



[Home](#) > [Performance Reports](#) > Judicial Reports

## Judicial Reports

Commission number votes may vary based upon abstentions. Voting abstentions are procedural matters within the Commission and should not be viewed in any way as a negative factor with respect to a judge's performance.

### Pima County Voters Only

**Hon. Kellie Johnson**  
Pima County Superior Court  
Bench: Juvenile  
Appointed: 2017

**100% of the Commission Voted Judge Johnson MEETS Judicial Performance Standards**  
33 Commissioners Voted 'Meets'  
0 Commissioners Voted 'Does Not Meet'

Show Surveys from Prior Years

2020	<b>Attorney Surveys</b> Distributed: 121 Returned: 27 <b>Score (See Footnote)</b>	<b>Juror Surveys</b> Distributed: 0 Returned: 0 <b>Score (See Footnote)</b>	<b>Litigant Witness Surveys</b> Distributed: 458 Returned: 78 <b>Score (See Footnote)</b>
Legal Ability	100%	n/a	n/a
Integrity	100%	n/a	100%
Communication	100%	n/a	100%
Temperament	99%	n/a	99%
Admin Performance	100%	n/a	100%
Settlement Activities	100%	n/a	n/a

#### FOOTNOTE:

Judges are evaluated on their judicial performance midway through their term and prior to their retention election. Beginning in 2022, their survey evaluation scores include midterm scores and retention scores. Newly appointed judges serve a two-year term and are evaluated prior to their retention election on their retention scores. The score is the percentage of all evaluators who rated the judge "superior", "very good", or "satisfactory" in each of the Commission's evaluation categories. Depending on the assignment, a judge may not have responses in certain categories, indicated by N/A (for example, some judicial assignments do not require jury trials or no survey responses were returned). The JPR Commission votes "Yes" or "No" on whether a judge "MEETS" Judicial Performance Standards, based on the statistical information, as well as any other information submitted by the public or the judge. Further information on the judges and justices can be found at each court's website.



**JPR**  
ARIZONA JUDICIAL PERFORMANCE REVIEW

[Home](#) > [Performance Reports](#) > Judicial Reports

## Judicial Reports

Commission number votes may vary based upon abstentions. Voting abstentions are procedural matters within the Commission and should not be viewed in any way as a negative factor with respect to a judge's performance.

WHO JUDGES THE JUDGES?



## Arizona Commission on Judicial Performance Review

WE CAN HELP.

Hon. Kellie Johnson

2020 Attorney Survey Responses

Key: **SU** = Superior    **VG** = Very Good    **SA** = Satisfactory    **PO** = Poor    **UN** = Unsatisfactory

	SU		VG		SA		PO		UN		Mean	Total	No Resp
	Num.	Pct.	Num.	Pct.	Num.	Pct.	Num.	Pct.	Num.	Pct.			
<b>1. Legal Ability</b>													
1. Legal reasoning ability	20	77%	4	15%	2	8%	0	0%	0	0%	3.69	26	0
2. Knowledge of substantive law	20	77%	3	12%	3	12%	0	0%	0	0%	3.65	26	0
3. Knowledge of rules of evidence	21	84%	3	12%	1	4%	0	0%	0	0%	3.80	25	0
4. Knowledge of rules of procedure	21	81%	4	15%	1	4%	0	0%	0	0%	3.77	26	0
<b>Category Total</b>	<b>82</b>	<b>80%</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>14%</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>7%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>3.73</b>	<b>103</b>	
<b>2. Integrity</b>													
5. Basic fairness and impartiality	20	77%	4	15%	1	4%	1	4%	0	0%	3.65	26	0
6. Equal treatment regardless of race	22	88%	3	12%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	3.88	25	0
7. Equal treatment regardless of gender	20	83%	3	13%	1	4%	0	0%	0	0%	3.79	24	0
8. Equal treatment regardless of religion	16	89%	2	11%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	3.89	18	0
9. Equal treatment regardless of national origin	19	83%	4	17%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	3.83	23	0
10. Equal treatment regardless of disability	20	91%	2	9%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	3.91	22	0
11. Equal treatment regardless of age	21	88%	3	13%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	3.88	24	0

