

Judges are evaluated by survey on their judicial performance midway through their term and prior to their retention election. Beginning in 2022, their survey evaluations include midterm and retention feedback. Newly appointed judges serve a two-year term and are evaluated prior to their retention election. The composite percentage table is an average of all evaluators who rated the judge "superior", "very good", or "satisfactory" in each of the Commission's evaluation categories. Some evaluators select "Can't Rate" on the questionnaire affecting the average response number within their respondent category. The JPR Commission votes whether a judge "Meets" or "Does Not Meet" Judicial Performance Standards, based on the survey information and any other information submitted by the public or the judge. Further information on the judges and justices can be found on each court's website.

**Commission on Judicial Performance Review  
Composite Percentage - Average of all Evaluation Categories**

**Superior Court in Maricopa County**

**Hon. Suzanne S. Marwil**

<b>2024</b>		
<b>Evaluation Category</b>	Total Survey Responses	Average Percentage of Satisfactory or Above
Legal Ability	85	90%
Integrity	189	94%
Communication Skills	210	89%
Judicial Temperament	221	89%
Administrative Performance	220	94%
Settlement Activities	51	86%

Responses based upon 236 returned survey questionnaires from a distribution of 1046 questionnaires. Survey respondents may not necessarily answer all survey questions.

ARIZONA COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL PERFORMANCE REVIEW

Superior Court

Name of Judge: **Hon. Suzanne S. Marwil** Total Surveys: **230** Assignment: **Juvenile** Cycle: **2021 & 2023**

	ATTORNEY					85		LIT/WIT/PRO PER					145		JUROR					0	
	SU	VG	SA	PO	UN	Resp	Mean	SU	VG	SA	PO	UN	Resp	Mean	SU	VG	SA	PO	UN	Resp	Mean
<b>Section I: Legal Ability</b>	<b>40</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>80</b>	<b>3.0</b>														
Legal reasoning ability	38	14	23	4	2	81	3.0														
Knowledge of substantive law	39	17	15	9	2	82	3.0														
Knowledge of rules of evidence	40	12	15	5	2	74	3.1														
Knowledge of rules of procedure	42	13	18	7	2	82	3.0														
<b>Section II: Integrity</b>	<b>41</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>67</b>	<b>3.3</b>	<b>72</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>117</b>	<b>3.3</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>
Basic fairness and impartiality	42	14	14	9	4	83	3.0	70	27	21	9	7	134	3.1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Equal treatment regardless of race	45	8	15	1	0	69	3.4	76	26	12	2	3	119	3.4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Equal treatment regardless of gender	45	11	18	2	2	78	3.2	79	24	16	7	7	133	3.2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Equal treatment regardless of religion	38	6	14	0	0	58	3.4	67	20	14	2	1	104	3.4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Equal treatment regardless of national origin	37	5	16	0	0	58	3.4	74	22	13	1	2	112	3.5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Equal treatment regardless of disability	41	8	12	0	2	63	3.4	70	20	11	6	3	110	3.3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Equal treatment regardless of age	44	8	14	2	2	70	3.3	79	22	15	4	4	124	3.4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Equal treatment regardless of sexual orientation	35	3	15	0	0	53	3.4	61	24	13	1	1	100	3.4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Equal treatment regardless of economic status	42	9	15	2	0	68	3.3	74	22	13	7	4	120	3.3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
<b>Section III: Communication Skills</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>80</b>	<b>2.9</b>	<b>70</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>125</b>	<b>3.2</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>
Clear and logical communications																					
Clear and logical oral communications and directions	34	18	18	10	3	83	2.8														
Clear and logical written decisions	33	16	15	9	2	75	2.9														
Gave all parties an adequate opportunity to be heard	40	13	14	10	6	83	2.9														
Explained proceedings (to the jury)								72	35	19	6	3	135	3.2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Explained reason for delays								67	23	14	6	5	115	3.2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Clearly explained the juror's responsibilities															0	0	0	0	0	0	0
<b>Section IV: Judicial temperament</b>	<b>37</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>21</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>83</b>	<b>2.9</b>	<b>76</b>	<b>24</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>133</b>	<b>3.2</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>
Understanding and compassion	36	15	23	6	3	83	2.9	70	29	14	12	10	135	3.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Dignified	41	18	19	4	1	83	3.1	78	24	21	6	4	133	3.2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Courteous	37	17	18	7	4	83	2.9	76	25	25	5	4	135	3.2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Conduct that promotes public confidence in the court	39	14	19	7	4	83	2.9	78	21	11	9	12	131	3.1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Patient	30	16	24	8	4	82	2.7	77	22	21	7	6	133	3.2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
<b>Section V: Administrative Performance</b>	<b>40</b>	<b>19</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>81</b>	<b>3.1</b>	<b>83</b>	<b>24</b>	<b>19</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>134</b>	<b>3.3</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>
Punctual in conducting proceedings	34	26	18	2	3	83	3.0	83	27	20	3	2	135	3.4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Maintained proper control of courtroom	38	17	21	4	3	83	3.0	83	24	17	6	1	131	3.4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Prompt in making rulings and rendering decisions	43	19	13	3	0	78	3.3														
Was prepared for the proceedings	45	16	19	2	1	83	3.2	83	21	19	7	5	135	3.3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Respectful treatment of staff																					
Cooperation with peers																					
Efficient management of calendar	38	16	20	3	3	80	3.0														
<b>Section VI: Settlement Activities</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>51</b>	<b>2.7</b>														
Appropriately promoted or conducted settlement	18	11	15	5	2	51	2.7														

