

Judges are evaluated by survey on their judicial performance midway through their term and prior to their retention election. Beginning in 2022, their survey evaluations include midterm and retention feedback. Newly appointed judges serve a two-year term and are evaluated prior to their retention election. The composite percentage table is an average of all evaluators who rated the judge "superior", "very good", or "satisfactory" in each of the Commission's evaluation categories. Some evaluators select "Can't Rate" on the questionnaire affecting the average response number within their respondent category. The JPR Commission votes whether a judge "Meets" or "Does Not Meet" Judicial Performance Standards, based on the survey information and any other information submitted by the public or the judge. Further information on the judges and justices can be found on each court's website.

**Commission on Judicial Performance Review  
Composite Percentage - Average of all Evaluation Categories**

**Superior Court in Maricopa County**

**Hon. Rodrick J. Coffey**

<b>2024</b>		
<b>Evaluation Category</b>	Total Survey Responses	Average Percentage of Satisfactory or Above
Legal Ability	66	96%
Integrity	115	95%
Communication Skills	138	93%
Judicial Temperament	147	95%
Administrative Performance	144	96%
Settlement Activities	38	95%

Responses based upon 160 returned survey questionnaires from a distribution of 759 questionnaires. Survey respondents may not necessarily answer all survey questions.

ARIZONA COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL PERFORMANCE REVIEW

Superior Court

Name of Judge: **Hon. Rodrick J. Coffey** Total Surveys: **145** Assignment: **Civil** Cycle: **2021 & 2023**

	ATTORNEY					61		LIT/WIT/PRO PER					69		JUROR					15	
	SU	VG	SA	PO	UN	Resp	Mean	SU	VG	SA	PO	UN	Resp	Mean	SU	VG	SA	PO	UN	Resp	Mean
<b>Section I: Legal Ability</b>	<b>26</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>55</b>	<b>3.3</b>														
Legal reasoning ability	25	24	4	5	0	58	3.2														
Knowledge of substantive law	26	20	8	1	0	55	3.3														
Knowledge of rules of evidence	26	16	5	2	1	50	3.3														
Knowledge of rules of procedure	27	20	7	1	0	55	3.3														
<b>Section II: Integrity</b>	<b>26</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>40</b>	<b>3.5</b>	<b>32</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>52</b>	<b>3.3</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>3.9</b>
Basic fairness and impartiality	32	19	4	4	2	61	3.2	32	12	7	6	3	60	3.1	12	2	1	0	0	15	3.7
Equal treatment regardless of race	28	8	1	0	0	37	3.7	34	10	6	1	2	53	3.4	10	1	0	0	0	11	3.9
Equal treatment regardless of gender	28	13	3	3	1	48	3.3	33	11	11	1	5	61	3.1	12	1	0	0	0	13	3.9
Equal treatment regardless of religion	24	8	1	0	0	33	3.7	31	8	6	1	2	48	3.4	10	1	0	0	0	11	3.9
Equal treatment regardless of national origin	24	9	1	0	0	34	3.7	33	8	5	1	2	49	3.4	10	1	0	0	0	11	3.9
Equal treatment regardless of disability	25	9	1	0	0	35	3.7	28	8	5	2	1	44	3.4	10	1	0	0	0	11	3.9
Equal treatment regardless of age	26	12	1	0	0	39	3.6	34	11	6	2	3	56	3.3	10	1	1	0	0	12	3.8
Equal treatment regardless of sexual orientation	22	8	1	0	0	31	3.7	26	7	6	1	2	42	3.3	10	1	0	0	0	11	3.9
Equal treatment regardless of economic status	27	11	1	3	0	42	3.5	33	11	8	1	4	57	3.2	10	1	1	0	0	12	3.8
<b>Section III: Communication Skills</b>	<b>32</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>59</b>	<b>3.2</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>53</b>	<b>3.3</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>3.8</b>
Clear and logical communications																					
Clear and logical oral communications and directions	34	13	8	4	1	60	3.3														
Clear and logical written decisions	28	16	8	5	1	58	3.1														
Gave all parties an adequate opportunity to be heard	34	15	7	3	1	60	3.3														
Explained proceedings (to the jury)								35	15	6	4	1	61	3.3	12	3	0	0	0	15	3.8
Explained reason for delays								25	13	2	2	3	45	3.2	13	2	0	0	0	15	3.9
Clearly explained the juror's responsibilities															12	3	0	0	0	15	3.8
<b>Section IV: Judicial temperament</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>60</b>	<b>3.4</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>61</b>	<b>3.2</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>3.8</b>
Understanding and compassion	33	14	7	3	1	58	3.3	34	11	8	5	3	61	3.1	12	3	0	0	0	15	3.8
Dignified	37	16	8	0	0	61	3.5	36	12	11	1	1	61	3.3	12	3	0	0	0	15	3.8
Courteous	39	15	6	1	0	61	3.5	36	12	10	3	1	62	3.3	12	3	0	0	0	15	3.8
Conduct that promotes public confidence in the court	35	18	0	6	1	60	3.3	37	11	6	3	4	61	3.2	12	3	0	0	0	15	3.8
Patient	34	16	8	2	0	60	3.4	35	13	6	2	3	59	3.3	11	4	0	0	0	15	3.7
<b>Section V: Administrative Performance</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>58</b>	<b>3.5</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>61</b>	<b>3.3</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>3.8</b>
Punctual in conducting proceedings	37	18	5	0	0	60	3.5	34	13	11	2	2	62	3.2	11	3	1	0	0	15	3.7
Maintained proper control of courtroom	36	18	5	0	0	59	3.5	37	12	7	3	1	60	3.4	12	3	0	0	0	15	3.8
Prompt in making rulings and rendering decisions	33	18	6	0	0	57	3.5														
Was prepared for the proceedings	34	18	5	2	0	59	3.4	37	12	6	5	2	62	3.2	12	3	0	0	0	15	3.8
Respectful treatment of staff																					
Cooperation with peers																					
Efficient management of calendar	29	17	4	4	0	54	3.3														
<b>Section VI: Settlement Activities</b>	<b>21</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>38</b>	<b>3.3</b>														
Appropriately promoted or conducted settlement	21	11	4	2	0	38	3.3														

