

Judges are evaluated by survey on their judicial performance midway through their term and prior to their retention election. Beginning in 2022, their survey evaluations include midterm and retention feedback. Newly appointed judges serve a two-year term and are evaluated prior to their retention election. The composite percentage table is an average of all evaluators who rated the judge "superior", "very good", or "satisfactory" in each of the Commission's evaluation categories. Some evaluators select "Can't Rate" on the questionnaire affecting the average response number within their respondent category. The JPR Commission votes whether a judge "Meets" or "Does Not Meet" Judicial Performance Standards, based on the survey information and any other information submitted by the public or the judge. Further information on the judges and justices can be found on each court's website.

**Commission on Judicial Performance Review  
Composite Percentage - Average of all Evaluation Categories**

**Pima County Voters Only**

**Hon. Peter J. Eckerstrom**

<b>2024</b>		
<b>Evaluation Category</b>	Total Survey Responses	Average Percentage of Satisfactory or Above
Legal Ability	142	96%
Integrity	101	99%
Communication Skills	25	96%
Judicial Temperament	30	92%
Administrative Performance	130	100%

Responses based upon 174 returned survey questionnaires from a distribution of 746 questionnaires. Survey respondents may not necessarily answer all survey questions.

ARIZONA COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL PERFORMANCE REVIEW

Appellate Courts

Name of Judge:

Total Surveys: 172

Assignment: Appellate

Cycle: 2021 & 2023

Name of Judge:	ATTORNEY					83	29	PEER JUDGE/JUSTICE					2	SUP COURT JUDGE					58		
	SU	VG	SA	PO	UN	Valid	Mean	SU	VG	SA	PO	UN	Total	Mean	SU	VG	SA	PO	UN	Total	Mean
<b>Hon. Peter J. Eckerstrom</b>	<b>62</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>83</b>	<b>3.5</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>4.0</b>	<b>47</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>57</b>	<b>3.8</b>
<b>Section I: Legal Ability</b>																					
Legal reasoning ability	64	12	4	2	2	84	3.6	2	0	0	0	0	2	4.0	47	7	4	0	0	58	3.7
Knowledge of law	64	12	4	0	2	82	3.7	2	0	0	0	0	2	4.0	48	5	4	0	0	57	3.8
Decisions based on law and facts	59	10	7	1	6	83	3.4	2	0	0	0	0	2	4.0	47	7	3	0	0	57	3.8
Clearly written, legally supported decisions	60	13	3	4	3	83	3.5	2	0	0	0	0	2	4.0	47	6	4	0	0	57	3.8
<b>Section II: Integrity</b>	<b>53</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>56</b>	<b>3.9</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>4.0</b>	<b>39</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>43</b>	<b>3.9</b>
Basic fairness and impartiality	65	3	3	1	2	74	3.7	2	0	0	0	0	2	4.0	49	4	1	0	0	54	3.9
Equal treatment regardless of race	52	1	0	0	0	53	4.0	2	0	0	0	0	2	4.0	42	3	1	0	0	46	3.9
Equal treatment regardless of gender	53	2	1	2	0	58	3.8	2	0	0	0	0	2	4.0	43	3	1	0	0	47	3.9
Equal treatment regardless of religion	51	1	0	0	0	52	4.0	2	0	0	0	0	2	4.0	43	3	1	0	0	47	3.9
Equal treatment regardless of national origin	52	1	0	0	0	53	4.0	2	0	0	0	0	2	4.0	42	3	1	0	0	46	3.9
Equal treatment regardless of disability	52	1	0	1	0	54	3.9	2	0	0	0	0	2	4.0	42	3	1	0	0	46	3.9
Equal treatment regardless of age	52	2	0	1	0	55	3.9	2	0	0	0	0	2	4.0	42	3	1	0	0	46	3.9
Equal treatment regardless of sexual orientation	51	1	0	0	0	52	4.0	2	0	0	0	0	2	4.0	42	3	1	0	0	46	3.9
Equal treatment regardless of economic status	53	1	0	2	0	56	3.9	2	0	0	0	0	2	4.0	43	3	1	0	0	47	3.9
Written decision free from personal bias															3	1	0	0	0	4	3.8
<b>Section III: Communication Skills</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>3.6</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>3.7</b>							
Attentiveness	23	5	2	0	0	30	3.7	2	0	0	0	0	2	4.0							
Demeanor in communications with counsel	19	5	2	1	0	27	3.6														
Appropriate restrictions on counsel during argument	2	0	0	0	0	2	4.0	1	1	0	0	0	2	3.5							
Relevant questions	21	4	2	2	0	29	3.5	1	1	0	0	0	2	3.5							
Preparation for oral argument	20	2	3	2	0	27	3.5														
Clear and logical communications																					
<b>Section IV: Judicial temperament</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>28</b>	<b>3.5</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>3.8</b>							
Understanding and compassion																					
Dignified	20	5	3	0	0	28	3.6	2	0	0	0	0	2	4.0							
Courteous	20	4	1	3	0	28	3.5	1	1	0	0	0	2	3.5							
Patient	20	3	2	3	0	28	3.4	1	1	0	0	0	2	3.5							
Conduct that promotes public confidence in the court	20	2	2	4	0	28	3.4	2	0	0	0	0	2	4.0							
<b>Section V: Administrative Performance</b>	<b>63</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>81</b>	<b>3.7</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>3.9</b>	<b>42</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>47</b>	<b>3.9</b>
Punctual in conducting proceedings																					
Maintains proper control over courtroom																					
Prepared for proceedings								2	0	0	0	0	2	4.0							
Respectful treatment of staff																					
Cooperation with peers																					
Cooperation with staff																					
Efficient management of calendar																					
Promptness in making rulings and rendering decisions	63	14	4	0	0	81	3.7	2	0	0	0	0	2	4.0	42	4	1	0	0	47	3.9
Works effectively with other judges								1	1	0	0	0	2	3.5							
Works effectively with other court personnel								2	0	0	0	0	2	4.0							
Effective handling of incoming e-mail								2	0	0	0	0	2	4.0							

SA=Satisfactory, VG=Very Good, SU=Superior

Category summaries are averages and may not add up due to rounding.