UN=Unacceptable, PO=Poor,  
SA=Satisfactory, VG=Very Good,  
SU=Superior

Category summaries are averages and may not add up due to rounding.

Surveys were distributed to court users from 02/2021 -09/2023

ARIZONA COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL PERFORMANCE REVIEW

Superior Court

Name of Judge:	Total Surveys: 230					Assignment: Juvenile					Cycle: 2021 & 2023								
	ATTORNEY					85	LIT/WIT/PRO PER					145	JUROR					0	
Hon. Suzanne S. Marwil	SU	VG	SA	PO	UN	Mean	SU	VG	SA	PO	UN	Mean	SU	VG	SA	PO	UN	Mean	
<b>Section I: Legal Ability</b>	<b>50%</b>	<b>18%</b>	<b>22%</b>	<b>8%</b>	<b>3%</b>	<b>3.0</b>													
Legal reasoning ability	47%	17%	28%	5%	2%	3.0													
Knowledge of substantive law	48%	21%	18%	11%	2%	3.0													
Knowledge of rules of evidence	54%	16%	20%	7%	3%	3.1													
Knowledge of rules of procedure	51%	16%	22%	9%	2%	3.0													
<b>Section II: Integrity</b>	<b>62%</b>	<b>12%</b>	<b>22%</b>	<b>3%</b>	<b>2%</b>	<b>3.3</b>	<b>62%</b>	<b>20%</b>	<b>12%</b>	<b>4%</b>	<b>3%</b>	<b>3.3</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>0.0</b>
Basic fairness and impartiality	51%	17%	17%	11%	5%	3.0	52%	20%	16%	7%	5%	3.1	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0.0
Equal treatment regardless of race	65%	12%	22%	1%	0%	3.4	64%	22%	10%	2%	3%	3.4	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0.0
Equal treatment regardless of gender	58%	14%	23%	3%	3%	3.2	59%	18%	12%	5%	5%	3.2	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0.0
Equal treatment regardless of religion	66%	10%	24%	0%	0%	3.4	64%	19%	13%	2%	1%	3.4	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0.0
Equal treatment regardless of national origin	64%	9%	28%	0%	0%	3.4	66%	20%	12%	1%	2%	3.5	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0.0
Equal treatment regardless of disability	65%	13%	19%	0%	3%	3.4	64%	18%	10%	5%	3%	3.3	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0.0
Equal treatment regardless of age	63%	11%	20%	3%	3%	3.3	64%	18%	12%	3%	3%	3.4	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0.0
Equal treatment regardless of sexual orientation	66%	6%	28%	0%	0%	3.4	61%	24%	13%	1%	1%	3.4	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0.0
Equal treatment regardless of economic status	62%	13%	22%	3%	0%	3.3	62%	18%	11%	6%	3%	3.3	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0.0
<b>Section III: Communication Skills</b>	<b>44%</b>	<b>20%</b>	<b>20%</b>	<b>12%</b>	<b>5%</b>	<b>2.9</b>	<b>56%</b>	<b>23%</b>	<b>13%</b>	<b>5%</b>	<b>3%</b>	<b>3.2</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>0.0</b>
Clear and logical communications																			
Clear and logical oral communications and directions	41%	22%	22%	12%	4%	2.8													
Clear and logical written decisions	44%	21%	20%	12%	3%	2.9													
Gave all parties an adequate opportunity to be heard	48%	16%	17%	12%	7%	2.9													
Explained proceedings (to the jury)							53%	26%	14%	4%	2%	3.2	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0.0
Explained reason for delays							58%	20%	12%	5%	4%	3.2	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0.0
Clearly explained the juror's responsibilities													0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0.0
<b>Section IV: Judicial temperament</b>	<b>44%</b>	<b>19%</b>	<b>25%</b>	<b>8%</b>	<b>4%</b>	<b>2.9</b>	<b>57%</b>	<b>18%</b>	<b>14%</b>	<b>6%</b>	<b>5%</b>	<b>3.2</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>0.0</b>
Understanding and compassion	43%	18%	28%	7%	4%	2.9	52%	21%	10%	9%	7%	3.0	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0.0
Dignified	49%	22%	23%	5%	1%	3.1	59%	18%	16%	5%	3%	3.2	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0.0
Courteous	45%	20%	22%	8%	5%	2.9	56%	19%	19%	4%	3%	3.2	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0.0
Conduct that promotes public confidence in the court	47%	17%	23%	8%	5%	2.9	60%	16%	8%	7%	9%	3.1	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0.0
Patient	37%	20%	29%	10%	5%	2.7	58%	17%	16%	5%	5%	3.2	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0.0
<b>Section V: Administrative Performance</b>	<b>49%</b>	<b>23%</b>	<b>22%</b>	<b>3%</b>	<b>2%</b>	<b>3.1</b>	<b>62%</b>	<b>18%</b>	<b>14%</b>	<b>4%</b>	<b>2%</b>	<b>3.3</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>0.0</b>
Punctual in conducting proceedings	41%	31%	22%	2%	4%	3.0	61%	20%	15%	2%	1%	3.4	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0.0
Maintained proper control of courtroom	46%	20%	25%	5%	4%	3.0	63%	18%	13%	5%	1%	3.4	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0.0
Prompt in making rulings and rendering decisions	55%	24%	17%	4%	0%	3.3													
Was prepared for the proceedings	54%	19%	23%	2%	1%	3.2	61%	16%	14%	5%	4%	3.3	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0.0
Respectful treatment of staff																			
Cooperation with peers																			
Efficient management of calendar	48%	20%	25%	4%	4%	3.0													
<b>Section VI: Settlement Activities</b>	<b>35%</b>	<b>22%</b>	<b>29%</b>	<b>10%</b>	<b>4%</b>	<b>2.7</b>													
Appropriately promoted or conducted settlement	35%	22%	29%	10%	4%	2.7													