UN=Unacceptable, PO=Poor,  
SA=Satisfactory, VG=Very Good,  
SU=Superior

Category summaries are averages and may not add up due to rounding.

Surveys were distributed to court users from 02/2021 -09/2023

ARIZONA COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL PERFORMANCE REVIEW

Superior Court

Name of Judge:	Total Surveys: 145					Assignment: Civil					Cycle: 2021 & 2023							
	ATTORNEY					61	LIT/WIT/PROPER					69	JUROR					15
Hon. Rodrick J. Coffey	SU	VG	SA	PO	UN	Mean	SU	VG	SA	PO	UN	Mean	SU	VG	SA	PO	UN	Mean
<b>Section I: Legal Ability</b>	<b>48%</b>	<b>37%</b>	<b>11%</b>	<b>4%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>3.3</b>												
Legal reasoning ability	43%	41%	7%	9%	0%	3.2												
Knowledge of substantive law	47%	36%	15%	2%	0%	3.3												
Knowledge of rules of evidence	52%	32%	10%	4%	2%	3.3												
Knowledge of rules of procedure	49%	36%	13%	2%	0%	3.3												
<b>Section II: Integrity</b>	<b>66%</b>	<b>27%</b>	<b>4%</b>	<b>3%</b>	<b>1%</b>	<b>3.5</b>	<b>60%</b>	<b>18%</b>	<b>13%</b>	<b>3%</b>	<b>5%</b>	<b>3.3</b>	<b>88%</b>	<b>9%</b>	<b>3%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>3.9</b>
Basic fairness and impartiality	52%	31%	7%	7%	3%	3.2	53%	20%	12%	10%	5%	3.1	80%	13%	7%	0%	0%	3.7
Equal treatment regardless of race	76%	22%	3%	0%	0%	3.7	64%	19%	11%	2%	4%	3.4	91%	9%	0%	0%	0%	3.9
Equal treatment regardless of gender	58%	27%	6%	6%	2%	3.3	54%	18%	18%	2%	8%	3.1	92%	8%	0%	0%	0%	3.9
Equal treatment regardless of religion	73%	24%	3%	0%	0%	3.7	65%	17%	13%	2%	4%	3.4	91%	9%	0%	0%	0%	3.9
Equal treatment regardless of national origin	71%	26%	3%	0%	0%	3.7	67%	16%	10%	2%	4%	3.4	91%	9%	0%	0%	0%	3.9
Equal treatment regardless of disability	71%	26%	3%	0%	0%	3.7	64%	18%	11%	5%	2%	3.4	91%	9%	0%	0%	0%	3.9
Equal treatment regardless of age	67%	31%	3%	0%	0%	3.6	61%	20%	11%	4%	5%	3.3	83%	8%	8%	0%	0%	3.8
Equal treatment regardless of sexual orientation	71%	26%	3%	0%	0%	3.7	62%	17%	14%	2%	5%	3.3	91%	9%	0%	0%	0%	3.9
Equal treatment regardless of economic status	64%	26%	2%	7%	0%	3.5	58%	19%	14%	2%	7%	3.2	83%	8%	8%	0%	0%	3.8
<b>Section III: Communication Skills</b>	<b>54%</b>	<b>25%</b>	<b>13%</b>	<b>7%</b>	<b>2%</b>	<b>3.2</b>	<b>57%</b>	<b>26%</b>	<b>8%</b>	<b>6%</b>	<b>4%</b>	<b>3.3</b>	<b>82%</b>	<b>18%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>3.8</b>
