



JPR
ARIZONA JUDICIAL PERFORMANCE REVIEW

[Home](#) > [Performance Reports](#) > Judicial Reports

Judicial Reports

Commission number votes may vary based upon abstentions. Voting abstentions are procedural matters within the Commission and should not be viewed in any way as a negative factor with respect to a judge's performance.

All Arizona Voters

Hon. John R. Lopez IV
Arizona Supreme Court
Appointed: 2017

100% of the Commission Voted Justice Lopez MEETS Judicial Performance Standards
33 Commissioners Voted 'Meets'
0 Commissioners Voted 'Does Not Meet'

Show Surveys from Prior Years

2020	Attorney Surveys	Superior Court Judge Surveys
	Distributed: 163 Returned: 19 Score (See Footnote)	Distributed: 6 Returned: 1 Score (See Footnote)
Legal Ability	100%	100%
Integrity	100%	100%
Communication	98%	n/a
Temperament	98%	n/a
Admin Performance	100%	100%

FOOTNOTE:

Judges are evaluated on their judicial performance midway through their term and prior to their retention election. Beginning in 2022, their survey evaluation scores include midterm scores and retention scores. Newly appointed judges serve a two-year term and are evaluated prior to their retention election on their retention scores. The score is the percentage of all evaluators who rated the judge "superior", "very good", or "satisfactory" in each of the Commission's evaluation categories. Depending on the assignment, a judge may not have responses in certain categories, indicated by N/A (for example, some judicial assignments do not require jury trials or no survey responses were returned). The JPR Commission votes "Yes" or "No" on whether a judge "MEETS" Judicial Performance Standards, based on the statistical information, as well as any other information submitted by the public or the judge. Further information on the judges and justices can be found at each court's website.



JPR
ARIZONA JUDICIAL PERFORMANCE REVIEW

[Home](#) > [Performance Reports](#) > Judicial Reports

Judicial Reports

Commission number votes may vary based upon abstentions. Voting abstentions are procedural matters within the Commission and should not be viewed in any way as a negative factor with respect to a judge's performance.

WHO JUDGES THE JUDGES?



Arizona Commission on Judicial Performance Review

WE CAN HELP.

Hon. John R. Lopez IV

2020 Attorney Survey Responses

Key: **SU** = Superior **VG** = Very Good **SA** = Satisfactory **PO** = Poor **UN** = Unsatisfactory

	SU		VG		SA		PO		UN		Mean	Total
	Num.	Pct.	Num.	Pct.	Num.	Pct.	Num.	Pct.	Num.	Pct.		
1. Legal Ability												
1. Legal reasoning ability	5	50%	3	30%	2	20%	0	0%	0	0%	3.30	10
2. Knowledge of law	5	50%	3	30%	2	20%	0	0%	0	0%	3.30	10
3. Decisions based on laws and facts	4	40%	5	50%	1	10%	0	0%	0	0%	3.30	10
4. Clearly written, legally supported decisions	5	50%	4	40%	1	10%	0	0%	0	0%	3.40	10
Category Total	19	48%	15	38%	6	15%	0	0%	0	0%	3.32	40
2. Integrity												
5. Basic fairness and impartiality	1	50%	1	50%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	3.50	2
6. Equal treatment regardless of race	0	0%	1	100%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	3.00	1
7. Equal treatment regardless of gender	1	50%	1	50%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	3.50	2
8. Equal treatment regardless of religion	0	0%	1	100%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	3.00	1
9. Equal treatment regardless of national origin	0	0%	1	100%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	3.00	1
10. Equal treatment regardless of disability	0	0%	1	100%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	3.00	1
11. Equal treatment regardless of age	0	0%	1	100%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	3.00	1
12. Equal treatment regardless of sexual orientation	0	0%	1	100%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	3.00	1

13. Equal treatment regardless of economic status	0	0%	1	100%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	3.00	1
Category Total	2	18%	9	82%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	3.18	11
3. Communication												
14. Attentiveness	15	71%	5	24%	1	5%	0	0%	0	0%	3.67	21
15. Deemeanor in communications with counsel	8	80%	2	20%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	3.80	10
16. Appropriate restrictions on counsel during argument	3	50%	3	50%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	3.50	6
17. Relevant questions	8	50%	8	50%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	3.50	16
18. Preparation for oral argument	5	50%	4	40%	0	0%	0	0%	1	10%	3.20	10
Category Total	39	62%	22	35%	1	2%	0	0%	1	2%	3.56	63
4. Temperament												
19. Dignified	9	69%	3	23%	1	8%	0	0%	0	0%	3.62	13
21. Courteous	9	69%	3	23%	1	8%	0	0%	0	0%	3.62	13
22. Patient	9	82%	2	18%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	3.82	11
23. Conduct that promotes confidence in the court and judge's ability	9	69%	3	23%	0	0%	0	0%	1	8%	3.46	13
Category Total	36	72%	11	22%	2	4%	0	0%	1	2%	3.62	50
5. Admin Performance												
24. Promptness in making rulings and rendering decisions	4	50%	1	13%	3	38%	0	0%	0	0%	3.12	8
Category Total	4	50%	1	12%	3	38%	0	0%	0	0%	3.12	8



JPR
ARIZONA JUDICIAL PERFORMANCE REVIEW

[Home](#) > [Performance Reports](#) > [Judicial Reports](#)

Judicial Reports

Commission number votes may vary based upon abstentions. Voting abstentions are procedural matters within the Commission and should not be viewed in any way as a negative factor with respect to a judge's performance.

WHO JUDGES THE JUDGES?



Arizona Commission on Judicial Performance Review

WE CAN HELP.

Hon. John R. Lopez IV

2020 Superior Court Judge Survey Responses

Key: **SU** = Superior **VG** = Very Good **SA** = Satisfactory **PO** = Poor **UN** = Unsatisfactory

	SU		VG		SA		PO		UN		Mean	Total	No Resp
	Num.	Pct.	Num.	Pct.	Num.	Pct.	Num.	Pct.	Num.	Pct.			
1. Legal Ability													
1. Legal reasoning ability	1	100%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	4.00	1	0
2. Knowledge of the law	1	100%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	4.00	1	0
3. Decisions based on law and facts	1	100%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	4.00	1	0
4. Clearly written, legally supported decisions	1	100%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	4.00	1	0
Category Total	4	100%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	4.00	4	
2. Integrity													
5. Basic fairness and impartiality	1	100%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	4.00	1	0
6. Equal treatment regardless of race	1	100%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	4.00	1	0
7. Equal treatment regardless of gender	1	100%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	4.00	1	0
8. Equal treatment regardless of religion	1	100%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	4.00	1	0
9. Equal treatment regardless of national origin	1	100%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	4.00	1	0
10. Equal treatment regardless of disability	1	100%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	4.00	1	0
11. Equal treatment regardless of age	1	100%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	4.00	1	0
12. Equal treatment regardless of sexual orientation	1	100%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	4.00	1	0

13. Equal treatment regardless of economic status	1	100%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	4.00	1	0
Category Total	9	100%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	4.00	9	
3. Admin Performance													
14. Promptness in making rulings and rendering decisions	1	100%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	4.00	1	0
Category Total	1	100%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	4.00	1	