Surveys were distributed to court users from 06/2018 - 09/2023

ARIZONA COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL PERFORMANCE REVIEW

Appellate Courts

Name of Judge:	Total Surveys: 172						Assignment: Appellate						Cycle: 2021 & 2023					
	ATTORNEY					83 Mean	PEER JUDGE/JUSTICE					2 Mean	SUP COURT JUDGE					58 Mean
Hon. Peter J. Eckerstrom	SU	VG	SA	PO	UN		SU	VG	SA	PO	UN		SU	VG	SA	PO	UN	
<b>Section I: Legal Ability</b>	<b>74%</b>	<b>14%</b>	<b>5%</b>	<b>2%</b>	<b>4%</b>	<b>3.5</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>4.0</b>	<b>83%</b>	<b>11%</b>	<b>7%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>3.8</b>
Legal reasoning ability	76%	14%	5%	2%	2%	3.6	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	4.0	81%	12%	7%	0%	0%	3.7
Knowledge of law	78%	15%	5%	0%	2%	3.7	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	4.0	84%	9%	7%	0%	0%	3.8
Decisions based on law and facts	71%	12%	8%	1%	7%	3.4	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	4.0	82%	12%	5%	0%	0%	3.8
Clearly written, legally supported decisions	72%	16%	4%	5%	4%	3.5	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	4.0	82%	11%	7%	0%	0%	3.8
<b>Section II: Integrity</b>	<b>95%</b>	<b>3%</b>	<b>1%</b>	<b>1%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>3.9</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>4.0</b>	<b>91%</b>	<b>7%</b>	<b>2%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>3.9</b>
Basic fairness and impartiality	88%	4%	4%	1%	3%	3.7	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	4.0	91%	7%	2%	0%	0%	3.9
Equal treatment regardless of race	98%	2%	0%	0%	0%	4.0	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	4.0	91%	7%	2%	0%	0%	3.9
Equal treatment regardless of gender	91%	3%	2%	3%	0%	3.8	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	4.0	91%	6%	2%	0%	0%	3.9
Equal treatment regardless of religion	98%	2%	0%	0%	0%	4.0	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	4.0	91%	6%	2%	0%	0%	3.9
Equal treatment regardless of national origin	98%	2%	0%	0%	0%	4.0	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	4.0	91%	7%	2%	0%	0%	3.9
Equal treatment regardless of disability	96%	2%	0%	2%	0%	3.9	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	4.0	91%	7%	2%	0%	0%	3.9
Equal treatment regardless of age	95%	4%	0%	2%	0%	3.9	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	4.0	91%	7%	2%	0%	0%	3.9
Equal treatment regardless of sexual orientation	98%	2%	0%	0%	0%	4.0	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	4.0	91%	7%	2%	0%	0%	3.9
Equal treatment regardless of economic status	95%	2%	0%	4%	0%	3.9	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	4.0	91%	6%	2%	0%	0%	3.9
Written decision free from personal bias													75%	25%	0%	0%	0%	3.8
<b>Section III: Communication Skills</b>	<b>74%</b>	<b>14%</b>	<b>8%</b>	<b>4%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>3.6</b>	<b>67%</b>	<b>33%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>3.7</b>						
Attentiveness	77%	17%	7%	0%	0%	3.7	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	4.0						
Demeanor in communications with counsel	70%	19%	7%	4%	0%	3.6												
Appropriate restrictions on counsel during argument	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	4.0	50%	50%	0%	0%	0%	3.5						
Relevant questions	72%	14%	7%	7%	0%	3.5	50%	50%	0%	0%	0%	3.5						
Preparation for oral argument	74%	7%	11%	7%	0%	3.5												
Clear and logical communications																		
<b>Section IV: Judicial temperament</b>	<b>71%</b>	<b>13%</b>	<b>7%</b>	<b>9%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>3.5</b>	<b>75%</b>	<b>25%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>3.8</b>						
Understanding and compassion																		
Dignified	71%	18%	11%	0%	0%	3.6	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	4.0						
Courteous	71%	14%	4%	11%	0%	3.5	50%	50%	0%	0%	0%	3.5						
Patient	71%	11%	7%	11%	0%	3.4	50%	50%	0%	0%	0%	3.5						
Conduct that promotes public confidence in the court	71%	7%	7%	14%	0%	3.4	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	4.0						
<b>Section V: Administrative Performance</b>	<b>78%</b>	<b>17%</b>	<b>5%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>3.7</b>	<b>90%</b>	<b>10%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>3.9</b>	<b>89%</b>	<b>9%</b>	<b>2%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>3.9</b>
Punctual in conducting proceedings																		
Maintains proper control over courtroom																		
Prepared for proceedings							100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	4.0						
Respectful treatment of staff																		
Cooperation with peers																		
Cooperation with staff																		
Efficient management of calendar																		
Promptness in making rulings and rendering decisions	78%	17%	5%	0%	0%	3.7	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	4.0	89%	9%	2%	0%	0%	3.9
Works effectively with other judges							50%	50%	0%	0%	0%	3.5						
Works effectively with other court personnel							100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	4.0						
Effective handling of ongoing workload							100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	4.0						

SA=Satisfactory, VG=Very Good, SU=Superior

Category summaries are averages and may not add up due to rounding.

Surveys were distributed to court users from 06/2018 - 09/2023