Clear and logical communications																		
Clear and logical oral communications and directions	57%	22%	13%	7%	2%	3.3												
Clear and logical written decisions	48%	28%	14%	9%	2%	3.1												
Gave all parties an adequate opportunity to be heard	57%	25%	12%	5%	2%	3.3												
Explained proceedings (to the jury)							57%	25%	10%	7%	2%	3.3	80%	20%	0%	0%	0%	3.8
Explained reason for delays							56%	29%	4%	4%	7%	3.2	87%	13%	0%	0%	0%	3.9
Clearly explained the juror's responsibilities													80%	20%	0%	0%	0%	3.8
<b>Section IV: Judicial temperament</b>	<b>59%</b>	<b>26%</b>	<b>10%</b>	<b>4%</b>	<b>1%</b>	<b>3.4</b>	<b>59%</b>	<b>19%</b>	<b>13%</b>	<b>5%</b>	<b>4%</b>	<b>3.2</b>	<b>79%</b>	<b>21%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>3.8</b>
Understanding and compassion	57%	24%	12%	5%	2%	3.3	56%	18%	13%	8%	5%	3.1	80%	20%	0%	0%	0%	3.8
Dignified	61%	26%	13%	0%	0%	3.5	59%	20%	18%	2%	2%	3.3	80%	20%	0%	0%	0%	3.8
Courteous	64%	25%	10%	2%	0%	3.5	58%	19%	16%	5%	2%	3.3	80%	20%	0%	0%	0%	3.8
Conduct that promotes public confidence in the court	58%	30%	0%	10%	2%	3.3	61%	18%	10%	5%	7%	3.2	80%	20%	0%	0%	0%	3.8
Patient	57%	27%	13%	3%	0%	3.4	59%	22%	10%	3%	5%	3.3	73%	27%	0%	0%	0%	3.7
<b>Section V: Administrative Performance</b>	<b>58%</b>	<b>31%</b>	<b>9%</b>	<b>2%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>3.5</b>	<b>59%</b>	<b>20%</b>	<b>13%</b>	<b>5%</b>	<b>3%</b>	<b>3.3</b>	<b>78%</b>	<b>20%</b>	<b>2%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>3.8</b>
Punctual in conducting proceedings	62%	30%	8%	0%	0%	3.5	55%	21%	18%	3%	3%	3.2	73%	20%	7%	0%	0%	3.7
Maintained proper control of courtroom	61%	31%	8%	0%	0%	3.5	62%	20%	12%	5%	2%	3.4	80%	20%	0%	0%	0%	3.8
Prompt in making rulings and rendering decisions	58%	32%	11%	0%	0%	3.5												
Was prepared for the proceedings	58%	31%	8%	3%	0%	3.4	60%	19%	10%	8%	3%	3.2	80%	20%	0%	0%	0%	3.8
Respectful treatment of staff																		
Cooperation with peers																		
Efficient management of calendar	54%	31%	7%	7%	0%	3.3												
<b>Section VI: Settlement Activities</b>	<b>55%</b>	<b>29%</b>	<b>11%</b>	<b>5%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>3.3</b>												
Appropriately promoted or conducted settlement	55%	29%	11%	5%	0%	3.3												