UN=Unacceptable, PO=Poor,  
 SA=Satisfactory, VG=Very Good,  
 SU=Superior

Category summaries are averages and may not add up due to rounding.

Surveys were distributed to court users from 02/2021 - 09/2023

ARIZONA COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL PERFORMANCE REVIEW

Superior Court

Name of Judge:	Total Surveys: 6					Fall 2023	
	PEER JUDGE					Total	Mean
Hon. Suzanne S. Marwil	EX	VG	AC	PO	FA		
<b>Section I: Legal Ability</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>5.0</b>
Decisions based on law and facts	5	0	0	0	0	5	5.0
Knowledge of rules of evidence	5	0	0	0	0	5	5.0
Knowledge of rules of procedure	5	0	0	0	0	5	5.0
<b>Section II: Integrity</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>5.0</b>
Unbiased and impartial	5	0	0	0	0	5	5.0
<b>Section III: Communication Skills</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>4.6</b>
Communication skills	4	0	1	0	0	5	4.6
<b>Section IV: Judicial temperament</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>4.8</b>
Conduct that promotes public confidence in the court and judge's ability	4	1	0	0	0	5	4.8
Respectful to all individuals	4	1	0	0	0	5	4.8
<b>Section V: Administrative Performance</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>4.9</b>
Works effectively with other judges	4	1	0	0	0	5	4.8
Works effectively with court personnel	4	0	0	0	0	4	5.0
Efficient management of calendar	4	0	0	0	0	4	5.0
Does a fair share of work	5	0	0	0	0	5	5.0

FA=Failing, PO=Poor,  
 AC=Acceptable, VG=Very Good,  
 EX=Excellent

Category summaries are averages and may not add up due to rounding.

Surveys were distributed to court  
 users from 09/2023 - 11/2023

ARIZONA COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL PERFORMANCE REVIEW

Superior Court

Name of Judge:	Total Surveys: 6					Fall 2023
	PEER JUDGE					Mean
Hon. Suzanne S. Marwil	EX	VG	AC	PO	FA	
<b>Section I: Legal Ability</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>5.0</b>
Decisions based on law and facts	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	5.0
Knowledge of rules of evidence	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	5.0
Knowledge of rules of procedure	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	5.0
<b>Section II: Integrity</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>5.0</b>
Unbiased and impartial	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	5.0
<b>Section III: Communication Skills</b>	<b>80%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>20%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>4.6</b>
Communication skills	80%	0%	20%	0%	0%	4.6
<b>Section IV: Judicial temperament</b>	<b>80%</b>	<b>20%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>4.8</b>
Conduct that promotes public confidence in the court and judge's ability	80%	20%	0%	0%	0%	4.8
Respectful to all individuals	80%	20%	0%	0%	0%	4.8
<b>Section V: Administrative Performance</b>	<b>94%</b>	<b>6%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>4.9</b>
Works effectively with other judges	80%	20%	0%	0%	0%	4.8
Works effectively with court personnel	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	5.0
Efficient management of calendar	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	5.0
Does a fair share of work	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	5.0

FA=Failing, PO=Poor,  
AC=Acceptable, VG=Very Good,  
EX=Excellent

Category summaries are averages and may not add up due to rounding.

Surveys were distributed to court users from 09/2023 - 11/2023