

Judges are evaluated by survey on their judicial performance midway through their term and prior to their retention election. Beginning in 2022, their survey evaluations include midterm and retention feedback. Newly appointed judges serve a two-year term and are evaluated prior to their retention election. The composite percentage table is an average of all evaluators who rated the judge "superior", "very good", or "satisfactory" in each of the Commission's evaluation categories. Some evaluators select "Can't Rate" on the questionnaire affecting the average response number within their respondent category. The JPR Commission votes whether a judge "Meets" or "Does Not Meet" Judicial Performance Standards, based on the survey information and any other information submitted by the public or the judge. Further information on the judges and justices can be found on each court's website.

**Commission on Judicial Performance Review
Composite Percentage - Average of all Evaluation Categories**

Superior Court in Pima County

Hon. Kyle A. Bryson

2024		
Evaluation Category	Total Survey Responses	Average Percentage of Satisfactory or Above
Legal Ability	47	100%
Integrity	46	100%
Communication Skills	55	99%
Judicial Temperament	58	100%
Administrative Performance	57	100%
Settlement Activities	27	100%

Responses based upon 59 returned survey questionnaires from a distribution of 289 questionnaires. Survey respondents may not necessarily answer all survey questions.

ARIZONA COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL PERFORMANCE REVIEW

Superior Court

Name of Judge: **Hon. Kyle A. Bryson** Total Surveys: 57 Assignment: Civil/Probate Cycle: 2021 & 2023

Name of Judge:	ATTORNEY					47		LIT/WIT/PRO PER					10		JUROR					0	
	SU	VG	SA	PO	UN	Resp	Mean	SU	VG	SA	PO	UN	Resp	Mean	SU	VG	SA	PO	UN	Resp	Mean
Hon. Kyle A. Bryson																					
Section I: Legal Ability	32	12	2	0	0	45	3.7														
Legal reasoning ability	33	11	2	0	0	46	3.7														
Knowledge of substantive law	32	12	2	0	0	46	3.7														
Knowledge of rules of evidence	30	11	1	0	0	42	3.7														
Knowledge of rules of procedure	32	13	1	0	0	46	3.7														
Section II: Integrity	31	4	1	0	0	36	3.8	7	1	1	0	0	9	3.7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0
Basic fairness and impartiality	35	9	1	0	0	45	3.8	8	0	2	0	0	10	3.6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0
Equal treatment regardless of race	33	4	0	0	0	37	3.9	7	1	1	0	0	9	3.7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0
Equal treatment regardless of gender	35	4	1	0	0	40	3.9	7	1	1	0	0	9	3.7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0
Equal treatment regardless of religion	28	3	0	0	0	31	3.9	7	0	1	0	0	8	3.8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0
Equal treatment regardless of national origin	29	2	1	0	0	32	3.9	7	1	1	0	0	9	3.7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0
Equal treatment regardless of disability	28	3	1	0	0	32	3.8	7	1	1	0	0	9	3.7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0
Equal treatment regardless of age	33	4	2	0	0	39	3.8	7	1	1	0	0	9	3.7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0
Equal treatment regardless of sexual orientation	25	2	0	0	0	27	3.9	6	0	1	0	0	7	3.7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0
Equal treatment regardless of economic status	32	4	1	0	0	37	3.8	7	0	1	0	0	8	3.8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0
Section III: Communication Skills	33	9	2	0	0	45	3.7	7	1	1	0	0	9	3.7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0
Clear and logical communications																					
Clear and logical oral communications and directions	33	10	2	0	0	45	3.7														
Clear and logical written decisions	32	9	2	1	0	44	3.6														
Gave all parties an adequate opportunity to be heard	35	9	1	0	0	45	3.8														
Explained proceedings (to the jury)								8	0	1	0	0	9	3.8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0
Explained reason for delays								6	1	1	0	0	8	3.6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0
Clearly explained the juror's responsibilities															0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0
Section IV: Judicial temperament	36	9	0	0	0	46	3.8	9	0	1	0	0	10	3.8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0
Understanding and compassion	32	11	1	0	0	44	3.7	9	0	1	0	0	10	3.8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0
Dignified	38	8	0	0	0	46	3.8	9	0	1	0	0	10	3.8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0
Courteous	37	9	0	0	0	46	3.8	9	0	1	0	0	10	3.8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0
Conduct that promotes public confidence in the court	38	8	0	0	0	46	3.8	9	0	1	0	0	10	3.8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0
Patient	36	10	0	0	0	46	3.8	8	1	1	0	0	10	3.7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0
Section V: Administrative Performance	34	10	2	0	0	45	3.7	9	0	1	0	0	10	3.8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0
Punctual in conducting proceedings	33	12	1	0	0	46	3.7	9	0	1	0	0	10	3.8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0
Maintained proper control of courtroom	36	8	1	0	0	45	3.8	9	0	1	0	0	10	3.8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0
Prompt in making rulings and rendering decisions	30	13	3	0	0	46	3.6														
Was prepared for the proceedings	36	7	3	0	0	46	3.7	9	0	1	0	0	10	3.8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0
Respectful treatment of staff																					
Cooperation with peers																					
Efficient management of calendar	35	8	1	0	0	44	3.8														
Section VI: Settlement Activities	17	8	2	0	0	27	3.6														
Appropriately promoted or conducted settlement	17	8	2	0	0	27	3.6														

