



**JPR**  
ARIZONA JUDICIAL PERFORMANCE REVIEW

[Home](#) > [Performance Reports](#) > [Judicial Reports](#)

## Judicial Reports

Commission number votes may vary based upon abstentions. Voting abstentions are procedural matters within the Commission and should not be viewed in any way as a negative factor with respect to a judge's performance.

### Coconino County Voters Only

Judge Moran is retiring and will not be on the ballot.

#### Hon. Mark Moran

Coconino

Bench: Civil/Criminal

Appointed: 2004

**100% of the Commission Voted Judge Moran MEETS Judicial Performance Standards**  
32 Commissioners Voted 'Meets'  
0 Commissioners Voted 'Does Not Meet'

Show Surveys from Prior Years

2020	Attorney Surveys Distributed: 70 Returned: 23 Score (See Footnote)	Juror Surveys Distributed: 34 Returned: 18 Score (See Footnote)	Litigant Witness Surveys Distributed: 350 Returned: 44 Score (See Footnote)	Presiding Judge Surveys Distributed: 10 Returned: 8 Score (See Footnote)
Legal Ability	100%	n/a	n/a	n/a
Integrity	100%	100%	88%	100%
Communication	100%	100%	86%	100%
Temperament	100%	100%	88%	100%
Admin Performance	100%	100%	92%	100%
Admin Skills	n/a	n/a	n/a	100%
Settlement Activities	100%	n/a	n/a	n/a

#### FOOTNOTE:

Judges are evaluated on their judicial performance midway through their term and prior to their retention election. Beginning in 2022, their survey evaluation scores include midterm scores and retention scores. Newly appointed judges serve a two-year term and are evaluated prior to their retention election on their retention scores. The score is the percentage of all evaluators who rated the judge "superior", "very good", or "satisfactory" in each of the Commission's evaluation categories. Depending on the assignment, a judge may not have responses in certain categories, indicated by N/A (for example, some judicial assignments do not require jury trials or no survey responses were returned). The JPR Commission votes "Yes" or "No" on whether a judge "MEETS" Judicial Performance Standards, based on the statistical information, as well as any other information submitted by the public or the judge. Further information on the judges and justices can be found at each court's website.



**JPR**  
ARIZONA JUDICIAL PERFORMANCE REVIEW

[Home](#) > [Performance Reports](#) > Judicial Reports

## Judicial Reports

Commission number votes may vary based upon abstentions. Voting abstentions are procedural matters within the Commission and should not be viewed in any way as a negative factor with respect to a judge's performance.

WHO JUDGES THE JUDGES?



## Arizona Commission on Judicial Performance Review

WE CAN HELP.

Hon. Mark Moran

2020 Attorney Survey Responses

Key: **SU** = Superior **VG** = Very Good **SA** = Satisfactory **PO** = Poor **UN** = Unsatisfactory

	SU		VG		SA		PO		UN		Mean	Total	No Resp
	Num.	Pct.	Num.	Pct.	Num.	Pct.	Num.	Pct.	Num.	Pct.			
<b>1. Legal Ability</b>													
1. Legal reasoning ability	13	59%	9	41%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	3.59	22	0
2. Knowledge of substantive law	16	73%	6	27%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	3.73	22	0
3. Knowledge of rules of evidence	15	75%	4	20%	1	5%	0	0%	0	0%	3.70	20	0
4. Knowledge of rules of procedure	20	91%	2	9%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	3.91	22	0
<b>Category Total</b>	<b>64</b>	<b>74%</b>	<b>21</b>	<b>24%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>3.73</b>	<b>86</b>	
<b>2. Integrity</b>													
5. Basic fairness and impartiality	18	78%	4	17%	1	4%	0	0%	0	0%	3.74	23	0
6. Equal treatment regardless of race	18	82%	3	14%	1	5%	0	0%	0	0%	3.77	22	0
7. Equal treatment regardless of gender	18	78%	3	13%	2	9%	0	0%	0	0%	3.70	23	0
8. Equal treatment regardless of religion	17	85%	2	10%	1	5%	0	0%	0	0%	3.80	20	0
9. Equal treatment regardless of national origin	18	82%	3	14%	1	5%	0	0%	0	0%	3.77	22	0
10. Equal treatment regardless of disability	18	86%	2	10%	1	5%	0	0%	0	0%	3.81	21	0
11. Equal treatment regardless of age	18	78%	4	17%	1	4%	0	0%	0	0%	3.74	23	0

