	5	15%	1	3%	0	0%	0	0%	3.79	33	0
<b>Category Total</b>	<b>137</b>	<b>78%</b>	<b>32</b>	<b>18%</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>3%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>3.75</b>	<b>175</b>	
<b>6. Settlement Activities</b>													
27. Appropriately promoted or conducted settlement	10	59%	4	24%	3	18%	0	0%	0	0%	3.41	17	0
<b>Category Total</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>59%</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>24%</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>18%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>3.41</b>	<b>17</b>	



**JPR**  
ARIZONA JUDICIAL PERFORMANCE REVIEW

[Home](#) > [Performance Reports](#) > Judicial Reports

## Judicial Reports

Commission number votes may vary based upon abstentions. Voting abstentions are procedural matters within the Commission and should not be viewed in any way as a negative factor with respect to a judge's performance.

WHO JUDGES THE JUDGES?



## Arizona Commission on Judicial Performance Review

WE CAN HELP.

Hon. Robert Carter Olson

2020 Litigant Witness Survey Responses

Key: **SU** = Superior **VG** = Very Good **SA** = Satisfactory **PO** = Poor **UN** = Unsatisfactory

	SU		VG		SA		PO		UN		Mean	Total	No Resp
	Num.	Pct.	Num.	Pct.	Num.	Pct.	Num.	Pct.	Num.	Pct.			
<b>1. Integrity</b>													
1. Basic fairness and impartiality	37	82%	6	13%	2	4%	0	0%	0	0%	3.78	45	0
2. Equal treatment regardless of race	38	84%	6	13%	1	2%	0	0%	0	0%	3.82	45	0
3. Equal treatment regardless of gender	37	82%	7	16%	1	2%	0	0%	0	0%	3.80	45	0
4. Equal treatment regardless of religion	34	79%	8	19%	1	2%	0	0%	0	0%	3.77	43	0
5. Equal treatment regardless of national origin	36	82%	7	16%	1	2%	0	0%	0	0%	3.80	44	0
6. Equal treatment regardless of disability	36	82%	7	16%	1	2%	0	0%	0	0%	3.80	44	0
7. Equal treatment regardless of age	37	80%	8	17%	1	2%	0	0%	0	0%	3.78	46	0
8. Equal treatment regardless of sexual orientation	37	84%	6	14%	1	2%	0	0%	0	0%	3.82	44	0
9. Equal treatment regardless of economic status	36	84%	5	12%	1	2%	1	2%	0	0%	3.77	43	0
<b>Category Total</b>	<b>328</b>	<b>82%</b>	<b>60</b>	<b>15%</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>3%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>3.79</b>	<b>399</b>	
<b>2. Communication</b>													
10. Explained proceedings	39	85%	5	11%	2	4%	0	0%	0	0%	3.80	46	0
11. Explained reasons for delays	34	85%	4	10%	2	5%	0	0%	0	0%	3.80	40	0
<b>Category Total</b>	<b>73</b>	<b>85%</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>10%</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>5%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>3.80</b>	<b>86</b>	

<b>3. Temperament</b>													
12. Understanding and compassion	39	87%	4	9%	2	4%	0	0%	0	0%	3.82	45	0
13. Dignified	39	85%	5	11%	2	4%	0	0%	0	0%	3.80	46	0
14. Courteous	40	87%	4	9%	2	4%	0	0%	0	0%	3.83	46	0
15. Conduct that promotes public confidence in the court	39	85%	5	11%	2	4%	0	0%	0	0%	3.80	46	0
16. Patient	40	87%	4	9%	2	4%	0	0%	0	0%	3.83	46	0
<b>Category Total</b>	<b>197</b>	<b>86%</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>10%</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>4%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>3.82</b>	<b>229</b>	
<b>4. Admin Performance</b>													
17. Punctual in conducting proceedings	35	76%	8	17%	3	7%	0	0%	0	0%	3.70	46	0
18. Maintained proper control of courtroom	39	87%	5	11%	1	2%	0	0%	0	0%	3.84	45	0
19. Was prepared for the proceedings	35	78%	9	20%	1	2%	0	0%	0	0%	3.76	45	0
<b>Category Total</b>	<b>109</b>	<b>80%</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>16%</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>4%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>3.76</b>	<b>136</b>	