UN=Unacceptable, PO=Poor,
SA=Satisfactory, VG=Very Good,
SU=Superior

Category summaries are averages and may not add up due to rounding.

Surveys were distributed to court users from 02/2021 -09/2023

ARIZONA COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL PERFORMANCE REVIEW

Superior Court

Name of Judge:	Total Surveys: 57						Assignment: Civil/Probate					Cycle: 2021 & 2023						
	ATTORNEY					47	LIT/WIT/PRO PER					10	JUROR					0
Hon. Kyle A. Bryson	SU	VG	SA	PO	UN	Mean	SU	VG	SA	PO	UN	Mean	SU	VG	SA	PO	UN	Mean
Section I: Legal Ability	71%	26%	3%	0%	0%	3.7												
Legal reasoning ability	72%	24%	4%	0%	0%	3.7												
Knowledge of substantive law	70%	26%	4%	0%	0%	3.7												
Knowledge of rules of evidence	71%	26%	2%	0%	0%	3.7												
Knowledge of rules of procedure	70%	28%	2%	0%	0%	3.7												
Section II: Integrity	87%	11%	2%	0%	0%	3.8	81%	6%	13%	0%	0%	3.7	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0.0
Basic fairness and impartiality	78%	20%	2%	0%	0%	3.8	80%	0%	20%	0%	0%	3.6	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0.0
Equal treatment regardless of race	89%	11%	0%	0%	0%	3.9	78%	11%	11%	0%	0%	3.7	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0.0
Equal treatment regardless of gender	88%	10%	3%	0%	0%	3.9	78%	11%	11%	0%	0%	3.7	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0.0
Equal treatment regardless of religion	90%	10%	0%	0%	0%	3.9	88%	0%	13%	0%	0%	3.8	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0.0
Equal treatment regardless of national origin	91%	6%	3%	0%	0%	3.9	78%	11%	11%	0%	0%	3.7	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0.0
Equal treatment regardless of disability	88%	9%	3%	0%	0%	3.8	78%	11%	11%	0%	0%	3.7	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0.0
Equal treatment regardless of age	85%	10%	5%	0%	0%	3.8	78%	11%	11%	0%	0%	3.7	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0.0
Equal treatment regardless of sexual orientation	93%	7%	0%	0%	0%	3.9	86%	0%	14%	0%	0%	3.7	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0.0
Equal treatment regardless of economic status	86%	11%	3%	0%	0%	3.8	88%	0%	13%	0%	0%	3.8	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0.0
Section III: Communication Skills	75%	21%	4%	1%	0%	3.7	82%	6%	12%	0%	0%	3.7	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0.0
Clear and logical communications																		
Clear and logical oral communications and directions	73%	22%	4%	0%	0%	3.7												
Clear and logical written decisions	73%	20%	5%	2%	0%	3.6												
Gave all parties an adequate opportunity to be heard	78%	20%	2%	0%	0%	3.8												
Explained proceedings (to the jury)							89%	0%	11%	0%	0%	3.8	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0.0
Explained reason for delays							75%	13%	13%	0%	0%	3.6	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0.0
Clearly explained the juror's responsibilities													0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0.0
Section IV: Judicial temperament	79%	20%	0%	0%	0%	3.8	88%	2%	10%	0%	0%	3.8	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0.0
Understanding and compassion	73%	25%	2%	0%	0%	3.7	90%	0%	10%	0%	0%	3.8	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0.0
Dignified	83%	17%	0%	0%	0%	3.8	90%	0%	10%	0%	0%	3.8	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0.0
Courteous	80%	20%	0%	0%	0%	3.8	90%	0%	10%	0%	0%	3.8	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0.0
Conduct that promotes public confidence in the court	83%	17%	0%	0%	0%	3.8	90%	0%	10%	0%	0%	3.8	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0.0
Patient	78%	22%	0%	0%	0%	3.8	80%	10%	10%	0%	0%	3.7	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0.0
Section V: Administrative Performance	75%	21%	4%	0%	0%	3.7	90%	0%	10%	0%	0%	3.8	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0.0
Punctual in conducting proceedings	72%	26%	2%	0%	0%	3.7	90%	0%	10%	0%	0%	3.8	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0.0
Maintained proper control of courtroom	80%	18%	2%	0%	0%	3.8	90%	0%	10%	0%	0%	3.8	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0.0
Prompt in making rulings and rendering decisions	65%	28%	7%	0%	0%	3.6												
Was prepared for the proceedings	78%	15%	7%	0%	0%	3.7	90%	0%	10%	0%	0%	3.8	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0.0
Respectful treatment of staff																		
Cooperation with peers																		
Efficient management of calendar	80%	18%	2%	0%	0%	3.8												
Section VI: Settlement Activities	63%	30%	7%	0%	0%	3.6												
Appropriately promoted or conducted settlement	63%	30%	7%	0%	0%	3.6												

