

Judges are evaluated by survey on their judicial performance midway through their term and prior to their retention election. Beginning in 2022, their survey evaluations include midterm and retention feedback. Newly appointed judges serve a two-year term and are evaluated prior to their retention election. The composite percentage table is an average of all evaluators who rated the judge "superior", "very good", or "satisfactory" in each of the Commission's evaluation categories. Some evaluators select "Can't Rate" on the questionnaire affecting the average response number within their respondent category. The JPR Commission votes whether a judge "Meets" or "Does Not Meet" Judicial Performance Standards, based on the survey information and any other information submitted by the public or the judge. Further information on the judges and justices can be found on each court's website.

**Commission on Judicial Performance Review  
Composite Percentage - Average of all Evaluation Categories**

**Superior Court in Pinal County**

**Hon. Jason Holmberg**

<b>2024</b>		
<b>Evaluation Category</b>	Total Survey Responses	Average Percentage of Satisfactory or Above
Legal Ability	44	98%
Integrity	223	100%
Communication Skills	235	99%
Judicial Temperament	242	98%
Administrative Performance	240	99%
Settlement Activities	29	93%

Responses based upon 245 returned survey questionnaires from a distribution of 523 questionnaires. Survey respondents may not necessarily answer all survey questions.

ARIZONA COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL PERFORMANCE REVIEW

Superior Court

Name of Judge: **Hon. Jason Holmberg** Total Surveys: **242** Assignment: **Criminal** Cycle: **2021 & 2023**

Name of Judge:	ATTORNEY					44		LIT/WIT/PRO PER					28		JUROR					170	
	SU	VG	SA	PO	UN	Resp	Mean	SU	VG	SA	PO	UN	Resp	Mean	SU	VG	SA	PO	UN	Resp	Mean
<b>Hon. Jason Holmberg</b>						<b>41</b>	<b>3.5</b>						<b>26</b>	<b>3.8</b>						<b>160</b>	<b>3.7</b>
<b>Section I: Legal Ability</b>	<b>26</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>41</b>	<b>3.5</b>														
Legal reasoning ability	24	13	4	0	1	42	3.4														
Knowledge of substantive law	27	11	3	0	1	42	3.5														
Knowledge of rules of evidence	24	11	0	0	1	36	3.6														
Knowledge of rules of procedure	28	11	2	0	1	42	3.5														
<b>Section II: Integrity</b>	<b>25</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>3.6</b>	<b>21</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>26</b>	<b>3.8</b>	<b>123</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>160</b>	<b>3.7</b>
Basic fairness and impartiality	25	8	6	0	2	41	3.3	23	5	0	0	0	28	3.8	127	35	7	0	0	169	3.7
Equal treatment regardless of race	24	8	1	0	1	34	3.6	22	5	0	0	0	27	3.8	128	31	7	0	0	166	3.7
Equal treatment regardless of gender	28	6	3	0	1	38	3.6	22	5	0	0	0	27	3.8	127	31	7	0	0	165	3.7
Equal treatment regardless of religion	23	4	1	0	1	29	3.7	20	5	0	0	0	25	3.8	120	31	7	0	0	158	3.7