UN=Unacceptable, PO=Poor,  
 SA=Satisfactory, VG=Very Good,  
 SU=Superior

Category summaries are averages and may not add up due to rounding.

Surveys were distributed to court users from 02/2021 - 09/2023

ARIZONA COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL PERFORMANCE REVIEW

Superior Court

Name of Judge:	Total Surveys: 15					Fall 2023	
	PEER JUDGE					Total	Mean
Hon. Rodrick J. Coffey	EX	VG	AC	PO	FA		
<b>Section I: Legal Ability</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>5.0</b>
Decisions based on law and facts	11	0	0	0	0	11	5.0
Knowledge of rules of evidence	11	0	0	0	0	11	5.0
Knowledge of rules of procedure	10	1	0	0	0	11	4.9
<b>Section II: Integrity</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>5.0</b>
Unbiased and impartial	11	0	0	0	0	11	5.0
<b>Section III: Communication Skills</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>4.9</b>
Communication skills	10	1	0	0	0	11	4.9
<b>Section IV: Judicial temperament</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>5.0</b>
Conduct that promotes public confidence in the court and judge's ability	11	0	0	0	0	11	5.0
Respectful to all individuals	11	0	0	0	0	11	5.0
<b>Section V: Administrative Performance</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>4.9</b>
Works effectively with other judges	10	1	0	0	0	11	4.9
Works effectively with court personnel	9	0	0	0	0	9	5.0
Efficient management of calendar	8	2	0	0	0	10	4.8
Does a fair share of work	10	0	0	0	0	10	5.0

FA=Failing, PO=Poor,  
AC=Acceptable, VG=Very Good,  
EX=Excellent

Category summaries are averages and may not add up due to rounding.

Surveys were distributed to court  
users from 09/2023 - 11/2023

ARIZONA COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL PERFORMANCE REVIEW

Superior Court

Name of Judge:	Total Surveys: 15					Fall 2023
Hon. Rodrick J. Coffey	PEER JUDGE					Mean
	EX	VG	AC	PO	FA	
<b>Section I: Legal Ability</b>	<b>97%</b>	<b>3%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>5.0</b>
Decisions based on law and facts	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	5.0
Knowledge of rules of evidence	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	5.0
Knowledge of rules of procedure	91%	9%	0%	0%	0%	4.9
<b>Section II: Integrity</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>5.0</b>
Unbiased and impartial	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	5.0
<b>Section III: Communication Skills</b>	<b>91%</b>	<b>9%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>4.9</b>
Communication skills	91%	9%	0%	0%	0%	4.9
<b>Section IV: Judicial temperament</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>5.0</b>
Conduct that promotes public confidence in the court and judge's ability	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	5.0
Respectful to all individuals	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	5.0
<b>Section V: Administrative Performance</b>	<b>93%</b>	<b>8%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>4.9</b>
Works effectively with other judges	91%	9%	0%	0%	0%	4.9
Works effectively with court personnel	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	5.0
Efficient management of calendar	80%	20%	0%	0%	0%	4.8
Does a fair share of work	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	5.0

FA=Failing, PO=Poor,  
AC=Acceptable, VG=Very Good,  
EX=Excellent

Category summaries are averages and may not add up due to rounding.

Surveys were distributed to court  
users from 09/2023 - 11/2023