



**JPR**  
ARIZONA JUDICIAL PERFORMANCE REVIEW

[Home](#) > [Performance Reports](#) > Judicial Reports

## Judicial Reports

Commission number votes may vary based upon abstentions. Voting abstentions are procedural matters within the Commission and should not be viewed in any way as a negative factor with respect to a judge's performance.

### Maricopa County Voters Only

**Hon. Julie A. Mata**

Maricopa County Superior Court

Bench: Juvenile

Appointed: 2020

**100% of the Commission Voted Judge Mata MEETS Judicial Performance Standards**  
28 Commissioners Voted 'Meets'  
0 Commissioners Voted 'Does Not Meet'

2022	<b>Attorney Surveys</b> Distributed: 114 Returned: 24 <b>Score (See Footnote)</b>	<b>Juror Surveys</b> Distributed: 0 Returned: 0 <b>Score (See Footnote)</b>	<b>Litigant Witness Surveys</b> Distributed: 290 Returned: 67 <b>Score (See Footnote)</b>
Legal Ability	100%	n/a	n/a
Integrity	100%	n/a	98%
Communication	100%	n/a	98%
Temperament	100%	n/a	98%
Admin Performance	100%	n/a	98%
Settlement Activities	100%	n/a	n/a

**FOOTNOTE:**

Judges are evaluated on their judicial performance midway through their term and prior to their retention election. Beginning in 2022, their survey evaluation scores include midterm scores and retention scores. Newly appointed judges serve a two-year term and are evaluated prior to their retention election on their retention scores. The score is the percentage of all evaluators who rated the judge "superior", "very good", or "satisfactory" in each of the Commission's evaluation categories. Depending on the assignment, a judge may not have responses in certain categories, indicated by N/A (for example, some judicial assignments do not require jury trials or no survey responses were returned). The JPR Commission votes "Yes" or "No" on whether a judge "MEETS" Judicial Performance Standards, based on the statistical information, as well as any other information submitted by the public or the judge. Further information on the judges and justices can be found at each court's website.



**JPR**  
ARIZONA JUDICIAL PERFORMANCE REVIEW

[Home](#) > [Performance Reports](#) > Judicial Reports

## Judicial Reports

Commission number votes may vary based upon abstentions. Voting abstentions are procedural matters within the Commission and should not be viewed in any way as a negative factor with respect to a judge's performance.

WHO JUDGES THE JUDGES?



## Arizona Commission on Judicial Performance Review

WE CAN HELP.

Hon. Julie A. Mata

2022 Attorney Survey Responses

Key: **SU** = Superior **VG** = Very Good **SA** = Satisfactory **PO** = Poor **UN** = Unsatisfactory

	SU		VG		SA		PO		UN		Mean	Total	No Resp
	Num.	Pct.	Num.	Pct.	Num.	Pct.	Num.	Pct.	Num.	Pct.			
<b>1. Legal Ability</b>													
1. Legal reasoning ability	10	45%	7	32%	5	23%	0	0%	0	0%	3.23	22	0
2. Knowledge of substantive law	9	41%	6	27%	7	32%	0	0%	0	0%	3.09	22	0
3. Knowledge of rules of evidence	12	55%	5	23%	5	23%	0	0%	0	0%	3.32	22	0
4. Knowledge of rules of procedure	12	55%	5	23%	5	23%	0	0%	0	0%	3.32	22	0
<b>Category Total</b>	<b>43</b>	<b>49%</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>26%</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>25%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>3.24</b>	<b>88</b>	
<b>2. Integrity</b>													
5. Basic fairness and impartiality	15	63%	6	25%	3	13%	0	0%	0	0%	3.50	24	0
6. Equal treatment regardless of race	15	65%	6	26%	2	9%	0	0%	0	0%	3.57	23	0
7. Equal treatment regardless of gender	16	67%	6	25%	2	8%	0	0%	0	0%	3.58	24	0
8. Equal treatment regardless of religion	14	67%	5	24%	2	10%	0	0%	0	0%	3.57	21	0
9. Equal treatment regardless of national origin	14	64%	6	27%	2	9%	0	0%	0	0%	3.55	22	0
10. Equal treatment regardless of disability	14	67%	5	24%	2	10%	0	0%	0	0%	3.57	21	0
11. Equal treatment regardless of age	15	65%	6	26%	2	9%	0	0%	0	0%	3.57	23	0