Equal treatment regardless of national origin	24	6	1	0	1	32	3.6	20	5	0	0	0	25	3.8	124	30	7	0	0	161	3.7
Equal treatment regardless of disability	24	5	1	0	1	31	3.6	20	5	0	0	0	25	3.8	121	27	7	0	0	155	3.7
Equal treatment regardless of age	28	6	2	0	1	37	3.6	21	5	0	0	0	26	3.8	125	31	7	0	0	163	3.7
Equal treatment regardless of sexual orientation	23	5	1	0	1	30	3.6	20	5	0	0	0	25	3.8	116	28	7	0	0	151	3.7
Equal treatment regardless of economic status	25	6	1	0	1	33	3.6	21	5	0	0	0	26	3.8	119	28	7	0	0	154	3.7
<b>Section III: Communication Skills</b>	<b>24</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>39</b>	<b>3.4</b>	<b>19</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>25</b>	<b>3.8</b>	<b>137</b>	<b>25</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>169</b>	<b>3.8</b>
Clear and logical communications																					
Clear and logical oral communications and directions	26	8	5	1	1	41	3.4														
Clear and logical written decisions	20	9	5	0	1	35	3.3														
Gave all parties an adequate opportunity to be heard	25	6	7	1	1	40	3.3														
Explained proceedings (to the jury)								21	6	0	0	0	27	3.8	139	25	6	0	0	170	3.8
Explained reason for delays								16	6	0	0	0	22	3.7	131	27	7	1	0	166	3.7
Clearly explained the juror's responsibilities															141	24	5	0	0	170	3.8
<b>Section IV: Judicial temperament</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>41</b>	<b>3.2</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>28</b>	<b>3.8</b>	<b>139</b>	<b>24</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>170</b>	<b>3.8</b>
Understanding and compassion	22	10	6	1	2	41	3.2	23	5	0	0	0	28	3.8	137	26	7	0	0	170	3.8
Dignified	23	11	5	0	2	41	3.3	23	5	0	0	0	28	3.8	140	23	7	0	0	170	3.8
Courteous	23	8	6	1	3	41	3.1	23	5	0	0	0	28	3.8	139	23	7	0	0	169	3.8
Conduct that promotes public confidence in the court	27	7	3	1	2	40	3.4	24	4	0	0	0	28	3.9	141	22	7	0	0	170	3.8
Patient	20	8	6	4	3	41	2.9	22	6	0	0	0	28	3.8	138	25	7	0	0	170	3.8
<b>Section V: Administrative Performance</b>	<b>28</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>40</b>	<b>3.6</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>28</b>	<b>3.8</b>	<b>130</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>169</b>	<b>3.7</b>
Punctual in conducting proceedings	26	10	3	0	1	40	3.5	20	8	0	0	0	28	3.7	119	35	13	2	0	169	3.6
Maintained proper control of courtroom	29	9	2	0	1	41	3.6	23	5	0	0	0	28	3.8	136	28	5	0	0	169	3.8
Prompt in making rulings and rendering decisions	29	8	2	0	1	40	3.6														
Was prepared for the proceedings	29	10	1	0	1	41	3.6	22	6	0	0	0	28	3.8	135	27	6	0	0	168	3.8
Respectful treatment of staff																					
Cooperation with peers																					
Efficient management of calendar	27	9	3	0	1	40	3.5														
<b>Section VI: Settlement Activities</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>3.3</b>														
Appropriately promoted or conducted settlement	18	5	4	1	1	29	3.3														

