



JPR
ARIZONA JUDICIAL PERFORMANCE REVIEW

[Home](#) > [Performance Reports](#) > Judicial Reports

Judicial Reports

Commission number votes may vary based upon abstentions. Voting abstentions are procedural matters within the Commission and should not be viewed in any way as a negative factor with respect to a judge's performance.

Pinal County Voters Only

Hon. Steven J. Fuller
Pinal County Superior Court
Bench: Civil
Appointed: 2011

100% of the Commission Voted Judge Fuller MEETS Judicial Performance Standards
28 Commissioners Voted 'Meets'
0 Commissioners Voted 'Does Not Meet'

Show Surveys from Prior Years

2022	Attorney Surveys Distributed: 195 Returned: 49 Score (See Footnote)	Juror Surveys Distributed: 23 Returned: 23 Score (See Footnote)	Litigant Witness Surveys Distributed: 258 Returned: 29 Score (See Footnote)
Legal Ability	95%	n/a	n/a
Integrity	96%	100%	94%
Communication	93%	100%	94%
Temperament	92%	99%	89%
Admin Performance	96%	100%	95%
Settlement Activities	89%	n/a	n/a

FOOTNOTE:

Judges are evaluated on their judicial performance midway through their term and prior to their retention election. Beginning in 2022, their survey evaluation scores include midterm scores and retention scores. Newly appointed judges serve a two-year term and are evaluated prior to their retention election on their retention scores. The score is the percentage of all evaluators who rated the judge "superior", "very good", or "satisfactory" in each of the Commission's evaluation categories. Depending on the assignment, a judge may not have responses in certain categories, indicated by N/A (for example, some judicial assignments do not require jury trials or no survey responses were returned). The JPR Commission votes "Yes" or "No" on whether a judge "MEETS" Judicial Performance Standards, based on the statistical information, as well as any other information submitted by the public or the judge. Further information on the judges and justices can be found at each court's website.



JPR
ARIZONA JUDICIAL PERFORMANCE REVIEW

[Home](#) > [Performance Reports](#) > Judicial Reports

Judicial Reports

Commission number votes may vary based upon abstentions. Voting abstentions are procedural matters within the Commission and should not be viewed in any way as a negative factor with respect to a judge's performance.

WHO JUDGES THE JUDGES?



Arizona Commission on Judicial Performance Review

WE CAN HELP.

Hon. Steven J. Fuller

2022 Attorney Survey Responses

Key: **SU** = Superior **VG** = Very Good **SA** = Satisfactory **PO** = Poor **UN** = Unsatisfactory

	SU		VG		SA		PO		UN		Mean	Total	No Resp
	Num.	Pct.	Num.	Pct.	Num.	Pct.	Num.	Pct.	Num.	Pct.			
1. Legal Ability													
1. Legal reasoning ability	22	49%	15	33%	6	13%	1	2%	1	2%	3.24	45	0
2. Knowledge of substantive law	20	49%	12	29%	6	15%	2	5%	1	2%	3.17	41	0
3. Knowledge of rules of evidence	20	53%	11	29%	6	16%	0	0%	1	3%	3.29	38	0
4. Knowledge of rules of procedure	21	53%	12	30%	5	13%	1	3%	1	3%	3.28	40	0
Category Total	83	51%	50	30%	23	14%	4	2%	4	2%	3.24	164	
2. Integrity													
5. Basic fairness and impartiality	23	49%	17	36%	5	11%	1	2%	1	2%	3.28	47	0
6. Equal treatment regardless of race	19	59%	11	34%	1	3%	0	0%	1	3%	3.47	32	0
7. Equal treatment regardless of gender	21	64%	9	27%	2	6%	0	0%	1	3%	3.48	33	0
8. Equal treatment regardless of religion	18	67%	7	26%	1	4%	0	0%	1	4%	3.52	27	0
9. Equal treatment regardless of national origin	18	62%	9	31%	1	3%	0	0%	1	3%	3.48	29	0
10. Equal treatment regardless of disability	18	64%	9	32%	0	0%	0	0%	1	4%	3.54	28	0
11. Equal treatment regardless of age	22	63%	11	31%	1	3%	0	0%	1	3%	3.51	35	0