12. Equal treatment regardless of sexual orientation	17	85%	2	10%	1	5%	0	0%	0	0%	3.80	20	0
13. Equal treatment regardless of economic status	16	76%	4	19%	1	5%	0	0%	0	0%	3.71	21	0
<b>Category Total</b>	<b>158</b>	<b>81%</b>	<b>27</b>	<b>14%</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>5%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>3.76</b>	<b>195</b>	
<b>3. Communication</b>													
14. Clear and logical oral communications and directions	17	74%	6	26%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	3.74	23	0
15. Clear and logical written decisions	17	81%	3	14%	1	5%	0	0%	0	0%	3.76	21	0
16. Gave all parties an adequate opportunity to be heard	19	83%	3	13%	1	4%	0	0%	0	0%	3.78	23	0
<b>Category Total</b>	<b>53</b>	<b>79%</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>18%</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>3%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>3.76</b>	<b>67</b>	
<b>4. Temperament</b>													
17. Understanding and compassion	14	61%	7	30%	2	9%	0	0%	0	0%	3.52	23	0
18. Dignified	19	83%	3	13%	1	4%	0	0%	0	0%	3.78	23	0
19. Courteous	17	74%	6	26%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	3.74	23	0
20. Conduct that promoted public confidence in the court and judge's ability	20	87%	3	13%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	3.87	23	0
21. Patient	18	78%	3	13%	2	9%	0	0%	0	0%	3.70	23	0
<b>Category Total</b>	<b>88</b>	<b>77%</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>19%</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>4%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>3.72</b>	<b>115</b>	
<b>5. Admin Performance</b>													
22. Punctual in conducting proceedings	19	83%	3	13%	1	4%	0	0%	0	0%	3.78	23	0
23. Maintained proper control over courtroom	20	87%	3	13%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	3.87	23	0
24. Prompt in making rulings and rendering decisions	17	77%	4	18%	1	5%	0	0%	0	0%	3.73	22	0
25. Was prepared for the proceedings	19	86%	3	14%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	3.86	22	0
26. Efficient management of the calendar	20	87%	3	13%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	3.87	23	0
<b>Category Total</b>	<b>95</b>	<b>84%</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>14%</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>2%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>3.82</b>	<b>113</b>	
<b>6. Settlement Activities</b>													
27. Appropriately promoted or conducted settlement	4	57%	2	29%	1	14%	0	0%	0	0%	3.43	7	0
<b>Category Total</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>57%</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>29%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>14%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>3.43</b>	<b>7</b>	



11. Is patient	5	71%	2	29%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	3.71	7	0
12. Is courteous	6	86%	1	14%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	3.86	7	0
13. Is accessible	4	67%	1	17%	1	17%	0	0%	0	0%	3.50	6	0
14. Responds appropriately to the circumstance	5	83%	1	17%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	3.83	6	0
<b>Category Total</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>77%</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>19%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>4%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>3.73</b>	<b>26</b>	
<b>4. Admin Performance</b>													
15. Is well informed on all aspects of work	7	88%	1	13%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	3.88	8	0
16. Is willing to make difficult decisions	6	86%	0	0%	1	14%	0	0%	0	0%	3.71	7	0
17. Is punctual	7	100%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	4.00	7	0
18. Makes decisions in a timely manner	6	86%	1	14%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	3.86	7	0
19. Is resourceful in resolving problems	5	83%	0	0%	1	17%	0	0%	0	0%	3.67	6	0
20. Exhibits impartiality in administrative decisions	5	83%	0	0%	1	17%	0	0%	0	0%	3.67	6	0
21. Makes reasonable and prudent judicial assignments	5	83%	1	17%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	3.83	6	0
22. Exhibits impartiality in making judicial assignments	5	83%	0	0%	1	17%	0	0%	0	0%	3.67	6	0
23. Exhibits impartiality in allocation of resources	5	83%	0	0%	1	17%	0	0%	0	0%	3.67	6	0
24. Provides for appropriate training for judges and staff	7	100%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	4.00	7	0
25. Asks for input before reaching decisions	6	86%	0	0%	1	14%	0	0%	0	0%	3.71	7	0
26. Works diligently	8	100%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	4.00	8	0
27. Considers and implements change	6	86%	0	0%	1	14%	0	0%	0	0%	3.71	7	0
28. Displays organizational integrity	7	88%	1	13%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	3.88	8	0
<b>Category Total</b>	<b>85</b>	<b>89%</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>4%</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>7%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>3.81</b>	<b>96</b>	
<b>5. Admin Skills</b>													
29. Establishes a clear focus for projects for which he/she is responsible	6	86%	1	14%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	3.86	7	0
30. Has the ability to identify and analyze relevant issues	7	88%	1	13%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	3.88	8	0
31. Accurately assesses and attempts to secure the resources necessary for the effective functioning of the court system	5	83%	0	0%	1	17%	0	0%	0	0%	3.67	6	0
32. Accepts and incorporates diversity	6	86%	1	14%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	3.86	7	0

