



JPR
ARIZONA JUDICIAL PERFORMANCE REVIEW

[Home](#) > [Performance Reports](#) > Judicial Reports

Judicial Reports

Commission number votes may vary based upon abstentions. Voting abstentions are procedural matters within the Commission and should not be viewed in any way as a negative factor with respect to a judge's performance.

Cochise, Gila, Graham, Greenlee, Pinal and Santa Cruz County Voters Only

Hon. Karl C. Eppich
Court of Appeals Division II
Appointed: 2017

**100% of the Commission Voted Judge Eppich
MEETS Judicial Performance Standards**
33 Commissioners Voted 'Meets'
0 Commissioners Voted 'Does Not Meet'

Show Surveys from Prior Years

2020	Attorney Surveys	Superior Court Judge Surveys
	Distributed: 195 Returned: 23 Score (See Footnote)	Distributed: 109 Returned: 29 Score (See Footnote)
Legal Ability	91%	100%
Integrity	100%	100%
Communication	100%	n/a
Temperament	n/a	n/a
Admin Performance	100%	100%

FOOTNOTE:

Judges are evaluated on their judicial performance midway through their term and prior to their retention election. Beginning in 2022, their survey evaluation scores include midterm scores and retention scores. Newly appointed judges serve a two-year term and are evaluated prior to their retention election on their retention scores. The score is the percentage of all evaluators who rated the judge "superior", "very good", or "satisfactory" in each of the Commission's evaluation categories. Depending on the assignment, a judge may not have responses in certain categories, indicated by N/A (for example, some judicial assignments do not require jury trials or no survey responses were returned). The JPR Commission votes "Yes" or "No" on whether a judge "MEETS" Judicial Performance Standards, based on the statistical information, as well as any other information submitted by the public or the judge. Further information on the judges and justices can be found at each court's website.



JPR
ARIZONA JUDICIAL PERFORMANCE REVIEW

[Home](#) > [Performance Reports](#) > Judicial Reports

Judicial Reports

Commission number votes may vary based upon abstentions. Voting abstentions are procedural matters within the Commission and should not be viewed in any way as a negative factor with respect to a judge's performance.

WHO JUDGES THE JUDGES?



Arizona Commission on Judicial Performance Review

WE CAN HELP.

Hon. Karl C. Eppich

2020 Attorney Survey Responses

Key: **SU** = Superior **VG** = Very Good **SA** = Satisfactory **PO** = Poor **UN** = Unsatisfactory

	SU		VG		SA		PO		UN		Mean	Total
	Num.	Pct.	Num.	Pct.	Num.	Pct.	Num.	Pct.	Num.	Pct.		
1. Legal Ability												
1. Legal reasoning ability	17	61%	7	25%	2	7%	2	7%	0	0%	3.39	28
2. Knowledge of law	17	63%	7	26%	1	4%	2	7%	0	0%	3.44	27
3. Decisions based on laws and facts	18	67%	6	22%	0	0%	3	11%	0	0%	3.44	27
4. Clearly written, legally supported decisions	17	61%	7	25%	1	4%	3	11%	0	0%	3.36	28
Category Total	69	63%	27	25%	4	4%	10	9%	0	0%	3.41	110
2. Integrity												
5. Basic fairness and impartiality	9	50%	8	44%	1	6%	0	0%	0	0%	3.44	18
6. Equal treatment regardless of race	6	46%	6	46%	1	8%	0	0%	0	0%	3.38	13
7. Equal treatment regardless of gender	7	54%	6	46%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	3.54	13
8. Equal treatment regardless of religion	5	45%	6	55%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	3.45	11
9. Equal treatment regardless of national origin	6	50%	6	50%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	3.50	12
10. Equal treatment regardless of disability	5	45%	6	55%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	3.45	11
11. Equal treatment regardless of age	7	54%	6	46%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	3.54	13
12. Equal treatment regardless of sexual orientation	5	45%	6	55%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	3.45	11
13. Equal treatment regardless of economic status	6	50%	6	50%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	3.50	12
Category Total	56	49%	56	49%	2	2%	0	0%	0	0%	3.47	114

3. Communication												
14. Attentiveness	6	100%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	4.00	6
16. Appropriate restrictions on counsel during argument	5	100%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	4.00	5
17. Relevant questions	6	100%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	4.00	6
Category Total	17	100%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	4.00	17
4. Admin Performance												
24. Promptness in making rulings and rendering decisions	19	70%	6	22%	2	7%	0	0%	0	0%	3.63	27
Category Total	19	70%	6	22%	2	7%	0	0%	0	0%	3.63	27



JPR
ARIZONA JUDICIAL PERFORMANCE REVIEW

[Home](#) > [Performance Reports](#) > Judicial Reports

Judicial Reports

Commission number votes may vary based upon abstentions. Voting abstentions are procedural matters within the Commission and should not be viewed in any way as a negative factor with respect to a judge's performance.

WHO JUDGES THE JUDGES?



Arizona Commission on Judicial Performance Review

WE CAN HELP.

Hon. Karl C. Eppich

2020 Superior Court Judge Survey Responses

Key: **SU** = Superior **VG** = Very Good **SA** = Satisfactory **PO** = Poor **UN** = Unsatisfactory

	SU		VG		SA		PO		UN		Mean	Total	No Resp
	Num.	Pct.	Num.	Pct.	Num.	Pct.	Num.	Pct.	Num.	Pct.			
1. Legal Ability													
1. Legal reasoning ability	21	88%	2	8%	1	4%	0	0%	0	0%	3.83	24	0
2. Knowledge of the law	21	88%	3	13%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	3.88	24	0
3. Decisions based on law and facts	22	92%	1	4%	1	4%	0	0%	0	0%	3.88	24	0
4. Clearly written, legally supported decisions	21	88%	2	8%	1	4%	0	0%	0	0%	3.83	24	0
Category Total	85	89%	8	8%	3	3%	0	0%	0	0%	3.85	96	
2. Integrity													
5. Basic fairness and impartiality	17	94%	1	6%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	3.94	18	0
6. Equal treatment regardless of race	12	92%	1	8%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	3.92	13	0
7. Equal treatment regardless of gender	12	92%	1	8%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	3.92	13	0
8. Equal treatment regardless of religion	12	92%	1	8%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	3.92	13	0
9. Equal treatment regardless of national origin	12	92%	1	8%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	3.92	13	0
10. Equal treatment regardless of disability	12	92%	1	8%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	3.92	13	0
11. Equal treatment regardless of age	12	92%	1	8%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	3.92	13	0
12. Equal treatment regardless of sexual orientation	12	92%	1	8%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	3.92	13	0

13. Equal treatment regardless of economic status	12	92%	1	8%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	3.92	13	0
Category Total	113	93%	9	7%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	3.93	122	
3. Admin Performance													
14. Promptness in making rulings and rendering decisions	10	71%	3	21%	1	7%	0	0%	0	0%	3.64	14	0
Category Total	10	71%	3	21%	1	7%	0	0%	0	0%	3.64	14	