



JPR
ARIZONA JUDICIAL PERFORMANCE REVIEW

[Home](#) > [Performance Reports](#) > Judicial Reports

Judicial Reports

Commission number votes may vary based upon abstentions. Voting abstentions are procedural matters within the Commission and should not be viewed in any way as a negative factor with respect to a judge's performance.

Coconino County Voters Only

Hon. Ted Reed
Coconino
Bench: Juvenile
Appointed: 2019

**100% of the Commission Voted Judge Reed
MEETS Judicial Performance Standards**
28 Commissioners Voted 'Meets'
0 Commissioners Voted 'Does Not Meet'

2022	Attorney Surveys	Juror Surveys	Litigant Witness Surveys
	Distributed: 100 Returned: 34 Score (See Footnote)	Distributed: 22 Returned: 10 Score (See Footnote)	Distributed: 161 Returned: 50 Score (See Footnote)
Legal Ability	94%	n/a	n/a
Integrity	96%	100%	91%
Communication	89%	100%	89%
Temperament	95%	100%	90%
Admin Performance	94%	100%	90%
Settlement Activities	100%	n/a	n/a

FOOTNOTE:

Judges are evaluated on their judicial performance midway through their term and prior to their retention election. Beginning in 2022, their survey evaluation scores include midterm scores and retention scores. Newly appointed judges serve a two-year term and are evaluated prior to their retention election on their retention scores. The score is the percentage of all evaluators who rated the judge "superior", "very good", or "satisfactory" in each of the Commission's evaluation categories. Depending on the assignment, a judge may not have responses in certain categories, indicated by N/A (for example, some judicial assignments do not require jury trials or no survey responses were returned). The JPR Commission votes "Yes" or "No" on whether a judge "MEETS" Judicial Performance Standards, based on the statistical information, as well as any other information submitted by the public or the judge. Further information on the judges and justices can be found at each court's website.



JPR
ARIZONA JUDICIAL PERFORMANCE REVIEW

[Home](#) > [Performance Reports](#) > Judicial Reports

Judicial Reports

Commission number votes may vary based upon abstentions. Voting abstentions are procedural matters within the Commission and should not be viewed in any way as a negative factor with respect to a judge's performance.

WHO JUDGES THE JUDGES?



Arizona Commission on Judicial Performance Review

WE CAN HELP.

Hon. Ted Reed

2022 Attorney Survey Responses

Key: **SU** = Superior **VG** = Very Good **SA** = Satisfactory **PO** = Poor **UN** = Unsatisfactory

	SU		VG		SA		PO		UN		Mean	Total	No Resp
	Num.	Pct.	Num.	Pct.	Num.	Pct.	Num.	Pct.	Num.	Pct.			
1. Legal Ability													
1. Legal reasoning ability	16	47%	14	41%	1	3%	2	6%	1	3%	3.24	34	0
2. Knowledge of substantive law	14	41%	15	44%	4	12%	0	0%	1	3%	3.21	34	0
3. Knowledge of rules of evidence	14	45%	13	42%	2	6%	2	6%	0	0%	3.26	31	0
4. Knowledge of rules of procedure	15	45%	14	42%	2	6%	2	6%	0	0%	3.27	33	0
Category Total	59	45%	56	42%	9	7%	6	5%	2	2%	3.24	132	
2. Integrity													
5. Basic fairness and impartiality	17	52%	9	27%	3	9%	4	12%	0	0%	3.18	33	0
6. Equal treatment regardless of race	18	62%	7	24%	4	14%	0	0%	0	0%	3.48	29	0
7. Equal treatment regardless of gender	19	61%	6	19%	3	10%	3	10%	0	0%	3.32	31	0
8. Equal treatment regardless of religion	13	54%	7	29%	3	13%	1	4%	0	0%	3.33	24	0
9. Equal treatment regardless of national origin	17	61%	7	25%	4	14%	0	0%	0	0%	3.46	28	0
10. Equal treatment regardless of disability	17	59%	7	24%	5	17%	0	0%	0	0%	3.41	29	0
11. Equal treatment regardless of age	18	58%	7	23%	5	16%	0	0%	1	3%	3.32	31	0

