

Judges are evaluated by survey on their judicial performance midway through their term and prior to their retention election. Beginning in 2022, their survey evaluations include midterm and retention feedback. Newly appointed judges serve a two-year term and are evaluated prior to their retention election. The composite percentage table is an average of all evaluators who rated the judge "superior", "very good", or "satisfactory" in each of the Commission's evaluation categories. Some evaluators select "Can't Rate" on the questionnaire affecting the average response number within their respondent category. The JPR Commission votes whether a judge "Meets" or "Does Not Meet" Judicial Performance Standards, based on the survey information and any other information submitted by the public or the judge. Further information on the judges and justices can be found on each court's website.

**Commission on Judicial Performance Review
Composite Percentage - Average of all Evaluation Categories**

Superior Court in Maricopa County

Hon. John R. Hannah, Jr.

2024		
Evaluation Category	Total Survey Responses	Average Percentage of Satisfactory or Above
Legal Ability	95	93%
Integrity	108	97%
Communication Skills	141	94%
Judicial Temperament	142	93%
Administrative Performance	140	96%
Settlement Activities	33	91%

Responses based upon 147 returned survey questionnaires from a distribution of 588 questionnaires. Survey respondents may not necessarily answer all survey questions.

ARIZONA COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL PERFORMANCE REVIEW

Superior Court

Name of Judge: **Hon. John R. Hannah, Jr.** Total Surveys: 132 Assignment: Civil Cycle: 2021 & 2023

	ATTORNEY					90 Resp Mean	LIT/WIT/PRO PER					14 Resp Mean	JUROR					28 Resp Mean			
	SU	VG	SA	PO	UN		SU	VG	SA	PO	UN		SU	VG	SA	PO	UN				
Section I: Legal Ability	46	23	5	5	2	81	3.3														
Legal reasoning ability	49	25	6	5	4	89	3.2														
Knowledge of substantive law	47	24	7	8	1	87	3.2														
Knowledge of rules of evidence	41	19	3	2	0	65	3.5														
Knowledge of rules of procedure	48	24	5	6	1	84	3.3														
Section II: Integrity	41	9	2	2	1	55	3.6	11	1	0	0	0	12	3.9	23	3	0	0	0	27	3.9
Basic fairness and impartiality	54	18	8	6	3	89	3.3	12	1	0	0	1	14	3.6	23	5	0	0	0	28	3.8
Equal treatment regardless of race	40	7	2	1	1	51	3.6	11	1	0	0	0	12	3.9	24	2	0	0	0	26	3.9
Equal treatment regardless of gender	52	11	2	1	0	66	3.7	11	1	0	0	0	12	3.9	26	2	0	0	0	28	3.9
Equal treatment regardless of religion	34	7	2	1	0	44	3.7	11	1	0	0	0	12	3.9	22	3	0	0	0	25	3.9
Equal treatment regardless of national origin	37	7	1	1	0	46	3.7	11	1	0	0	0	12	3.9	23	3	0	0	0	26	3.9
Equal treatment regardless of disability	35	6	2	1	0	44	3.7	11	1	0	0	0	12	3.9	21	5	0	0	0	26	3.8
Equal treatment regardless of age	44	9	1	1	0	55	3.7	11	1	0	0	0	12	3.9	24	4	0	0	0	28	3.9
Equal treatment regardless of sexual orientation	34	7	1	1	0	43	3.7	11	1	0	0	0	12	3.9	23	3	0	0	0	26	3.9
Equal treatment regardless of economic status	43	7	2	4	1	57	3.5	11	1	0	0	0	12	3.9	23	3	0	0	0	26	3.9
Section III: Communication Skills	55	18	7	4	4	87	3.3	11	2	0	1	0	13	3.8	23	4	0	0	0	28	3.8
Clear and logical communications																					
Clear and logical oral communications and directions	55	16	9	6	3	89	3.3														
Clear and logical written decisions	50	18	5	5	5	83	3.2														
Gave all parties an adequate opportunity to be heard	59	19	7	1	3	89	3.5														
Explained proceedings (to the jury)								12	1	0	1	0	14	3.7	24	3	1	0	0	28	3.8
Explained reason for delays								10	2	0	0	0	12	3.8	22	5	0	1	0	28	3.7
Clearly explained the juror's responsibilities															24	4	0	0	0	28	3.9
Section IV: Judicial temperament	55	18	5	6	3	86	3.3	12	1	0	1	0	14	3.7	24	4	0	0	0	28	3.9
Understanding and compassion	52	16	6	7	2	83	3.3	11	2	0	0	1	14	3.6	25	3	0	0	0	28	3.9
Dignified	57	19	4	6	2	88	3.4	12	1	0	1	0	14	3.7	23	5	0	0	0	28	3.8
Courteous	58	17	6	3	4	88	3.4	12	1	0	1	0	14	3.7	26	2	0	0	0	28	3.9
Conduct that promotes public confidence in the court	55	18	2	9	3	87	3.3	12	1	0	1	0	14	3.7	23	5	0	0	0	28	3.8
Patient	53	18	6	3	4	84	3.3	12	1	0	1	0	14	3.7	22	6	0	0	0	28	3.8
Section V: Administrative Performance	50	17	14	4	1	86	3.3	11	1	1	0	0	14	3.7	22	5	2	0	0	28	3.7
Punctual in conducting proceedings	51	16	15	3	2	87	3.3	12	0	2	0	0	14	3.7	17	6	5	0	0	28	3.4
Maintained proper control of courtroom	53	17	13	1	1	85	3.4	12	1	1	0	0	14	3.8	24	4	0	0	0	28	3.9
Prompt in making rulings and rendering decisions	47	17	14	7	1	86	3.2														
Was prepared for the proceedings	54	19	9	5	2	89	3.3	10	2	1	0	0	13	3.7	24	4	0	0	0	28	3.9
Respectful treatment of staff																					
Cooperation with peers																					
Efficient management of calendar	47	14	17	3	1	82	3.3														
Section VI: Settlement Activities	22	5	3	2	1	33	3.4														
Appropriately promoted or conducted settlement	22	5	3	2	1	33	3.4														

