



**JPR**  
ARIZONA JUDICIAL PERFORMANCE REVIEW

[Home](#) > [Performance Reports](#) > Judicial Reports

## Judicial Reports

Commission number votes may vary based upon abstentions. Voting abstentions are procedural matters within the Commission and should not be viewed in any way as a negative factor with respect to a judge's performance.

### Maricopa County Voters Only

**Hon. Scott Minder**

Maricopa County Superior Court

Bench: Family

Appointed: 2017

**100% of the Commission Voted Judge Minder MEETS Judicial Performance Standards**  
33 Commissioners Voted 'Meets'  
0 Commissioners Voted 'Does Not Meet'

Show Surveys from Prior Years

2020	<b>Attorney Surveys</b> Distributed: 132 Returned: 30 <b>Score (See Footnote)</b>	<b>Juror Surveys</b> Distributed: 0 Returned: 0 <b>Score (See Footnote)</b>	<b>Litigant Witness Surveys</b> Distributed: 428 Returned: 24 <b>Score (See Footnote)</b>
Legal Ability	100%	n/a	n/a
Integrity	96%	n/a	95%
Communication	100%	n/a	96%
Temperament	98%	n/a	96%
Admin Performance	100%	n/a	99%
Settlement Activities	100%	n/a	n/a

**FOOTNOTE:**

Judges are evaluated on their judicial performance midway through their term and prior to their retention election. Beginning in 2022, their survey evaluation scores include midterm scores and retention scores. Newly appointed judges serve a two-year term and are evaluated prior to their retention election on their retention scores. The score is the percentage of all evaluators who rated the judge "superior", "very good", or "satisfactory" in each of the Commission's evaluation categories. Depending on the assignment, a judge may not have responses in certain categories, indicated by N/A (for example, some judicial assignments do not require jury trials or no survey responses were returned). The JPR Commission votes "Yes" or "No" on whether a judge "MEETS" Judicial Performance Standards, based on the statistical information, as well as any other information submitted by the public or the judge. Further information on the judges and justices can be found at each court's website.



**JPR**  
ARIZONA JUDICIAL PERFORMANCE REVIEW

[Home](#) > [Performance Reports](#) > Judicial Reports

## Judicial Reports

Commission number votes may vary based upon abstentions. Voting abstentions are procedural matters within the Commission and should not be viewed in any way as a negative factor with respect to a judge's performance.

WHO JUDGES THE JUDGES?



## Arizona Commission on Judicial Performance Review

WE CAN HELP.

Hon. Scott Minder

2020 Attorney Survey Responses

Key: **SU** = Superior **VG** = Very Good **SA** = Satisfactory **PO** = Poor **UN** = Unsatisfactory

	SU		VG		SA		PO		UN		Mean	Total	No Resp
	Num.	Pct.	Num.	Pct.	Num.	Pct.	Num.	Pct.	Num.	Pct.			
<b>1. Legal Ability</b>													
1. Legal reasoning ability	13	46%	10	36%	5	18%	0	0%	0	0%	3.29	28	0
2. Knowledge of substantive law	13	46%	13	46%	2	7%	0	0%	0	0%	3.39	28	0
3. Knowledge of rules of evidence	13	50%	10	38%	3	12%	0	0%	0	0%	3.38	26	0
4. Knowledge of rules of procedure	13	46%	14	50%	1	4%	0	0%	0	0%	3.43	28	0
<b>Category Total</b>	<b>52</b>	<b>47%</b>	<b>47</b>	<b>43%</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>10%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>3.37</b>	<b>110</b>	
<b>2. Integrity</b>													
5. Basic fairness and impartiality	16	53%	9	30%	3	10%	1	3%	1	3%	3.27	30	0
6. Equal treatment regardless of race	14	74%	3	16%	2	11%	0	0%	0	0%	3.63	19	0
7. Equal treatment regardless of gender	15	56%	8	30%	2	7%	1	4%	1	4%	3.30	27	0
8. Equal treatment regardless of religion	11	69%	3	19%	2	13%	0	0%	0	0%	3.56	16	0
9. Equal treatment regardless of national origin	12	71%	3	18%	2	12%	0	0%	0	0%	3.59	17	0
10. Equal treatment regardless of disability	11	65%	4	24%	2	12%	0	0%	0	0%	3.53	17	0
11. Equal treatment regardless of age	14	70%	4	20%	1	5%	0	0%	1	5%	3.50	20	0