12. Equal treatment regardless of sexual orientation	14	56%	7	28%	3	12%	1	4%	0	0%	3.36	25	0
13. Equal treatment regardless of economic status	16	59%	7	26%	3	11%	1	4%	0	0%	3.41	27	0
Category Total	149	58%	64	25%	33	13%	10	4%	1	0%	3.36	257	
3. Communication													
14. Clear and logical oral communications and directions	18	55%	8	24%	4	12%	2	6%	1	3%	3.21	33	0
15. Clear and logical written decisions	12	43%	10	36%	2	7%	2	7%	2	7%	3.00	28	0
16. Gave all parties an adequate opportunity to be heard	17	52%	10	30%	3	9%	1	3%	2	6%	3.18	33	0
Category Total	47	50%	28	30%	9	10%	5	5%	5	5%	3.14	94	
4. Temperament													
17. Understanding and compassion	16	50%	11	34%	4	13%	1	3%	0	0%	3.31	32	0
18. Dignified	21	64%	9	27%	1	3%	2	6%	0	0%	3.48	33	0
19. Courteous	20	61%	10	30%	1	3%	2	6%	0	0%	3.45	33	0
20. Conduct that promoted public confidence in the court and judge's ability	21	64%	8	24%	2	6%	1	3%	1	3%	3.42	33	0
21. Patient	18	55%	6	18%	7	21%	2	6%	0	0%	3.21	33	0
Category Total	96	59%	44	27%	15	9%	8	5%	1	1%	3.38	164	
5. Admin Performance													
22. Punctual in conducting proceedings	12	38%	11	34%	8	25%	1	3%	0	0%	3.06	32	0
23. Maintained proper control over courtroom	13	41%	14	44%	3	9%	2	6%	0	0%	3.19	32	0
24. Prompt in making rulings and rendering decisions	13	41%	13	41%	4	13%	1	3%	1	3%	3.12	32	0
25. Was prepared for the proceedings	16	50%	12	38%	3	9%	0	0%	1	3%	3.31	32	0
26. Efficient management of the calendar	14	44%	12	38%	3	9%	2	6%	1	3%	3.12	32	0
Category Total	68	42%	62	39%	21	13%	6	4%	3	2%	3.16	160	
6. Settlement Activities													
27. Appropriately promoted or conducted settlement	5	33%	8	53%	2	13%	0	0%	0	0%	3.20	15	0
Category Total	5	33%	8	53%	2	13%	0	0%	0	0%	3.20	15	



JPR
ARIZONA JUDICIAL PERFORMANCE REVIEW

[Home](#) > [Performance Reports](#) > Judicial Reports

Judicial Reports

Commission number votes may vary based upon abstentions. Voting abstentions are procedural matters within the Commission and should not be viewed in any way as a negative factor with respect to a judge's performance.

WHO JUDGES THE JUDGES?



Arizona Commission on Judicial Performance Review

WE CAN HELP.

Hon. Ted Reed

2022 Litigant Witness Survey Responses

Key: **SU** = Superior **VG** = Very Good **SA** = Satisfactory **PO** = Poor **UN** = Unsatisfactory

	SU		VG		SA		PO		UN		Mean	Total	No Resp
	Num.	Pct.	Num.	Pct.	Num.	Pct.	Num.	Pct.	Num.	Pct.			
1. Integrity													
1. Basic fairness and impartiality	26	59%	12	27%	1	2%	3	7%	2	5%	3.30	44	0
2. Equal treatment regardless of race	28	68%	8	20%	0	0%	4	10%	1	2%	3.41	41	0
3. Equal treatment regardless of gender	31	72%	7	16%	2	5%	1	2%	2	5%	3.49	43	0
4. Equal treatment regardless of religion	27	64%	11	26%	1	2%	1	2%	2	5%	3.43	42	0
5. Equal treatment regardless of national origin	27	66%	9	22%	1	2%	2	5%	2	5%	3.39	41	0
6. Equal treatment regardless of disability	27	66%	8	20%	3	7%	0	0%	3	7%	3.37	41	0
7. Equal treatment regardless of age	26	65%	11	28%	1	3%	1	3%	1	3%	3.50	40	0
8. Equal treatment regardless of sexual orientation	26	65%	9	23%	1	3%	2	5%	2	5%	3.38	40	0
9. Equal treatment regardless of economic status	27	69%	9	23%	0	0%	1	3%	2	5%	3.49	39	0
Category Total	245	66%	84	23%	10	3%	15	4%	17	5%	3.42	371	
2. Communication													
10. Explained proceedings	23	51%	15	33%	3	7%	2	4%	2	4%	3.22	45	0
11. Explained reasons for delays	24	60%	8	20%	3	8%	3	8%	2	5%	3.22	40	0
Category Total	47	55%	23	27%	6	7%	5	6%	4	5%	3.22	85	