UN=Unacceptable, PO=Poor,  
SA=Satisfactory, VG=Very Good,  
SU=Superior

Category summaries are averages and may not add up due to rounding.

Surveys were distributed to court users from 02/2021 -09/2023

ARIZONA COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL PERFORMANCE REVIEW

Superior Court

Name of Judge:	Total Surveys: 242						Assignment: Criminal					Cycle: 2021 & 2023							
	ATTORNEY					44	LIT/WIT/PRO PER					28	JUROR					170	
Hon. Jason Holmberg	SU	VG	SA	PO	UN	Mean	SU	VG	SA	PO	UN	Mean	SU	VG	SA	PO	UN	Mean	
<b>Section I: Legal Ability</b>	<b>64%</b>	<b>28%</b>	<b>6%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>2%</b>	<b>3.5</b>													
Legal reasoning ability	57%	31%	10%	0%	2%	3.4													
Knowledge of substantive law	64%	26%	7%	0%	2%	3.5													
Knowledge of rules of evidence	67%	31%	0%	0%	3%	3.6													
Knowledge of rules of procedure	67%	26%	5%	0%	2%	3.5													
<b>Section II: Integrity</b>	<b>73%</b>	<b>18%</b>	<b>6%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>3%</b>	<b>3.6</b>	<b>81%</b>	<b>19%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>3.8</b>	<b>77%</b>	<b>19%</b>	<b>4%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>3.7</b>	
Basic fairness and impartiality	61%	20%	15%	0%	5%	3.3	82%	18%	0%	0%	0%	3.8	75%	21%	4%	0%	0%	3.7	
Equal treatment regardless of race	71%	24%	3%	0%	3%	3.6	81%	19%	0%	0%	0%	3.8	77%	19%	4%	0%	0%	3.7	
Equal treatment regardless of gender	74%	16%	8%	0%	3%	3.6	81%	19%	0%	0%	0%	3.8	77%	19%	4%	0%	0%	3.7	
Equal treatment regardless of religion	79%	14%	3%	0%	3%	3.7	80%	20%	0%	0%	0%	3.8	76%	20%	4%	0%	0%	3.7	
Equal treatment regardless of national origin	75%	19%	3%	0%	3%	3.6	80%	20%	0%	0%	0%	3.8	77%	19%	4%	0%	0%	3.7	
Equal treatment regardless of disability	77%	16%	3%	0%	3%	3.6	80%	20%	0%	0%	0%	3.8	78%	17%	5%	0%	0%	3.7	
Equal treatment regardless of age	76%	16%	5%	0%	3%	3.6	81%	19%	0%	0%	0%	3.8	77%	19%	4%	0%	0%	3.7	
Equal treatment regardless of sexual orientation	77%	17%	3%	0%	3%	3.6	80%	20%	0%	0%	0%	3.8	77%	19%	5%	0%	0%	3.7	
Equal treatment regardless of economic status	76%	18%	3%	0%	3%	3.6	81%	19%	0%	0%	0%	3.8	77%	18%	5%	0%	0%	3.7	
<b>Section III: Communication Skills</b>	<b>61%</b>	<b>20%</b>	<b>15%</b>	<b>2%</b>	<b>3%</b>	<b>3.4</b>	<b>76%</b>	<b>24%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>3.8</b>	<b>81%</b>	<b>15%</b>	<b>4%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>3.8</b>	
Clear and logical communications																			
Clear and logical oral communications and directions	63%	20%	12%	2%	2%	3.4													
Clear and logical written decisions	57%	26%	14%	0%	3%	3.3													
Gave all parties an adequate opportunity to be heard	63%	15%	18%	3%	3%	3.3													
Explained proceedings (to the jury)							78%	22%	0%	0%	0%	3.8	82%	15%	4%	0%	0%	3.8	
Explained reason for delays							73%	27%	0%	0%	0%	3.7	79%	16%	4%	1%	0%	3.7	
Clearly explained the juror's responsibilities													83%	14%	3%	0%	0%	3.8	
<b>Section IV: Judicial temperament</b>	<b>56%</b>	<b>22%</b>	<b>13%</b>	<b>3%</b>	<b>6%</b>	<b>3.2</b>	<b>82%</b>	<b>18%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>3.8</b>	<b>82%</b>	<b>14%</b>	<b>4%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>3.8</b>	
Understanding and compassion	54%	24%	15%	2%	5%	3.2	82%	18%	0%	0%	0%	3.8	81%	15%	4%	0%	0%	3.8	
Dignified	56%	27%	12%	0%	5%	3.3	82%	18%	0%	0%	0%	3.8	82%	14%	4%	0%	0%	3.8	
Courteous	56%	20%	15%	2%	7%	3.1	82%	18%	0%	0%	0%	3.8	82%	14%	4%	0%	0%	3.8	
Conduct that promotes public confidence in the court	68%	18%	8%	3%	5%	3.4	86%	14%	0%	0%	0%	3.9	83%	13%	4%	0%	0%	3.8	
Patient	49%	20%	15%	10%	7%	2.9	79%	21%	0%	0%	0%	3.8	81%	15%	4%	0%	0%	3.8	
<b>Section V: Administrative Performance</b>	<b>69%</b>	<b>23%</b>	<b>5%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>2%</b>	<b>3.6</b>	<b>77%</b>	<b>23%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>3.8</b>	<b>77%</b>	<b>18%</b>	<b>5%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>3.7</b>	
Punctual in conducting proceedings	65%	25%	8%	0%	3%	3.5	71%	29%	0%	0%	0%	3.7	70%	21%	8%	1%	0%	3.6	
Maintained proper control of courtroom	71%	22%	5%	0%	2%	3.6	82%	18%	0%	0%	0%	3.8	80%	17%	3%	0%	0%	3.8	
Prompt in making rulings and rendering decisions	73%	20%	5%	0%	3%	3.6													
Was prepared for the proceedings	71%	24%	2%	0%	2%	3.6	79%	21%	0%	0%	0%	3.8	80%	16%	4%	0%	0%	3.8	
Respectful treatment of staff																			
Cooperation with peers																			
Efficient management of calendar	68%	23%	8%	0%	3%	3.5													
<b>Section VI: Settlement Activities</b>	<b>62%</b>	<b>17%</b>	<b>14%</b>	<b>3%</b>	<b>3%</b>	<b>3.3</b>													
Appropriately promoted or conducted settlement	62%	17%	14%	3%	3%	3.3													

