



**JPR**  
ARIZONA JUDICIAL PERFORMANCE REVIEW

[Home](#) > [Performance Reports](#) > Judicial Reports

## Judicial Reports

Commission number votes may vary based upon abstentions. Voting abstentions are procedural matters within the Commission and should not be viewed in any way as a negative factor with respect to a judge's performance.

### Maricopa County Voters Only

#### Hon. Monica Bellapralu Edelstein

Maricopa County Superior Court

Bench: Family

Appointed: 2020

**96% of the Commission Voted Judge Edelstein MEETS Judicial Performance Standards**  
27 Commissioners Voted 'Meets'  
1 Commissioners Voted 'Does Not Meet'

2022	<b>Attorney Surveys</b> Distributed: 223 Returned: 38 <b>Score (See Footnote)</b>	<b>Juror Surveys</b> Distributed: 0 Returned: 0 <b>Score (See Footnote)</b>	<b>Litigant Witness Surveys</b> Distributed: 598 Returned: 88 <b>Score (See Footnote)</b>
Legal Ability	86%	n/a	n/a
Integrity	92%	n/a	89%
Communication	89%	n/a	90%
Temperament	91%	n/a	87%
Admin Performance	98%	n/a	93%
Settlement Activities	89%	n/a	n/a

#### FOOTNOTE:

Judges are evaluated on their judicial performance midway through their term and prior to their retention election. Beginning in 2022, their survey evaluation scores include midterm scores and retention scores. Newly appointed judges serve a two-year term and are evaluated prior to their retention election on their retention scores. The score is the percentage of all evaluators who rated the judge "superior", "very good", or "satisfactory" in each of the Commission's evaluation categories. Depending on the assignment, a judge may not have responses in certain categories, indicated by N/A (for example, some judicial assignments do not require jury trials or no survey responses were returned). The JPR Commission votes "Yes" or "No" on whether a judge "MEETS" Judicial Performance Standards, based on the statistical information, as well as any other information submitted by the public or the judge. Further information on the judges and justices can be found at each court's website.



**JPR**  
ARIZONA JUDICIAL PERFORMANCE REVIEW

[Home](#) > [Performance Reports](#) > [Judicial Reports](#)

## Judicial Reports

Commission number votes may vary based upon abstentions. Voting abstentions are procedural matters within the Commission and should not be viewed in any way as a negative factor with respect to a judge's performance.

WHO JUDGES THE JUDGES?



## Arizona Commission on Judicial Performance Review

WE CAN HELP.

Hon. Monica Bellapravalu Edelstein

2022 Attorney Survey Responses

Key: **SU** = Superior **VG** = Very Good **SA** = Satisfactory **PO** = Poor **UN** = Unsatisfactory

	SU		VG		SA		PO		UN		Mean	Total	No Resp
	Num.	Pct.	Num.	Pct.	Num.	Pct.	Num.	Pct.	Num.	Pct.			
<b>1. Legal Ability</b>													
1. Legal reasoning ability	17	53%	5	16%	4	13%	2	6%	4	13%	2.91	32	0
2. Knowledge of substantive law	17	53%	5	16%	4	13%	3	9%	3	9%	2.94	32	0
3. Knowledge of rules of evidence	16	57%	7	25%	2	7%	1	4%	2	7%	3.21	28	0
4. Knowledge of rules of procedure	17	50%	9	26%	5	15%	0	0%	3	9%	3.09	34	0
<b>Category Total</b>	<b>67</b>	<b>53%</b>	<b>26</b>	<b>21%</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>12%</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>5%</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>10%</b>	<b>3.03</b>	<b>126</b>	
<b>2. Integrity</b>													
5. Basic fairness and impartiality	19	56%	7	21%	2	6%	3	9%	3	9%	3.06	34	0
6. Equal treatment regardless of race	15	63%	5	21%	2	8%	0	0%	2	8%	3.29	24	0
7. Equal treatment regardless of gender	18	58%	6	19%	4	13%	0	0%	3	10%	3.16	31	0
8. Equal treatment regardless of religion	14	78%	1	6%	0	0%	1	6%	2	11%	3.33	18	0
9. Equal treatment regardless of national origin	14	70%	4	20%	0	0%	0	0%	2	10%	3.40	20	0
10. Equal treatment regardless of disability	14	93%	1	7%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	3.93	15	0
11. Equal treatment regardless of age	15	79%	3	16%	1	5%	0	0%	0	0%	3.74	19	0





<b>3. Temperament</b>													
12. Understanding and compassion	44	55%	14	18%	10	13%	6	8%	6	8%	3.05	80	0
13. Dignified	49	64%	10	13%	9	12%	7	9%	2	3%	3.26	77	0
14. Courteous	48	61%	13	16%	10	13%	5	6%	3	4%	3.24	79	0
15. Conduct that promotes public confidence in the court	51	65%	8	10%	7	9%	4	5%	8	10%	3.15	78	0
16. Patient	47	60%	13	17%	7	9%	8	10%	3	4%	3.19	78	0
<b>Category Total</b>	<b>239</b>	<b>61%</b>	<b>58</b>	<b>15%</b>	<b>43</b>	<b>11%</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>8%</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>6%</b>	<b>3.18</b>	<b>392</b>	
<b>4. Admin Performance</b>													
17. Punctual in conducting proceedings	50	63%	15	19%	11	14%	2	3%	2	3%	3.36	80	0
18. Maintained proper control of courtroom	52	63%	11	13%	13	16%	5	6%	1	1%	3.32	82	0
19. Was prepared for the proceedings	47	62%	11	14%	11	14%	4	5%	3	4%	3.25	76	0
<b>Category Total</b>	<b>149</b>	<b>63%</b>	<b>37</b>	<b>16%</b>	<b>35</b>	<b>15%</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>5%</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>3%</b>	<b>3.31</b>	<b>238</b>	