33. Coaches and develops others	4	80%	1	20%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	3.80	5	0
34. Cooperates with peers	5	100%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	4.00	5	0
35. Cooperates with staff	4	100%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	4.00	4	0
36. Effectively delegates responsibility	4	80%	1	20%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	3.80	5	0
37. Creates a cooperative environment	7	88%	1	13%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	3.88	8	0
<b>Category Total</b>	<b>48</b>	<b>87%</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>11%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>2%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>3.85</b>	<b>55</b>	



**JPR**  
ARIZONA JUDICIAL PERFORMANCE REVIEW

[Home](#) > [Performance Reports](#) > Judicial Reports

## Judicial Reports

Commission number votes may vary based upon abstentions. Voting abstentions are procedural matters within the Commission and should not be viewed in any way as a negative factor with respect to a judge's performance.

WHO JUDGES THE JUDGES?



## Arizona Commission on Judicial Performance Review

WE CAN HELP.

Hon. Mark Moran

2020 Litigant Witness Survey Responses

Key: **SU** = Superior **VG** = Very Good **SA** = Satisfactory **PO** = Poor **UN** = Unsatisfactory

	SU		VG		SA		PO		UN		Mean	Total	No Resp
	Num.	Pct.	Num.	Pct.	Num.	Pct.	Num.	Pct.	Num.	Pct.			
<b>1. Integrity</b>													
1. Basic fairness and impartiality	19	44%	13	30%	4	9%	4	9%	3	7%	2.95	43	0
2. Equal treatment regardless of race	19	45%	12	29%	4	10%	5	12%	2	5%	2.98	42	0
3. Equal treatment regardless of gender	19	49%	11	28%	6	15%	1	3%	2	5%	3.13	39	0
4. Equal treatment regardless of religion	17	43%	13	33%	5	13%	3	8%	2	5%	3.00	40	0
5. Equal treatment regardless of national origin	19	45%	12	29%	4	10%	5	12%	2	5%	2.98	42	0
6. Equal treatment regardless of disability	17	46%	11	30%	7	19%	1	3%	1	3%	3.14	37	0
7. Equal treatment regardless of age	17	44%	13	33%	6	15%	0	0%	3	8%	3.05	39	0
8. Equal treatment regardless of sexual orientation	14	40%	12	34%	6	17%	1	3%	2	6%	3.00	35	0
9. Equal treatment regardless of economic status	15	39%	12	32%	5	13%	3	8%	3	8%	2.87	38	0
<b>Category Total</b>	<b>156</b>	<b>44%</b>	<b>109</b>	<b>31%</b>	<b>47</b>	<b>13%</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>6%</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>6%</b>	<b>3.01</b>	<b>355</b>	
<b>2. Communication</b>													
10. Explained proceedings	21	51%	9	22%	7	17%	1	2%	3	7%	3.07	41	0
11. Explained reasons for delays	16	48%	7	21%	4	12%	2	6%	4	12%	2.88	33	0
<b>Category Total</b>	<b>37</b>	<b>50%</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>22%</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>15%</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>4%</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>9%</b>	<b>2.99</b>	<b>74</b>	

