



**JPR**  
ARIZONA JUDICIAL PERFORMANCE REVIEW

[Home](#) > [Performance Reports](#) > Judicial Reports

## Judicial Reports

Commission number votes may vary based upon abstentions. Voting abstentions are procedural matters within the Commission and should not be viewed in any way as a negative factor with respect to a judge's performance.

### Maricopa County Voters Only

**Hon. Dewain Fox**  
Maricopa County Superior Court  
Bench: Criminal  
Appointed: 2015

**100% of the Commission Voted Judge Fox  
MEETS Judicial Performance Standards**  
28 Commissioners Voted 'Meets'  
0 Commissioners Voted 'Does Not Meet'

Show Surveys from Prior Years

2022	<b>Attorney Surveys</b> Distributed: 379 Returned: 40 <b>Score (See Footnote)</b>	<b>Juror Surveys</b> Distributed: 112 Returned: 48 <b>Score (See Footnote)</b>	<b>Litigant Witness Surveys</b> Distributed: 413 Returned: 43 <b>Score (See Footnote)</b>
Legal Ability	96%	n/a	n/a
Integrity	99%	100%	89%
Communication	99%	100%	89%
Temperament	100%	100%	86%
Admin Performance	99%	100%	94%
Settlement Activities	100%	n/a	n/a

#### FOOTNOTE:

Judges are evaluated on their judicial performance midway through their term and prior to their retention election. Beginning in 2022, their survey evaluation scores include midterm scores and retention scores. Newly appointed judges serve a two-year term and are evaluated prior to their retention election on their retention scores. The score is the percentage of all evaluators who rated the judge "superior", "very good", or "satisfactory" in each of the Commission's evaluation categories. Depending on the assignment, a judge may not have responses in certain categories, indicated by N/A (for example, some judicial assignments do not require jury trials or no survey responses were returned). The JPR Commission votes "Yes" or "No" on whether a judge "MEETS" Judicial Performance Standards, based on the statistical information, as well as any other information submitted by the public or the judge. Further information on the judges and justices can be found at each court's website.



**JPR**  
ARIZONA JUDICIAL PERFORMANCE REVIEW

[Home](#) > [Performance Reports](#) > Judicial Reports

## Judicial Reports

Commission number votes may vary based upon abstentions. Voting abstentions are procedural matters within the Commission and should not be viewed in any way as a negative factor with respect to a judge's performance.

WHO JUDGES THE JUDGES?



## Arizona Commission on Judicial Performance Review

WE CAN HELP.

Hon. Dewain Fox

2022 Attorney Survey Responses

Key: **SU** = Superior **VG** = Very Good **SA** = Satisfactory **PO** = Poor **UN** = Unsatisfactory

	SU		VG		SA		PO		UN		Mean	Total	No Resp
	Num.	Pct.	Num.	Pct.	Num.	Pct.	Num.	Pct.	Num.	Pct.			
<b>1. Legal Ability</b>													
1. Legal reasoning ability	16	44%	14	39%	5	14%	1	3%	0	0%	3.25	36	0
2. Knowledge of substantive law	15	43%	14	40%	5	14%	1	3%	0	0%	3.23	35	0
3. Knowledge of rules of evidence	17	50%	11	32%	3	9%	2	6%	1	3%	3.21	34	0
4. Knowledge of rules of procedure	16	44%	14	39%	5	14%	1	3%	0	0%	3.25	36	0
<b>Category Total</b>	<b>64</b>	<b>45%</b>	<b>53</b>	<b>38%</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>13%</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>4%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1%</b>	<b>3.23</b>	<b>141</b>	
<b>2. Integrity</b>													
5. Basic fairness and impartiality	22	55%	12	30%	5	13%	1	3%	0	0%	3.38	40	0
6. Equal treatment regardless of race	20	56%	10	28%	6	17%	0	0%	0	0%	3.39	36	0
7. Equal treatment regardless of gender	21	55%	11	29%	5	13%	1	3%	0	0%	3.37	38	0
8. Equal treatment regardless of religion	18	53%	10	29%	6	18%	0	0%	0	0%	3.35	34	0
9. Equal treatment regardless of national origin	20	56%	10	28%	6	17%	0	0%	0	0%	3.39	36	0
10. Equal treatment regardless of disability	18	53%	10	29%	6	18%	0	0%	0	0%	3.35	34	0
11. Equal treatment regardless of age	20	54%	11	30%	6	16%	0	0%	0	0%	3.38	37	0