UN=Unacceptable, PO=Poor,
SA=Satisfactory, VG=Very Good,
SU=Superior

Category summaries are averages and may not add up due to rounding.

Surveys were distributed to court users from 02/2021 -09/2023

ARIZONA COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL PERFORMANCE REVIEW

Superior Court

Name of Judge:	Total Surveys: 132						Assignment: Civil						Cycle: 2021 & 2023						
	ATTORNEY					90	LIT/WIT/PRO PER					14	JUROR					28	
Hon. John R. Hannah, Jr.	SU	VG	SA	PO	UN	Mean	SU	VG	SA	PO	UN	Mean	SU	VG	SA	PO	UN	Mean	
Section I: Legal Ability	57%	28%	6%	6%	2%	3.3													
Legal reasoning ability	55%	28%	7%	6%	4%	3.2													
Knowledge of substantive law	54%	28%	8%	9%	1%	3.2													
Knowledge of rules of evidence	63%	29%	5%	3%	0%	3.5													
Knowledge of rules of procedure	57%	29%	6%	7%	1%	3.3													
Section II: Integrity	75%	16%	4%	3%	1%	3.6	91%	8%	0%	0%	1%	3.9	87%	13%	0%	0%	0%	3.9	
Basic fairness and impartiality	61%	20%	9%	7%	3%	3.3	86%	7%	0%	0%	7%	3.6	82%	18%	0%	0%	0%	3.8	
Equal treatment regardless of race	78%	14%	4%	2%	2%	3.6	92%	8%	0%	0%	0%	3.9	92%	8%	0%	0%	0%	3.9	
Equal treatment regardless of gender	79%	17%	3%	2%	0%	3.7	92%	8%	0%	0%	0%	3.9	93%	7%	0%	0%	0%	3.9	
Equal treatment regardless of religion	77%	16%	5%	2%	0%	3.7	92%	8%	0%	0%	0%	3.9	88%	12%	0%	0%	0%	3.9	
Equal treatment regardless of national origin	80%	15%	2%	2%	0%	3.7	92%	8%	0%	0%	0%	3.9	88%	12%	0%	0%	0%	3.9	
Equal treatment regardless of disability	80%	14%	5%	2%	0%	3.7	92%	8%	0%	0%	0%	3.9	81%	19%	0%	0%	0%	3.8	
Equal treatment regardless of age	80%	16%	2%	2%	0%	3.7	92%	8%	0%	0%	0%	3.9	86%	14%	0%	0%	0%	3.9	
Equal treatment regardless of sexual orientation	79%	16%	2%	2%	0%	3.7	92%	8%	0%	0%	0%	3.9	88%	12%	0%	0%	0%	3.9	
Equal treatment regardless of economic status	75%	12%	4%	7%	2%	3.5	92%	8%	0%	0%	0%	3.9	88%	12%	0%	0%	0%	3.9	
Section III: Communication Skills	63%	20%	8%	5%	4%	3.3	85%	12%	0%	4%	0%	3.8	83%	14%	1%	1%	0%	3.8	
Clear and logical communications																			
Clear and logical oral communications and directions	62%	18%	10%	7%	3%	3.3													
Clear and logical written decisions	60%	22%	6%	6%	6%	3.2													
Gave all parties an adequate opportunity to be heard	66%	21%	8%	1%	3%	3.5													
Explained proceedings (to the jury)							86%	7%	0%	7%	0%	3.7	86%	11%	4%	0%	0%	3.8	
Explained reason for delays							83%	17%	0%	0%	0%	3.8	79%	18%	0%	4%	0%	3.7	
Clearly explained the juror's responsibilities													86%	14%	0%	0%	0%	3.9	
Section IV: Judicial temperament	64%	20%	6%	7%	3%	3.3	84%	9%	0%	6%	1%	3.7	85%	15%	0%	0%	0%	3.9	
Understanding and compassion	63%	19%	7%	8%	2%	3.3	79%	14%	0%	0%	7%	3.6	89%	11%	0%	0%	0%	3.9	
Dignified	65%	22%	5%	7%	2%	3.4	86%	7%	0%	7%	0%	3.7	82%	18%	0%	0%	0%	3.8	
Courteous	66%	19%	7%	3%	5%	3.4	86%	7%	0%	7%	0%	3.7	93%	7%	0%	0%	0%	3.9	
Conduct that promotes public confidence in the court	63%	21%	2%	10%	3%	3.3	86%	7%	0%	7%	0%	3.7	82%	18%	0%	0%	0%	3.8	
Patient	63%	21%	7%	4%	5%	3.3	86%	7%	0%	7%	0%	3.7	79%	21%	0%	0%	0%	3.8	
Section V: Administrative Performance	59%	19%	16%	4%	2%	3.3	83%	7%	10%	0%	0%	3.7	77%	17%	6%	0%	0%	3.7	
Punctual in conducting proceedings	59%	18%	17%	3%	2%	3.3	86%	0%	14%	0%	0%	3.7	61%	21%	18%	0%	0%	3.4	
Maintained proper control of courtroom	62%	20%	15%	1%	1%	3.4	86%	7%	7%	0%	0%	3.8	86%	14%	0%	0%	0%	3.9	
Prompt in making rulings and rendering decisions	55%	20%	16%	8%	1%	3.2													
Was prepared for the proceedings	61%	21%	10%	6%	2%	3.3	77%	15%	8%	0%	0%	3.7	86%	14%	0%	0%	0%	3.9	
Respectful treatment of staff																			
Cooperation with peers																			
Efficient management of calendar	57%	17%	21%	4%	1%	3.3													
Section VI: Settlement Activities	67%	15%	9%	6%	3%	3.4													
Appropriately promoted or conducted settlement	67%	15%	9%	6%	3%	3.4													