<b>3. Temperament</b>													
12. Understanding and compassion	21	48%	11	25%	5	11%	3	7%	4	9%	2.95	44	0
13. Dignified	19	45%	12	29%	4	10%	5	12%	2	5%	2.98	42	0
14. Courteous	21	49%	11	26%	7	16%	2	5%	2	5%	3.09	43	0
15. Conduct that promotes public confidence in the court	20	48%	12	29%	6	14%	2	5%	2	5%	3.10	42	0
16. Patient	23	55%	10	24%	6	14%	1	2%	2	5%	3.21	42	0
<b>Category Total</b>	<b>104</b>	<b>49%</b>	<b>56</b>	<b>26%</b>	<b>28</b>	<b>13%</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>6%</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>6%</b>	<b>3.07</b>	<b>213</b>	
<b>4. Admin Performance</b>													
17. Punctual in conducting proceedings	20	48%	11	26%	7	17%	1	2%	3	7%	3.05	42	0
18. Maintained proper control of courtroom	21	50%	13	31%	7	17%	1	2%	0	0%	3.29	42	0
19. Was prepared for the proceedings	20	48%	11	26%	6	14%	1	2%	4	10%	3.00	42	0
<b>Category Total</b>	<b>61</b>	<b>48%</b>	<b>35</b>	<b>28%</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>16%</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>2%</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>6%</b>	<b>3.11</b>	<b>126</b>	



**JPR**  
ARIZONA JUDICIAL PERFORMANCE REVIEW

[Home](#) > [Performance Reports](#) > [Judicial Reports](#)

## Judicial Reports

Commission number votes may vary based upon abstentions. Voting abstentions are procedural matters within the Commission and should not be viewed in any way as a negative factor with respect to a judge's performance.

WHO JUDGES THE JUDGES?



## Arizona Commission on Judicial Performance Review

WE CAN HELP.

Hon. Mark Moran

2020 Juror Survey Responses

Key: **SU** = Superior    **VG** = Very Good    **SA** = Satisfactory    **PO** = Poor    **UN** = Unsatisfactory

	SU		VG		SA		PO		UN		Mean	Total	No Resp
	Num.	Pct.	Num.	Pct.	Num.	Pct.	Num.	Pct.	Num.	Pct.			
<b>1. Integrity</b>													
1. Basic fairness and impartiality	16	89%	2	11%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	3.89	18	0
2. Equal treatment regardless of race	15	94%	1	6%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	3.94	16	0
3. Equal treatment regardless of gender	16	89%	2	11%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	3.89	18	0
4. Equal treatment regardless of religion	14	93%	1	7%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	3.93	15	0
5. Equal treatment regardless of national origin	15	94%	1	6%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	3.94	16	0
6. Equal treatment regardless of disability	14	93%	1	7%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	3.93	15	0
7. Equal treatment regardless of age	16	89%	2	11%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	3.89	18	0
8. Equal treatment regardless of sexual orientation	14	93%	1	7%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	3.93	15	0
9. Equal treatment regardless of economic status	16	89%	2	11%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	3.89	18	0
<b>Category Total</b>	<b>136</b>	<b>91%</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>9%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>3.91</b>	<b>149</b>	
<b>2. Communication</b>													
10. Explained proceedings to the jury	17	94%	1	6%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	3.94	18	0
11. Explained reasons for delays	16	89%	1	6%	1	6%	0	0%	0	0%	3.83	18	0
12. Clearly explained the juror's responsibilities	17	94%	1	6%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	3.94	18	0

<b>Category Total</b>	<b>50</b>	<b>93%</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>6%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>2%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>3.91</b>	<b>54</b>		
<b>3. Temperament</b>														
13. Understanding and Compassion	16	94%	1	6%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	3.94	17	0	
14. Dignified	17	94%	1	6%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	3.94	18	0	
15. Courteous	17	94%	1	6%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	3.94	18	0	
16. Conduct that promotes public confidence in the court and judge's ability	17	94%	1	6%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	3.94	18	0	
17. Patient	17	94%	1	6%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	3.94	18	0	
<b>Category Total</b>	<b>84</b>	<b>94%</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>6%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>3.94</b>	<b>89</b>		
<b>4. Admin Performance</b>														
18. Punctuality in conducting proceedings	17	94%	1	6%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	3.94	18	0	
19. Maintained proper control of courtroom	17	94%	1	6%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	3.94	18	0	
20. Was prepared for the proceedings	17	94%	1	6%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	3.94	18	0	
<b>Category Total</b>	<b>51</b>	<b>94%</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>6%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>3.94</b>	<b>54</b>		