12. Equal treatment regardless of sexual orientation	17	53%	9	28%	6	19%	0	0%	0	0%	3.34	32	0
13. Equal treatment regardless of economic status	21	57%	10	27%	6	16%	0	0%	0	0%	3.41	37	0
<b>Category Total</b>	<b>177</b>	<b>55%</b>	<b>93</b>	<b>29%</b>	<b>52</b>	<b>16%</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>1%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>3.37</b>	<b>324</b>	
<b>3. Communication</b>													
14. Clear and logical oral communications and directions	20	51%	14	36%	4	10%	1	3%	0	0%	3.36	39	0
15. Clear and logical written decisions	19	56%	11	32%	4	12%	0	0%	0	0%	3.44	34	0
16. Gave all parties an adequate opportunity to be heard	21	54%	15	38%	3	8%	0	0%	0	0%	3.46	39	0
<b>Category Total</b>	<b>60</b>	<b>54%</b>	<b>40</b>	<b>36%</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>10%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>3.42</b>	<b>112</b>	
<b>4. Temperament</b>													
17. Understanding and compassion	20	50%	14	35%	6	15%	0	0%	0	0%	3.35	40	0
18. Dignified	24	60%	11	28%	5	13%	0	0%	0	0%	3.48	40	0
19. Courteous	23	57%	12	30%	5	13%	0	0%	0	0%	3.45	40	0
20. Conduct that promoted public confidence in the court and judge's ability	23	57%	12	30%	5	13%	0	0%	0	0%	3.45	40	0
21. Patient	21	53%	15	38%	4	10%	0	0%	0	0%	3.42	40	0
<b>Category Total</b>	<b>111</b>	<b>56%</b>	<b>64</b>	<b>32%</b>	<b>25</b>	<b>12%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>3.43</b>	<b>200</b>	
<b>5. Admin Performance</b>													
22. Punctual in conducting proceedings	22	55%	13	33%	5	13%	0	0%	0	0%	3.42	40	0
23. Maintained proper control over courtroom	22	55%	13	33%	4	10%	1	3%	0	0%	3.40	40	0
24. Prompt in making rulings and rendering decisions	20	59%	12	35%	2	6%	0	0%	0	0%	3.53	34	0
25. Was prepared for the proceedings	22	55%	14	35%	4	10%	0	0%	0	0%	3.45	40	0
26. Efficient management of the calendar	20	53%	15	39%	3	8%	0	0%	0	0%	3.45	38	0
<b>Category Total</b>	<b>106</b>	<b>55%</b>	<b>67</b>	<b>35%</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>9%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>3.45</b>	<b>192</b>	
<b>6. Settlement Activities</b>													
27. Appropriately promoted or conducted settlement	12	52%	8	35%	3	13%	0	0%	0	0%	3.39	23	0
<b>Category Total</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>52%</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>35%</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>13%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>3.39</b>	<b>23</b>	



**JPR**  
ARIZONA JUDICIAL PERFORMANCE REVIEW

[Home](#) > [Performance Reports](#) > Judicial Reports

## Judicial Reports

Commission number votes may vary based upon abstentions. Voting abstentions are procedural matters within the Commission and should not be viewed in any way as a negative factor with respect to a judge's performance.

WHO JUDGES THE JUDGES?



## Arizona Commission on Judicial Performance Review

WE CAN HELP.