3. Temperament													
12. Understanding and compassion	28	62%	9	20%	2	4%	1	2%	5	11%	3.20	45	0
13. Dignified	29	64%	10	22%	2	4%	2	4%	2	4%	3.38	45	0
14. Courteous	30	68%	9	20%	2	5%	0	0%	3	7%	3.43	44	0
15. Conduct that promotes public confidence in the court	33	72%	6	13%	1	2%	2	4%	4	9%	3.35	46	0
16. Patient	31	69%	7	16%	3	7%	2	4%	2	4%	3.40	45	0
Category Total	151	67%	41	18%	10	4%	7	3%	16	7%	3.35	225	
4. Admin Performance													
17. Punctual in conducting proceedings	31	70%	6	14%	1	2%	3	7%	3	7%	3.34	44	0
18. Maintained proper control of courtroom	32	73%	6	14%	3	7%	0	0%	3	7%	3.45	44	0
19. Was prepared for the proceedings	30	70%	7	16%	2	5%	2	5%	2	5%	3.42	43	0
Category Total	93	71%	19	15%	6	5%	5	4%	8	6%	3.40	131	



JPR
ARIZONA JUDICIAL PERFORMANCE REVIEW

[Home](#) > [Performance Reports](#) > Judicial Reports

Judicial Reports

Commission number votes may vary based upon abstentions. Voting abstentions are procedural matters within the Commission and should not be viewed in any way as a negative factor with respect to a judge's performance.

WHO JUDGES THE JUDGES?



Arizona Commission on Judicial Performance Review

WE CAN HELP.

Hon. Ted Reed

2022 Juror Survey Responses

Key: **SU** = Superior **VG** = Very Good **SA** = Satisfactory **PO** = Poor **UN** = Unsatisfactory

	SU		VG		SA		PO		UN		Mean	Total	No Resp
	Num.	Pct.	Num.	Pct.	Num.	Pct.	Num.	Pct.	Num.	Pct.			
1. Integrity													
1. Basic fairness and impartiality	8	80%	2	20%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	3.80	10	0
2. Equal treatment regardless of race	5	71%	2	29%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	3.71	7	0
3. Equal treatment regardless of gender	7	78%	1	11%	1	11%	0	0%	0	0%	3.67	9	0
4. Equal treatment regardless of religion	4	80%	1	20%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	3.80	5	0
5. Equal treatment regardless of national origin	4	80%	1	20%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	3.80	5	0
6. Equal treatment regardless of disability	4	80%	1	20%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	3.80	5	0
7. Equal treatment regardless of age	6	86%	1	14%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	3.86	7	0
8. Equal treatment regardless of sexual orientation	3	60%	1	20%	1	20%	0	0%	0	0%	3.40	5	0
9. Equal treatment regardless of economic status	6	86%	1	14%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	3.86	7	0
Category Total	47	78%	11	18%	2	3%	0	0%	0	0%	3.75	60	
2. Communication													
10. Explained proceedings to the jury	7	70%	3	30%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	3.70	10	0
11. Explained reasons for delays	8	80%	2	20%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	3.80	10	0

12. Clearly explained the juror's responsibilities	7	70%	3	30%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	3.70	10	0
Category Total	22	73%	8	27%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	3.73	30	
3. Temperament													
13. Understanding and Compassion	6	86%	1	14%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	3.86	7	0
14. Dignified	9	90%	1	10%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	3.90	10	0
15. Courteous	9	90%	1	10%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	3.90	10	0
16. Conduct that promotes public confidence in the court and judge's ability	9	90%	1	10%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	3.90	10	0
17. Patient	8	80%	2	20%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	3.80	10	0
Category Total	41	87%	6	13%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	3.87	47	
4. Admin Performance													
18. Punctuality in conducting proceedings	6	60%	4	40%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	3.60	10	0
19. Maintained proper control of courtroom	8	89%	1	11%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	3.89	9	0
20. Was prepared for the proceedings	7	78%	2	22%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	3.78	9	0
Category Total	21	75%	7	25%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	3.75	28	