12. Equal treatment regardless of sexual orientation	16	67%	7	29%	0	0%	0	0%	1	4%	3.54	24	0
13. Equal treatment regardless of economic status	19	63%	9	30%	1	3%	0	0%	1	3%	3.50	30	0
Category Total	174	61%	89	31%	12	4%	1	0%	9	3%	3.47	285	
3. Communication													
14. Clear and logical oral communications and directions	23	48%	18	38%	4	8%	1	2%	2	4%	3.23	48	0
15. Clear and logical written decisions	16	50%	11	34%	2	6%	1	3%	2	6%	3.19	32	0
16. Gave all parties an adequate opportunity to be heard	26	55%	12	26%	6	13%	1	2%	2	4%	3.26	47	0
Category Total	65	51%	41	32%	12	9%	3	2%	6	5%	3.23	127	
4. Temperament													
17. Understanding and compassion	20	42%	16	33%	7	15%	2	4%	3	6%	3.00	48	0
18. Dignified	24	50%	17	35%	5	10%	0	0%	2	4%	3.27	48	0
19. Courteous	23	48%	14	29%	8	17%	1	2%	2	4%	3.15	48	0
20. Conduct that promoted public confidence in the court and judge's ability	25	53%	13	28%	5	11%	1	2%	3	6%	3.19	47	0
21. Patient	20	42%	15	31%	8	17%	2	4%	3	6%	2.98	48	0
Category Total	112	47%	75	31%	33	14%	6	3%	13	5%	3.12	239	
5. Admin Performance													
22. Punctual in conducting proceedings	25	53%	17	36%	4	9%	0	0%	1	2%	3.38	47	0
23. Maintained proper control over courtroom	23	49%	20	43%	2	4%	1	2%	1	2%	3.34	47	0
24. Prompt in making rulings and rendering decisions	24	60%	12	30%	2	5%	1	3%	1	3%	3.42	40	0
25. Was prepared for the proceedings	24	50%	19	40%	2	4%	1	2%	2	4%	3.29	48	0
26. Efficient management of the calendar	23	55%	16	38%	2	5%	0	0%	1	2%	3.43	42	0
Category Total	119	53%	84	38%	12	5%	3	1%	6	3%	3.37	224	
6. Settlement Activities													
27. Appropriately promoted or conducted settlement	14	50%	9	32%	2	7%	1	4%	2	7%	3.14	28	0
Category Total	14	50%	9	32%	2	7%	1	4%	2	7%	3.14	28	



JPR
ARIZONA JUDICIAL PERFORMANCE REVIEW

[Home](#) > [Performance Reports](#) > Judicial Reports

Judicial Reports

Commission number votes may vary based upon abstentions. Voting abstentions are procedural matters within the Commission and should not be viewed in any way as a negative factor with respect to a judge's performance.

WHO JUDGES THE JUDGES?



Arizona Commission on Judicial Performance Review

WE CAN HELP.

Hon. Steven J. Fuller

2022 Litigant Witness Survey Responses

Key: **SU** = Superior **VG** = Very Good **SA** = Satisfactory **PO** = Poor **UN** = Unsatisfactory

	SU		VG		SA		PO		UN		Mean	Total	No Resp
	Num.	Pct.	Num.	Pct.	Num.	Pct.	Num.	Pct.	Num.	Pct.			
1. Integrity													
1. Basic fairness and impartiality	18	62%	5	17%	3	10%	2	7%	1	3%	3.28	29	0
2. Equal treatment regardless of race	18	72%	3	12%	3	12%	1	4%	0	0%	3.52	25	0
3. Equal treatment regardless of gender	18	72%	3	12%	2	8%	2	8%	0	0%	3.48	25	0
4. Equal treatment regardless of religion	17	81%	1	5%	2	10%	1	5%	0	0%	3.62	21	0
5. Equal treatment regardless of national origin	17	77%	2	9%	2	9%	1	5%	0	0%	3.59	22	0
6. Equal treatment regardless of disability	17	74%	2	9%	2	9%	1	4%	1	4%	3.43	23	0
7. Equal treatment regardless of age	18	69%	4	15%	2	8%	2	8%	0	0%	3.46	26	0
8. Equal treatment regardless of sexual orientation	17	77%	2	9%	2	9%	0	0%	1	5%	3.55	22	0
9. Equal treatment regardless of economic status	17	74%	3	13%	2	9%	1	4%	0	0%	3.57	23	0
Category Total	157	73%	25	12%	20	9%	11	5%	3	1%	3.49	216	
2. Communication													
10. Explained proceedings	19	66%	3	10%	5	17%	1	3%	1	3%	3.31	29	0
11. Explained reasons for delays	16	73%	0	0%	5	23%	1	5%	0	0%	3.41	22	0
Category Total	35	69%	3	6%	10	20%	2	4%	1	2%	3.35	51	

