

Judges are evaluated by survey on their judicial performance midway through their term and prior to their retention election. Beginning in 2022, their survey evaluations include midterm and retention feedback. Newly appointed judges serve a two-year term and are evaluated prior to their retention election. The composite percentage table is an average of all evaluators who rated the judge "superior", "very good", or "satisfactory" in each of the Commission's evaluation categories. Some evaluators select "Can't Rate" on the questionnaire affecting the average response number within their respondent category. The JPR Commission votes whether a judge "Meets" or "Does Not Meet" Judicial Performance Standards, based on the survey information and any other information submitted by the public or the judge. Further information on the judges and justices can be found on each court's website.

**Commission on Judicial Performance Review
Composite Percentage - Average of all Evaluation Categories**

All Arizona Voters

Hon. Clint Bolick

2024		
Evaluation Category	Total Survey Responses	Average Percentage of Satisfactory or Above
Legal Ability	35	98%
Integrity	20	99%
Communication Skills	54	99%
Judicial Temperament	57	98%
Administrative Performance	33	97%

Responses based upon 84 returned survey questionnaires from a distribution of 459 questionnaires. Survey respondents may not necessarily answer all survey questions.

ARIZONA COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL PERFORMANCE REVIEW

Appellate Courts

Name of Judge:	Total Surveys: 72					Assignment: Appellate					Cycle: 2021 & 2023										
Hon. Clint Bolick	ATTORNEY					PEER JUDGE/JUSTICE					SUP COURT JUDGE										
	SU	VG	SA	PO	UN	13 Valid	48 Mean	SU	VG	SA	PO	UN	10 Total	Mean	SU	VG	SA	PO	UN	1 Total	Mean
Section I: Legal Ability	11	9	3	1	0	24	3.3	9	1	0	0	0	10	3.9	1	0	0	0	0	1	4.0
Legal reasoning ability	12	9	2	0	1	24	3.3	9	1	0	0	0	10	3.9	1	0	0	0	0	1	4.0
Knowledge of law	11	9	3	1	0	24	3.3	10	0	0	0	0	10	4.0	1	0	0	0	0	1	4.0
Decisions based on law and facts	11	8	4	1	0	24	3.2	9	1	0	0	0	10	3.9	1	0	0	0	0	1	4.0
Clearly written, legally supported decisions	11	9	4	0	0	24	3.3	9	1	0	0	0	10	3.9	1	0	0	0	0	1	4.0
Section II: Integrity	6	3	0	0	0	9	3.5	10	0	0	0	0	10	4.0	1	0	0	0	0	1	4.0
Basic fairness and impartiality	5	4	2	0	1	12	3.0	10	0	0	0	0	10	4.0	1	0	0	0	0	1	4.0
Equal treatment regardless of race	6	3	0	0	0	9	3.7	10	0	0	0	0	10	4.0	1	0	0	0	0	1	4.0
Equal treatment regardless of gender	6	3	0	0	0	9	3.7	10	0	0	0	0	10	4.0	1	0	0	0	0	1	4.0
Equal treatment regardless of religion	6	3	0	0	0	9	3.7	10	0	0	0	0	10	4.0	1	0	0	0	0	1	4.0
Equal treatment regardless of national origin	6	3	0	0	0	9	3.7	10	0	0	0	0	10	4.0	1	0	0	0	0	1	4.0
Equal treatment regardless of disability	6	3	0	0	0	9	3.7	10	0	0	0	0	10	4.0	1	0	0	0	0	1	4.0
Equal treatment regardless of age	6	3	0	0	0	9	3.7	10	0	0	0	0	10	4.0	1	0	0	0	0	1	4.0
Equal treatment regardless of sexual orientation	6	3	0	0	0	9	3.7	10	0	0	0	0	10	4.0	1	0	0	0	0	1	4.0
Equal treatment regardless of economic status	5	3	0	1	0	9	3.3	10	0	0	0	0	10	4.0	1	0	0	0	0	1	4.0
Written decision free from personal bias															0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0
Section III: Communication Skills	30	10	4	1	0	44	3.6	9	1	0	0	0	10	3.9							
Attentiveness	39	16	4	0	0	59	3.6	10	0	0	0	0	10	4.0							
Demeanor in communications with counsel	29	12	5	1	0	47	3.5														
Appropriate restrictions on counsel during argument	8	2	0	0	0	10	3.8	9	1	0	0	0	10	3.9							
Relevant questions	40	10	7	0	1	58	3.5	9	1	0	0	0	10	3.9							
Preparation for oral argument	33	8	3	2	0	46	3.6														
Clear and logical communications																					
Section IV: Judicial temperament	30	11	5	1	0	47	3.5	10	0	0	0	0	10	4.0							
Understanding and compassion																					
Dignified	31	11	4	0	0	46	3.6	10	0	0	0	0	10	4.0							
Courteous	31	11	5	0	0	47	3.6	10	0	0	0	0	10	4.0							
Patient	29	12	5	1	0	47	3.5	10	0	0	0	0	10	4.0							
Conduct that promotes public confidence in the court	30	10	4	3	0	47	3.4	10	0	0	0	0	10	4.0							
Section V: Administrative Performance	8	9	4	1	0	22	3.1	9	1	0	0	0	10	3.9	1	0	0	0	0	1	4.0
Punctual in conducting proceedings																					
Maintains proper control over courtroom																					
Prepared for proceedings								9	1	0	0	0	10	3.9							
Respectful treatment of staff																					
Cooperation with peers																					
Cooperation with staff																					
Efficient management of calendar																					
Promptness in making rulings and rendering decisions	8	9	4	1	0	22	3.1	8	2	0	0	0	10	3.8	1	0	0	0	0	1	4.0
Works effectively with other judges								9	1	0	0	0	10	3.9							
Works effectively with other court personnel								9	0	0	0	0	9	4.0							
Effective handling of incoming oral argument								8	2	0	0	0	10	3.8							

SA=Satisfactory, VG=Very Good, SU=Superior

Category summaries are averages and may not add up due to rounding.