UN=Unacceptable, PO=Poor,
 SA=Satisfactory, VG=Very Good,
 SU=Superior

Category summaries are averages and may not add up due to rounding.

Surveys were distributed to court users from 02/2021 -09/2023

ARIZONA COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL PERFORMANCE REVIEW

Superior Court

Name of Judge:	Total Surveys: 15					Fall 2023	
	PEER JUDGE					Total	Mean
Hon. John R. Hannah Jr.	EX	VG	AC	PO	FA		
Section I: Legal Ability	12	1	0	0	0	13	4.9
Decisions based on law and facts	12	1	1	0	0	14	4.8
Knowledge of rules of evidence	11	2	0	0	0	13	4.8
Knowledge of rules of procedure	12	1	0	0	0	13	4.9
Section II: Integrity	12	1	0	1	0	14	4.7
Unbiased and impartial	12	1	0	1	0	14	4.7
Section III: Communication Skills	10	3	0	0	0	13	4.8
Communication skills	10	3	0	0	0	13	4.8
Section IV: Judicial temperament	11	3	1	0	0	14	4.7
Conduct that promotes public confidence in the court and judge's ability	11	2	1	0	0	14	4.7
Respectful to all individuals	10	3	1	0	0	14	4.6
Section V: Administrative Performance	10	2	0	0	0	12	4.8
Works effectively with other judges	11	3	0	0	0	14	4.8
Works effectively with court personnel	9	2	1	0	0	12	4.7
Efficient management of calendar	9	2	0	0	0	11	4.8
Does a fair share of work	11	1	0	0	0	12	4.9

FA=Failing, PO=Poor,
AC=Acceptable, VG=Very Good,
EX=Excellent

Category summaries are averages and may not add up due to rounding.

Surveys were distributed to court
users from 09/2023 - 11/2023

ARIZONA COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL PERFORMANCE REVIEW

Superior Court

Name of Judge:	Total Surveys: 15					Fall 2023
Hon. John R. Hannah Jr.	PEER JUDGE					Mean
	EX	VG	AC	PO	FA	
Section I: Legal Ability	88%	10%	3%	0%	0%	4.9
Decisions based on law and facts	86%	7%	7%	0%	0%	4.8
Knowledge of rules of evidence	85%	15%	0%	0%	0%	4.8
Knowledge of rules of procedure	92%	8%	0%	0%	0%	4.9
Section II: Integrity	86%	7%	0%	7%	0%	4.7
Unbiased and impartial	86%	7%	0%	7%	0%	4.7
Section III: Communication Skills	77%	23%	0%	0%	0%	4.8
Communication skills	77%	23%	0%	0%	0%	4.8
Section IV: Judicial temperament	75%	18%	7%	0%	0%	4.7
Conduct that promotes public confidence in the court and judge's ability	79%	14%	7%	0%	0%	4.7
Respectful to all individuals	71%	21%	7%	0%	0%	4.6
Section V: Administrative Performance	82%	16%	2%	0%	0%	4.8
Works effectively with other judges	79%	21%	0%	0%	0%	4.8
Works effectively with court personnel	75%	17%	8%	0%	0%	4.7
Efficient management of calendar	82%	18%	0%	0%	0%	4.8
Does a fair share of work	92%	8%	0%	0%	0%	4.9

FA=Failing, PO=Poor,
AC=Acceptable, VG=Very Good,
EX=Excellent

Category summaries are averages and may not add up due to rounding.

Surveys were distributed to court users from 09/2023 - 11/2023