12. Equal treatment regardless of sexual orientation	18	90%	2	10%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	3.90	20	0
13. Equal treatment regardless of economic status	21	84%	3	12%	1	4%	0	0%	0	0%	3.80	25	0
<b>Category Total</b>	<b>177</b>	<b>86%</b>	<b>26</b>	<b>13%</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>1%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>3.83</b>	<b>207</b>	
<b>3. Communication</b>													
14. Clear and logical oral communications and directions	22	81%	4	15%	1	4%	0	0%	0	0%	3.78	27	0
15. Clear and logical written decisions	18	78%	5	22%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	3.78	23	0
16. Gave all parties an adequate opportunity to be heard	23	85%	1	4%	3	11%	0	0%	0	0%	3.74	27	0
<b>Category Total</b>	<b>63</b>	<b>82%</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>13%</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>5%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>3.77</b>	<b>77</b>	
<b>4. Temperament</b>													
17. Understanding and compassion	21	78%	3	11%	3	11%	0	0%	0	0%	3.67	27	0
18. Dignified	20	74%	5	19%	2	7%	0	0%	0	0%	3.67	27	0
19. Courteous	22	81%	2	7%	3	11%	0	0%	0	0%	3.70	27	0
20. Conduct that promoted public confidence in the court and judge's ability	20	74%	6	22%	1	4%	0	0%	0	0%	3.70	27	0
21. Patient	20	74%	5	19%	1	4%	1	4%	0	0%	3.63	27	0
<b>Category Total</b>	<b>103</b>	<b>76%</b>	<b>21</b>	<b>16%</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>7%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>3.67</b>	<b>135</b>	
<b>5. Admin Performance</b>													
22. Punctual in conducting proceedings	21	81%	4	15%	1	4%	0	0%	0	0%	3.77	26	0
23. Maintained proper control over courtroom	22	88%	3	12%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	3.88	25	0
24. Prompt in making rulings and rendering decisions	21	84%	3	12%	1	4%	0	0%	0	0%	3.80	25	0
25. Was prepared for the proceedings	23	88%	1	4%	2	8%	0	0%	0	0%	3.81	26	0
26. Efficient management of the calendar	22	88%	2	8%	1	4%	0	0%	0	0%	3.84	25	0
<b>Category Total</b>	<b>109</b>	<b>86%</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>10%</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>4%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>3.82</b>	<b>127</b>	
<b>6. Settlement Activities</b>													
27. Appropriately promoted or conducted settlement	10	91%	0	0%	1	9%	0	0%	0	0%	3.82	11	0
<b>Category Total</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>91%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>9%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>3.82</b>	<b>11</b>	



**JPR**  
ARIZONA JUDICIAL PERFORMANCE REVIEW

[Home](#) > [Performance Reports](#) > Judicial Reports

## Judicial Reports

Commission number votes may vary based upon abstentions. Voting abstentions are procedural matters within the Commission and should not be viewed in any way as a negative factor with respect to a judge's performance.

WHO JUDGES THE JUDGES?



## Arizona Commission on Judicial Performance Review

WE CAN HELP.

Hon. Kellie Johnson

2020 Litigant Witness Survey Responses

Key: **SU** = Superior    **VG** = Very Good    **SA** = Satisfactory    **PO** = Poor    **UN** = Unsatisfactory

	SU		VG		SA		PO		UN		Mean	Total	No Resp
	Num.	Pct.	Num.	Pct.	Num.	Pct.	Num.	Pct.	Num.	Pct.			
<b>1. Integrity</b>													
1. Basic fairness and impartiality	57	74%	15	19%	5	6%	0	0%	0	0%	3.68	77	0
2. Equal treatment regardless of race	55	73%	15	20%	5	7%	0	0%	0	0%	3.67	75	0
3. Equal treatment regardless of gender	56	75%	14	19%	5	7%	0	0%	0	0%	3.68	75	0
4. Equal treatment regardless of religion	55	75%	14	19%	4	5%	0	0%	0	0%	3.70	73	0
5. Equal treatment regardless of national origin	54	74%	14	19%	5	7%	0	0%	0	0%	3.67	73	0
6. Equal treatment regardless of disability	52	74%	14	20%	4	6%	0	0%	0	0%	3.69	70	0
7. Equal treatment regardless of age	56	76%	14	19%	4	5%	0	0%	0	0%	3.70	74	0
8. Equal treatment regardless of sexual orientation	49	74%	13	20%	4	6%	0	0%	0	0%	3.68	66	0
9. Equal treatment regardless of economic status	56	76%	14	19%	4	5%	0	0%	0	0%	3.70	74	0
<b>Category Total</b>	<b>490</b>	<b>75%</b>	<b>127</b>	<b>19%</b>	<b>40</b>	<b>6%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>3.68</b>	<b>657</b>	
<b>2. Communication</b>													
10. Explained proceedings	60	78%	12	16%	5	6%	0	0%	0	0%	3.71	77	0
11. Explained reasons for delays	47	77%	13	21%	1	2%	0	0%	0	0%	3.75	61	0
<b>Category Total</b>	<b>107</b>	<b>78%</b>	<b>25</b>	<b>18%</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>4%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>3.73</b>	<b>138</b>	

<b>3. Temperament</b>													
12. Understanding and compassion	57	73%	14	18%	6	8%	1	1%	0	0%	3.63	78	0
13. Dignified	60	77%	13	17%	5	6%	0	0%	0	0%	3.71	78	0
14. Courteous	61	78%	12	15%	5	6%	0	0%	0	0%	3.72	78	0
15. Conduct that promotes public confidence in the court	60	78%	13	17%	3	4%	1	1%	0	0%	3.71	77	0
16. Patient	56	73%	15	19%	6	8%	0	0%	0	0%	3.65	77	0
<b>Category Total</b>	<b>294</b>	<b>76%</b>	<b>67</b>	<b>17%</b>	<b>25</b>	<b>6%</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>1%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>3.68</b>	<b>388</b>	
<b>4. Admin Performance</b>													
17. Punctual in conducting proceedings	60	77%	13	17%	5	6%	0	0%	0	0%	3.71	78	0
18. Maintained proper control of courtroom	62	79%	12	15%	4	5%	0	0%	0	0%	3.74	78	0
19. Was prepared for the proceedings	61	78%	12	15%	5	6%	0	0%	0	0%	3.72	78	0
<b>Category Total</b>	<b>183</b>	<b>78%</b>	<b>37</b>	<b>16%</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>6%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>3.72</b>	<b>234</b>	