3. Temperament													
12. Understanding and compassion	19	66%	3	10%	3	10%	2	7%	2	7%	3.21	29	0
13. Dignified	19	68%	2	7%	5	18%	1	4%	1	4%	3.32	28	0
14. Courteous	19	70%	1	4%	5	19%	1	4%	1	4%	3.33	27	0
15. Conduct that promotes public confidence in the court	18	67%	3	11%	3	11%	1	4%	2	7%	3.26	27	0
16. Patient	18	64%	2	7%	4	14%	2	7%	2	7%	3.14	28	0
Category Total	93	67%	11	8%	20	14%	7	5%	8	6%	3.25	139	
4. Admin Performance													
17. Punctual in conducting proceedings	19	66%	5	17%	4	14%	0	0%	1	3%	3.41	29	0
18. Maintained proper control of courtroom	19	68%	4	14%	5	18%	0	0%	0	0%	3.50	28	0
19. Was prepared for the proceedings	17	61%	4	14%	4	14%	3	11%	0	0%	3.25	28	0
Category Total	55	65%	13	15%	13	15%	3	4%	1	1%	3.39	85	



JPR
ARIZONA JUDICIAL PERFORMANCE REVIEW

[Home](#) > [Performance Reports](#) > Judicial Reports

Judicial Reports

Commission number votes may vary based upon abstentions. Voting abstentions are procedural matters within the Commission and should not be viewed in any way as a negative factor with respect to a judge's performance.

WHO JUDGES THE JUDGES?



Arizona Commission on Judicial Performance Review

WE CAN HELP.

Hon. Steven J. Fuller

2022 Juror Survey Responses

Key: **SU** = Superior **VG** = Very Good **SA** = Satisfactory **PO** = Poor **UN** = Unsatisfactory

	SU		VG		SA		PO		UN		Mean	Total	No Resp
	Num.	Pct.	Num.	Pct.	Num.	Pct.	Num.	Pct.	Num.	Pct.			
1. Integrity													
1. Basic fairness and impartiality	13	65%	7	35%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	3.65	20	0
2. Equal treatment regardless of race	13	72%	5	28%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	3.72	18	0
3. Equal treatment regardless of gender	14	74%	5	26%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	3.74	19	0
4. Equal treatment regardless of religion	12	71%	5	29%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	3.71	17	0
5. Equal treatment regardless of national origin	12	71%	5	29%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	3.71	17	0
6. Equal treatment regardless of disability	12	71%	5	29%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	3.71	17	0
7. Equal treatment regardless of age	14	74%	5	26%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	3.74	19	0
8. Equal treatment regardless of sexual orientation	10	67%	5	33%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	3.67	15	0
9. Equal treatment regardless of economic status	11	69%	5	31%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	3.69	16	0
Category Total	111	70%	47	30%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	3.70	158	
2. Communication													
10. Explained proceedings to the jury	15	65%	6	26%	2	9%	0	0%	0	0%	3.57	23	0
11. Explained reasons for delays	13	59%	7	32%	2	9%	0	0%	0	0%	3.50	22	0

12. Clearly explained the juror's responsibilities	15	65%	6	26%	2	9%	0	0%	0	0%	3.57	23	0
Category Total	43	63%	19	28%	6	9%	0	0%	0	0%	3.54	68	
3. Temperament													
13. Understanding and Compassion	14	61%	8	35%	1	4%	0	0%	0	0%	3.57	23	0
14. Dignified	15	65%	7	30%	1	4%	0	0%	0	0%	3.61	23	0
15. Courteous	15	65%	7	30%	1	4%	0	0%	0	0%	3.61	23	0
16. Conduct that promotes public confidence in the court and judge's ability	15	68%	6	27%	1	5%	0	0%	0	0%	3.64	22	0
17. Patient	14	64%	6	27%	1	5%	1	5%	0	0%	3.50	22	0
Category Total	73	65%	34	30%	5	4%	1	1%	0	0%	3.58	113	
4. Admin Performance													
18. Punctuality in conducting proceedings	14	61%	7	30%	2	9%	0	0%	0	0%	3.52	23	0
19. Maintained proper control of courtroom	16	70%	7	30%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	3.70	23	0
20. Was prepared for the proceedings	16	70%	7	30%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	3.70	23	0
Category Total	46	67%	21	30%	2	3%	0	0%	0	0%	3.64	69	