Surveys were distributed to court users from 06/2018 - 09/2023

ARIZONA COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL PERFORMANCE REVIEW

Appellate Courts

Name of Judge:	Total Surveys: 72					Assignment: Appellate					Cycle: 2021 & 2023								
	ATTORNEY					13	48	PEER JUDGE/JUSTICE					10	SUP COURT JUDGE					1
	SU	VG	SA	PO	UN			Mean	SU	VG	SA	PO		UN	Mean	SU	VG	SA	
Hon. Clint Bolick																			
Section I: Legal Ability	47%	36%	14%	2%	1%	3.3	93%	8%	0%	0%	0%	3.9	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	4.0	
Legal reasoning ability	50%	38%	8%	0%	4%	3.3	90%	10%	0%	0%	0%	3.9	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	4.0	
Knowledge of law	46%	38%	13%	4%	0%	3.3	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	4.0	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	4.0	
Decisions based on law and facts	46%	33%	17%	4%	0%	3.2	90%	10%	0%	0%	0%	3.9	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	4.0	
Clearly written, legally supported decisions	46%	38%	17%	0%	0%	3.3	90%	10%	0%	0%	0%	3.9	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	4.0	
Section II: Integrity	62%	33%	2%	1%	1%	3.5	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	4.0	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	4.0	
Basic fairness and impartiality	42%	33%	17%	0%	8%	3.0	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	4.0	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	4.0	
Equal treatment regardless of race	67%	33%	0%	0%	0%	3.7	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	4.0	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	4.0	
Equal treatment regardless of gender	67%	33%	0%	0%	0%	3.7	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	4.0	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	4.0	
Equal treatment regardless of religion	67%	33%	0%	0%	0%	3.7	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	4.0	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	4.0	
Equal treatment regardless of national origin	67%	33%	0%	0%	0%	3.7	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	4.0	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	4.0	
Equal treatment regardless of disability	67%	33%	0%	0%	0%	3.7	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	4.0	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	4.0	
Equal treatment regardless of age	67%	33%	0%	0%	0%	3.7	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	4.0	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	4.0	
Equal treatment regardless of sexual orientation	67%	33%	0%	0%	0%	3.7	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	4.0	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	4.0	
Equal treatment regardless of economic status	56%	33%	0%	11%	0%	3.3	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	4.0	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	4.0	
Written decision free from personal bias													0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0.0	
Section III: Communication Skills	68%	22%	9%	1%	0%	3.6	93%	7%	0%	0%	0%	3.9							
Attentiveness	66%	27%	7%	0%	0%	3.6	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	4.0							
Demeanor in communications with counsel	62%	26%	11%	2%	0%	3.5													
Appropriate restrictions on counsel during argument	80%	20%	0%	0%	0%	3.8	90%	10%	0%	0%	0%	3.9							
Relevant questions	69%	17%	12%	0%	2%	3.5	90%	10%	0%	0%	0%	3.9							
Preparation for oral argument	72%	17%	7%	4%	0%	3.6													
Clear and logical communications																			
Section IV: Judicial temperament	65%	24%	10%	2%	0%	3.5	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	4.0							
Understanding and compassion																			
Dignified	67%	24%	9%	0%	0%	3.6	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	4.0							
Courteous	66%	23%	11%	0%	0%	3.6	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	4.0							
Patient	62%	26%	11%	2%	0%	3.5	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	4.0							
Conduct that promotes public confidence in the court	64%	21%	9%	6%	0%	3.4	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	4.0							
Section V: Administrative Performance	36%	41%	18%	5%	0%	3.1	88%	12%	0%	0%	0%	3.9	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	4.0	
Punctual in conducting proceedings																			
Maintains proper control over courtroom																			
Prepared for proceedings							90%	10%	0%	0%	0%	3.9							
Respectful treatment of staff																			
Cooperation with peers																			
Cooperation with staff																			
Efficient management of calendar																			
Promptness in making rulings and rendering decisions	36%	41%	18%	5%	0%	3.1	80%	20%	0%	0%	0%	3.8	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	4.0	
Works effectively with other judges							90%	10%	0%	0%	0%	3.9							
Works effectively with other court personnel							100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	4.0							
Effective handling of ongoing workload							80%	20%	0%	0%	0%	3.8							

UN=Unacceptable, PO=Poor,
 SA=Satisfactory, VG=Very Good,
 SU=Superior

Category summaries are averages and may not add up due to rounding.

Surveys were distributed to court users from 06/2018 - 09/2023