UN=Unacceptable, PO=Poor,  
 SA=Satisfactory, VG=Very Good,  
 SU=Superior

Category summaries are averages and may not add up due to rounding.

Surveys were distributed to court users from 02/2021 - 09/2023

ARIZONA COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL PERFORMANCE REVIEW

Superior Court

Name of Judge:	Total Surveys: 3					Fall 2023	
	PEER JUDGE					Total	Mean
Hon. Jason Holmberg	EX	VG	AC	PO	FA		
<b>Section I: Legal Ability</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>5.0</b>
Decisions based on law and facts	3	0	0	0	0	3	5.0
Knowledge of rules of evidence	3	0	0	0	0	3	5.0
Knowledge of rules of procedure	3	0	0	0	0	3	5.0
<b>Section II: Integrity</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>5.0</b>
Unbiased and impartial	3	0	0	0	0	3	5.0
<b>Section III: Communication Skills</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>3.7</b>
Communication skills	0	2	1	0	0	3	3.7
<b>Section IV: Judicial temperament</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>4.5</b>
Conduct that promotes public confidence in the court and judge's ability	2	1	0	0	0	3	4.7
Respectful to all individuals	1	2	0	0	0	3	4.3
<b>Section V: Administrative Performance</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>4.8</b>
Works effectively with other judges	2	1	0	0	0	3	4.7
Works effectively with court personnel	2	1	0	0	0	3	4.7
Efficient management of calendar	3	0	0	0	0	3	5.0
Does a fair share of work	3	0	0	0	0	3	5.0

FA=Failing, PO=Poor,  
AC=Acceptable, VG=Very Good,  
EX=Excellent

Category summaries are averages and may not add up due to rounding.

Surveys were distributed to court  
users from 09/2023 - 11/2023

ARIZONA COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL PERFORMANCE REVIEW

Superior Court

Name of Judge:	Total Surveys:	3				Fall 2023	
Hon. Jason Holmberg	PEER JUDGE					Mean	
	EX	VG	AC	PO	FA		
<b>Section I: Legal Ability</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>5.0</b>	
Decisions based on law and facts	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	5.0	
Knowledge of rules of evidence	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	5.0	
Knowledge of rules of procedure	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	5.0	
<b>Section II: Integrity</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>5.0</b>	
Unbiased and impartial	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	5.0	
<b>Section III: Communication Skills</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>67%</b>	<b>33%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>3.7</b>	
Communication skills	0%	67%	33%	0%	0%	3.7	
<b>Section IV: Judicial temperament</b>	<b>50%</b>	<b>50%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>4.5</b>	
Conduct that promotes public confidence in the court and judge's ability	67%	33%	0%	0%	0%	4.7	
Respectful to all individuals	33%	67%	0%	0%	0%	4.3	
<b>Section V: Administrative Performance</b>	<b>83%</b>	<b>17%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>4.8</b>	
Works effectively with other judges	67%	33%	0%	0%	0%	4.7	
Works effectively with court personnel	67%	33%	0%	0%	0%	4.7	
Efficient management of calendar	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	5.0	
Does a fair share of work	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	5.0	

FA=Failing, PO=Poor,  
 AC=Acceptable, VG=Very Good,  
 EX=Excellent

Category summaries are averages and may not add up due to rounding.

Surveys were distributed to court  
 users from 09/2023 - 11/2023