UN=Unacceptable, PO=Poor,
 SA=Satisfactory, VG=Very Good,
 SU=Superior

Category summaries are averages and may not add up due to rounding.

Surveys were distributed to court users from 02/2021 - 09/2023

ARIZONA COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL PERFORMANCE REVIEW

Superior Court

Name of Judge:	Total Surveys: 2					Fall 2023	
	PEER JUDGE					Total	Mean
Hon. Kyle A. Bryson	EX	VG	AC	PO	FA		
Section I: Legal Ability	2	0	0	0	0	2	5.0
Decisions based on law and facts	2	0	0	0	0	2	5.0
Knowledge of rules of evidence	2	0	0	0	0	2	5.0
Knowledge of rules of procedure	2	0	0	0	0	2	5.0
Section II: Integrity	2	0	0	0	0	2	5.0
Unbiased and impartial	2	0	0	0	0	2	5.0
Section III: Communication Skills	2	0	0	0	0	2	5.0
Communication skills	2	0	0	0	0	2	5.0
Section IV: Judicial temperament	2	0	0	0	0	2	5.0
Conduct that promotes public confidence in the court and judge's ability	2	0	0	0	0	2	5.0
Respectful to all individuals	2	0	0	0	0	2	5.0
Section V: Administrative Performance	2	0	0	0	0	2	5.0
Works effectively with other judges	2	0	0	0	0	2	5.0
Works effectively with court personnel	2	0	0	0	0	2	5.0
Efficient management of calendar	2	0	0	0	0	2	5.0
Does a fair share of work	2	0	0	0	0	2	5.0

FA=Failing, PO=Poor,
AC=Acceptable, VG=Very Good,
EX=Excellent

Category summaries are averages and may not add up due to rounding.

Surveys were distributed to court
users from 09/2023 - 11/2023

ARIZONA COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL PERFORMANCE REVIEW

Superior Court

Name of Judge:	Total Surveys:		Fall 2023			
	EX	VG	AC	PO	FA	Mean
Hon. Kyle A. Bryson						
Section I: Legal Ability	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	5.0
Decisions based on law and facts	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	5.0
Knowledge of rules of evidence	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	5.0
Knowledge of rules of procedure	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	5.0
Section II: Integrity	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	5.0
Unbiased and impartial	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	5.0
Section III: Communication Skills	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	5.0
Communication skills	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	5.0
Section IV: Judicial temperament	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	5.0
Conduct that promotes public confidence in the court and judge's ability	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	5.0
Respectful to all individuals	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	5.0
Section V: Administrative Performance	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	5.0
Works effectively with other judges	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	5.0
Works effectively with court personnel	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	5.0
Efficient management of calendar	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	5.0
Does a fair share of work	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	5.0

FA=Failing, PO=Poor,
AC=Acceptable, VG=Very Good,
EX=Excellent

Category summaries are averages and may not add up due to rounding.

Surveys were distributed to court users from 09/2023 - 11/2023