Hon. Dewain Fox

2022 Litigant Witness Survey Responses

Key: **SU** = Superior **VG** = Very Good **SA** = Satisfactory **PO** = Poor **UN** = Unsatisfactory

	SU		VG		SA		PO		UN		Mean	Total	No Resp
	Num.	Pct.	Num.	Pct.	Num.	Pct.	Num.	Pct.	Num.	Pct.			
<b>1. Integrity</b>													
1. Basic fairness and impartiality	23	56%	9	22%	3	7%	4	10%	2	5%	3.15	41	0
2. Equal treatment regardless of race	22	56%	8	21%	4	10%	3	8%	2	5%	3.15	39	0
3. Equal treatment regardless of gender	22	56%	8	21%	5	13%	3	8%	1	3%	3.21	39	0
4. Equal treatment regardless of religion	22	61%	7	19%	4	11%	2	6%	1	3%	3.31	36	0
5. Equal treatment regardless of national origin	21	57%	9	24%	4	11%	2	5%	1	3%	3.27	37	0
6. Equal treatment regardless of disability	20	57%	6	17%	5	14%	3	9%	1	3%	3.17	35	0
7. Equal treatment regardless of age	21	58%	8	22%	4	11%	2	6%	1	3%	3.28	36	0
8. Equal treatment regardless of sexual orientation	20	61%	6	18%	3	9%	3	9%	1	3%	3.24	33	0
9. Equal treatment regardless of economic status	19	51%	7	19%	6	16%	3	8%	2	5%	3.03	37	0
<b>Category Total</b>	<b>190</b>	<b>57%</b>	<b>68</b>	<b>20%</b>	<b>38</b>	<b>11%</b>	<b>25</b>	<b>8%</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>4%</b>	<b>3.20</b>	<b>333</b>	
<b>2. Communication</b>													
10. Explained proceedings	24	63%	7	18%	3	8%	2	5%	2	5%	3.29	38	0
11. Explained reasons for delays	19	54%	8	23%	4	11%	2	6%	2	6%	3.14	35	0
<b>Category Total</b>	<b>43</b>	<b>59%</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>21%</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>10%</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>5%</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>5%</b>	<b>3.22</b>	<b>73</b>	

<b>3. Temperament</b>														
12. Understanding and compassion	18	46%	10	26%	5	13%	4	10%	2	5%	2.97	39	0	
13. Dignified	21	55%	7	18%	5	13%	3	8%	2	5%	3.11	38	0	
14. Courteous	22	55%	9	23%	4	10%	3	8%	2	5%	3.15	40	0	
15. Conduct that promotes public confidence in the court	21	53%	8	20%	4	10%	5	13%	2	5%	3.02	40	0	
16. Patient	21	55%	9	24%	4	11%	3	8%	1	3%	3.21	38	0	
<b>Category Total</b>	<b>103</b>	<b>53%</b>	<b>43</b>	<b>22%</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>11%</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>9%</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>5%</b>	<b>3.09</b>	<b>195</b>		
<b>4. Admin Performance</b>														
17. Punctual in conducting proceedings	25	66%	7	18%	3	8%	2	5%	1	3%	3.39	38	0	
18. Maintained proper control of courtroom	26	65%	8	20%	4	10%	1	3%	1	3%	3.42	40	0	
19. Was prepared for the proceedings	26	67%	7	18%	4	10%	1	3%	1	3%	3.44	39	0	
<b>Category Total</b>	<b>77</b>	<b>66%</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>19%</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>9%</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>3%</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>3%</b>	<b>3.42</b>	<b>117</b>		



**JPR**  
ARIZONA JUDICIAL PERFORMANCE REVIEW

[Home](#) > [Performance Reports](#) > Judicial Reports

## Judicial Reports

Commission number votes may vary based upon abstentions. Voting abstentions are procedural matters within the Commission and should not be viewed in any way as a negative factor with respect to a judge's performance.