12. Equal treatment regardless of sexual orientation	14	67%	5	24%	2	10%	0	0%	0	0%	3.57	21	0
13. Equal treatment regardless of economic status	16	70%	5	22%	2	9%	0	0%	0	0%	3.61	23	0
<b>Category Total</b>	<b>133</b>	<b>66%</b>	<b>50</b>	<b>25%</b>	<b>19</b>	<b>9%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>3.56</b>	<b>202</b>	
<b>3. Communication</b>													
14. Clear and logical oral communications and directions	14	61%	7	30%	2	9%	0	0%	0	0%	3.52	23	0
15. Clear and logical written decisions	11	55%	6	30%	3	15%	0	0%	0	0%	3.40	20	0
16. Gave all parties an adequate opportunity to be heard	18	75%	4	17%	2	8%	0	0%	0	0%	3.67	24	0
<b>Category Total</b>	<b>43</b>	<b>64%</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>25%</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>10%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>3.54</b>	<b>67</b>	
<b>4. Temperament</b>													
17. Understanding and compassion	19	79%	5	21%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	3.79	24	0
18. Dignified	18	75%	5	21%	1	4%	0	0%	0	0%	3.71	24	0
19. Courteous	19	79%	4	17%	1	4%	0	0%	0	0%	3.75	24	0
20. Conduct that promoted public confidence in the court and judge's ability	19	79%	4	17%	1	4%	0	0%	0	0%	3.75	24	0
21. Patient	18	75%	4	17%	2	8%	0	0%	0	0%	3.67	24	0
<b>Category Total</b>	<b>93</b>	<b>78%</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>18%</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>4%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>3.73</b>	<b>120</b>	
<b>5. Admin Performance</b>													
22. Punctual in conducting proceedings	10	43%	4	17%	9	39%	0	0%	0	0%	3.04	23	0
23. Maintained proper control over courtroom	10	43%	10	43%	3	13%	0	0%	0	0%	3.30	23	0
24. Prompt in making rulings and rendering decisions	9	43%	10	48%	2	10%	0	0%	0	0%	3.33	21	0
25. Was prepared for the proceedings	15	65%	6	26%	2	9%	0	0%	0	0%	3.57	23	0
26. Efficient management of the calendar	10	45%	4	18%	8	36%	0	0%	0	0%	3.09	22	0
<b>Category Total</b>	<b>54</b>	<b>48%</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>30%</b>	<b>24</b>	<b>21%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>3.27</b>	<b>112</b>	
<b>6. Settlement Activities</b>													
27. Appropriately promoted or conducted settlement	7	58%	5	42%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	3.58	12	0
<b>Category Total</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>58%</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>42%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>3.58</b>	<b>12</b>	



**JPR**  
ARIZONA JUDICIAL PERFORMANCE REVIEW

[Home](#) > [Performance Reports](#) > Judicial Reports

## Judicial Reports

Commission number votes may vary based upon abstentions. Voting abstentions are procedural matters within the Commission and should not be viewed in any way as a negative factor with respect to a judge's performance.

WHO JUDGES THE JUDGES?



## Arizona Commission on Judicial Performance Review

WE CAN HELP.

Hon. Julie A. Mata

2022 Litigant Witness Survey Responses

Key: **SU** = Superior **VG** = Very Good **SA** = Satisfactory **PO** = Poor **UN** = Unsatisfactory

	SU		VG		SA		PO		UN		Mean	Total	No Resp
	Num.	Pct.	Num.	Pct.	Num.	Pct.	Num.	Pct.	Num.	Pct.			
<b>1. Integrity</b>													
1. Basic fairness and impartiality	32	52%	19	31%	8	13%	1	2%	1	2%	3.31	61	0
2. Equal treatment regardless of race	36	60%	19	32%	4	7%	0	0%	1	2%	3.48	60	0
3. Equal treatment regardless of gender	36	60%	18	30%	5	8%	0	0%	1	2%	3.47	60	0
4. Equal treatment regardless of religion	36	64%	15	27%	4	7%	0	0%	1	2%	3.52	56	0
5. Equal treatment regardless of national origin	36	63%	17	30%	3	5%	0	0%	1	2%	3.53	57	0
6. Equal treatment regardless of disability	34	61%	18	32%	3	5%	0	0%	1	2%	3.50	56	0
7. Equal treatment regardless of age	36	60%	19	32%	4	7%	0	0%	1	2%	3.48	60	0
8. Equal treatment regardless of sexual orientation	35	64%	16	29%	3	5%	0	0%	1	2%	3.53	55	0
9. Equal treatment regardless of economic status	33	58%	18	32%	5	9%	0	0%	1	2%	3.44	57	0
<b>Category Total</b>	<b>314</b>	<b>60%</b>	<b>159</b>	<b>30%</b>	<b>39</b>	<b>7%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>2%</b>	<b>3.47</b>	<b>522</b>	
<b>2. Communication</b>													
10. Explained proceedings	36	58%	18	29%	7	11%	0	0%	1	2%	3.42	62	0
11. Explained reasons for delays	31	58%	17	32%	4	8%	0	0%	1	2%	3.45	53	0
<b>Category Total</b>	<b>67</b>	<b>58%</b>	<b>35</b>	<b>30%</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>10%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>2%</b>	<b>3.43</b>	<b>115</b>	

<b>3. Temperament</b>													
12. Understanding and compassion	36	58%	19	31%	5	8%	1	2%	1	2%	3.42	62	0
13. Dignified	36	58%	20	32%	5	8%	0	0%	1	2%	3.45	62	0
14. Courteous	37	60%	19	31%	5	8%	0	0%	1	2%	3.47	62	0
15. Conduct that promotes public confidence in the court	34	56%	20	33%	6	10%	0	0%	1	2%	3.41	61	0
16. Patient	41	66%	12	19%	8	13%	0	0%	1	2%	3.48	62	0
<b>Category Total</b>	<b>184</b>	<b>60%</b>	<b>90</b>	<b>29%</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>9%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>2%</b>	<b>3.45</b>	<b>309</b>	
<b>4. Admin Performance</b>													
17. Punctual in conducting proceedings	36	59%	19	31%	5	8%	0	0%	1	2%	3.46	61	0
18. Maintained proper control of courtroom	37	60%	19	31%	5	8%	0	0%	1	2%	3.47	62	0
19. Was prepared for the proceedings	37	60%	19	31%	5	8%	0	0%	1	2%	3.47	62	0
<b>Category Total</b>	<b>110</b>	<b>59%</b>	<b>57</b>	<b>31%</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>8%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>2%</b>	<b>3.46</b>	<b>185</b>	