12. Equal treatment regardless of sexual orientation	10	67%	3	20%	1	7%	1	7%	0	0%	3.47	15	0
13. Equal treatment regardless of economic status	12	60%	5	25%	1	5%	1	5%	1	5%	3.30	20	0
<b>Category Total</b>	<b>115</b>	<b>64%</b>	<b>42</b>	<b>23%</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>9%</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>2%</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>2%</b>	<b>3.44</b>	<b>181</b>	
<b>3. Communication</b>													
14. Clear and logical oral communications and directions	14	48%	11	38%	4	14%	0	0%	0	0%	3.34	29	0
15. Clear and logical written decisions	13	52%	7	28%	5	20%	0	0%	0	0%	3.32	25	0
16. Gave all parties an adequate opportunity to be heard	16	55%	6	21%	7	24%	0	0%	0	0%	3.31	29	0
<b>Category Total</b>	<b>43</b>	<b>52%</b>	<b>24</b>	<b>29%</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>19%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>3.33</b>	<b>83</b>	
<b>4. Temperament</b>													
17. Understanding and compassion	14	52%	8	30%	3	11%	2	7%	0	0%	3.26	27	0
18. Dignified	16	55%	10	34%	2	7%	1	3%	0	0%	3.41	29	0
19. Courteous	16	55%	11	38%	2	7%	0	0%	0	0%	3.48	29	0
20. Conduct that promoted public confidence in the court and judge's ability	15	54%	10	36%	3	11%	0	0%	0	0%	3.43	28	0
21. Patient	16	55%	10	34%	3	10%	0	0%	0	0%	3.45	29	0
<b>Category Total</b>	<b>77</b>	<b>54%</b>	<b>49</b>	<b>35%</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>9%</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>2%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>3.41</b>	<b>142</b>	
<b>5. Admin Performance</b>													
22. Punctual in conducting proceedings	13	46%	12	43%	3	11%	0	0%	0	0%	3.36	28	0
23. Maintained proper control over courtroom	14	52%	11	41%	2	7%	0	0%	0	0%	3.44	27	0
24. Prompt in making rulings and rendering decisions	15	58%	8	31%	3	12%	0	0%	0	0%	3.46	26	0
25. Was prepared for the proceedings	15	54%	11	39%	2	7%	0	0%	0	0%	3.46	28	0
26. Efficient management of the calendar	15	56%	10	37%	2	7%	0	0%	0	0%	3.48	27	0
<b>Category Total</b>	<b>72</b>	<b>53%</b>	<b>52</b>	<b>38%</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>9%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>3.44</b>	<b>136</b>	
<b>6. Settlement Activities</b>													
27. Appropriately promoted or conducted settlement	12	60%	5	25%	3	15%	0	0%	0	0%	3.45	20	0
<b>Category Total</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>60%</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>25%</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>15%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>3.45</b>	<b>20</b>	



**JPR**  
ARIZONA JUDICIAL PERFORMANCE REVIEW

[Home](#) > [Performance Reports](#) > Judicial Reports

## Judicial Reports

Commission number votes may vary based upon abstentions. Voting abstentions are procedural matters within the Commission and should not be viewed in any way as a negative factor with respect to a judge's performance.

WHO JUDGES THE JUDGES?



## Arizona Commission on Judicial Performance Review

WE CAN HELP.

Hon. Scott Minder

2020 Litigant Witness Survey Responses

Key: **SU** = Superior **VG** = Very Good **SA** = Satisfactory **PO** = Poor **UN** = Unsatisfactory

	SU		VG		SA		PO		UN		Mean	Total	No Resp
	Num.	Pct.	Num.	Pct.	Num.	Pct.	Num.	Pct.	Num.	Pct.			
<b>1. Integrity</b>													
1. Basic fairness and impartiality	16	67%	6	25%	1	4%	0	0%	1	4%	3.50	24	0
2. Equal treatment regardless of race	15	65%	6	26%	1	4%	0	0%	1	4%	3.48	23	0
3. Equal treatment regardless of gender	15	65%	6	26%	1	4%	0	0%	1	4%	3.48	23	0
4. Equal treatment regardless of religion	15	68%	5	23%	1	5%	0	0%	1	5%	3.50	22	0
5. Equal treatment regardless of national origin	15	68%	5	23%	1	5%	0	0%	1	5%	3.50	22	0
6. Equal treatment regardless of disability	15	65%	6	26%	1	4%	0	0%	1	4%	3.48	23	0
7. Equal treatment regardless of age	15	65%	6	26%	1	4%	0	0%	1	4%	3.48	23	0
8. Equal treatment regardless of sexual orientation	15	71%	4	19%	1	5%	0	0%	1	5%	3.52	21	0
9. Equal treatment regardless of economic status	15	65%	5	22%	1	4%	1	4%	1	4%	3.39	23	0
<b>Category Total</b>	<b>136</b>	<b>67%</b>	<b>49</b>	<b>24%</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>4%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>4%</b>	<b>3.48</b>	<b>204</b>	
<b>2. Communication</b>													
10. Explained proceedings	15	65%	6	26%	1	4%	0	0%	1	4%	3.48	23	0
11. Explained reasons for delays	14	64%	5	23%	2	9%	0	0%	1	5%	3.41	22	0
<b>Category Total</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>64%</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>24%</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>7%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>4%</b>	<b>3.44</b>	<b>45</b>	

<b>3. Temperament</b>													
12. Understanding and compassion	16	67%	4	17%	3	13%	0	0%	1	4%	3.42	24	0
13. Dignified	15	65%	5	22%	2	9%	0	0%	1	4%	3.43	23	0
14. Courteous	15	65%	5	22%	2	9%	0	0%	1	4%	3.43	23	0
15. Conduct that promotes public confidence in the court	15	65%	5	22%	2	9%	0	0%	1	4%	3.43	23	0
16. Patient	14	61%	6	26%	2	9%	0	0%	1	4%	3.39	23	0
<b>Category Total</b>	<b>75</b>	<b>65%</b>	<b>25</b>	<b>22%</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>9%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>4%</b>	<b>3.42</b>	<b>116</b>	
<b>4. Admin Performance</b>													
17. Punctual in conducting proceedings	14	58%	7	29%	2	8%	1	4%	0	0%	3.42	24	0
18. Maintained proper control of courtroom	14	64%	7	32%	1	5%	0	0%	0	0%	3.59	22	0
19. Was prepared for the proceedings	15	68%	5	23%	2	9%	0	0%	0	0%	3.59	22	0
<b>Category Total</b>	<b>43</b>	<b>63%</b>	<b>19</b>	<b>28%</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>7%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>3.53</b>	<b>68</b>	