WHO JUDGES THE JUDGES?



## Arizona Commission on Judicial Performance Review

WE CAN HELP.

Hon. Dewain Fox

2022 Juror Survey Responses

Key: **SU** = Superior    **VG** = Very Good    **SA** = Satisfactory    **PO** = Poor    **UN** = Unsatisfactory

	SU		VG		SA		PO		UN		Mean	Total	No Resp
	Num.	Pct.	Num.	Pct.	Num.	Pct.	Num.	Pct.	Num.	Pct.			
<b>1. Integrity</b>													
1. Basic fairness and impartiality	41	87%	6	13%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	3.87	47	0
2. Equal treatment regardless of race	41	87%	6	13%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	3.87	47	0
3. Equal treatment regardless of gender	42	89%	5	11%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	3.89	47	0
4. Equal treatment regardless of religion	36	90%	4	10%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	3.90	40	0
5. Equal treatment regardless of national origin	42	89%	5	11%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	3.89	47	0
6. Equal treatment regardless of disability	38	90%	3	7%	1	2%	0	0%	0	0%	3.88	42	0
7. Equal treatment regardless of age	40	87%	5	11%	1	2%	0	0%	0	0%	3.85	46	0
8. Equal treatment regardless of sexual orientation	37	93%	3	8%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	3.92	40	0
9. Equal treatment regardless of economic status	40	89%	5	11%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	3.89	45	0
<b>Category Total</b>	<b>357</b>	<b>89%</b>	<b>42</b>	<b>10%</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>3.89</b>	<b>401</b>	
<b>2. Communication</b>													
10. Explained proceedings to the jury	44	94%	3	6%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	3.94	47	0
11. Explained reasons for delays	43	91%	4	9%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	3.91	47	0

12. Clearly explained the juror's responsibilities	45	96%	2	4%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	3.96	47	0
<b>Category Total</b>	<b>132</b>	<b>94%</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>6%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>3.94</b>	<b>141</b>	
<b>3. Temperament</b>													
13. Understanding and Compassion	41	87%	5	11%	1	2%	0	0%	0	0%	3.85	47	0
14. Dignified	40	87%	5	11%	1	2%	0	0%	0	0%	3.85	46	0
15. Courteous	40	87%	6	13%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	3.87	46	0
16. Conduct that promotes public confidence in the court and judge's ability	39	85%	7	15%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	3.85	46	0
17. Patient	41	87%	5	11%	1	2%	0	0%	0	0%	3.85	47	0
<b>Category Total</b>	<b>201</b>	<b>87%</b>	<b>28</b>	<b>12%</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>1%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>3.85</b>	<b>232</b>	
<b>4. Admin Performance</b>													
18. Punctuality in conducting proceedings	40	87%	3	7%	3	7%	0	0%	0	0%	3.80	46	0
19. Maintained proper control of courtroom	42	91%	4	9%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	3.91	46	0
20. Was prepared for the proceedings	43	93%	3	7%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	3.93	46	0
<b>Category Total</b>	<b>125</b>	<b>91%</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>7%</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>2%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>3.88</b>	<b>138</b>	

[Home](#) [Careers](#)  
[Site Map](#)[Career](#)

[Opportunities](#)  
[Court Vacancies](#)  
[Human Resources](#)

[Volunteer](#)  
[Volunteer-FCRB](#)  
[Volunteer-CASA](#)  
[Volunteer-AmeriCorps](#)

**Helpful Links**  
[National Center for State Courts](#)  
[State Bar of Arizona](#)  
[AZ@ Your Service](#)  
[Commission on Judicial Conduct](#)  
[Legal Reference & Links](#)  
[Educator Links](#)  
[Legal Associations](#)  
[Arizona Revised Statutes](#)  
[Interpreters](#)  
[CORP Website](#)  
[Self-Service Center](#)  
[El Centro de Autoservicio](#)

[Contact Us](#)  
[Feedback](#)  
[Site Map](#)