

Judges are evaluated by survey on their judicial performance midway through their term and prior to their retention election. Beginning in 2022, their survey evaluations include midterm and retention feedback. Newly appointed judges serve a two-year term and are evaluated prior to their retention election. The composite percentage table is an average of all evaluators who rated the judge "superior", "very good", or "satisfactory" in each of the Commission's evaluation categories. Some evaluators select "Can't Rate" on the questionnaire affecting the average response number within their respondent category. The JPR Commission votes whether a judge "Meets" or "Does Not Meet" Judicial Performance Standards, based on the survey information and any other information submitted by the public or the judge. Further information on the judges and justices can be found on each court's website.

**Commission on Judicial Performance Review
Composite Percentage - Average of all Evaluation Categories**

Superior Court in Maricopa County

Hon. Kevin Wein

2024		
Evaluation Category	Total Survey Responses	Average Percentage of Satisfactory or Above
Legal Ability	130	89%
Integrity	206	91%
Communication Skills	226	89%
Judicial Temperament	233	86%
Administrative Performance	233	93%
Settlement Activities	86	83%

Responses based upon 248 returned survey questionnaires from a distribution of 1653 questionnaires. Survey respondents may not necessarily answer all survey questions.

ARIZONA COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL PERFORMANCE REVIEW

Superior Court

Name of Judge:	Total Surveys: 231					Assignment: Criminal					Cycle: 2021 & 2023														
Hon. Kevin Wein	ATTORNEY					LIT/WIT/PRO PER					JUROR														
	SU	VG	SA	PO	UN	119	Resp	Mean	SU	VG	SA	PO	UN	88	Resp	Mean	SU	VG	SA	PO	UN	24	Resp	Mean	
Section I: Legal Ability	52	27	20	11	4	114	3.0																		
Legal reasoning ability	53	29	20	10	5	117	3.0																		
Knowledge of substantive law	52	26	22	12	4	116	2.9																		
Knowledge of rules of evidence	52	24	21	10	3	110	3.0																		
Knowledge of rules of procedure	52	29	18	12	2	113	3.0																		
Section II: Integrity	55	20	15	5	1	96	3.3	35	14	9	5	8	70	2.9	20	2	0	0	0	0	0	22	3.9		
Basic fairness and impartiality	58	29	11	15	6	119	3.0	36	16	10	7	11	80	2.7	21	3	0	0	0	0	0	24	3.9		
Equal treatment regardless of race	58	21	17	3	1	100	3.3	36	16	10	3	10	75	2.9	22	2	0	0	0	0	0	24	3.9		
Equal treatment regardless of gender	59	23	16	6	1	105	3.3	35	13	12	6	12	78	2.7	20	2	0	0	0	0	0	22	3.9		
Equal treatment regardless of religion	53	16	15	2	0	86	3.4	35	15	6	2	6	64	3.1	18	2	0	0	0	0	0	20	3.9		
Equal treatment regardless of national origin	53	19	16	2	0	90	3.4	38	13	8	3	6	68	3.1	20	2	0	0	0	0	0	22	3.9		
Equal treatment regardless of disability	53	15	14	3	0	85	3.4	35	11	3	6	6	61	3.0	18	2	0	0	0	0	0	20	3.9		
Equal treatment regardless of age	55	22	16	5	1	99	3.3	36	14	12	4	6	72	3.0	20	2	0	0	0	0	0	22	3.9		
Equal treatment regardless of sexual orientation	49	15	16	1	0	81	3.4	33	13	8	3	6	63	3.0	18	2	0	0	0	0	0	20	3.9		
Equal treatment regardless of economic status	58	22	17	4	1	102	3.3	35	12	8	7	11	73	2.7	21	2	0	0	0	0	0	23	3.9		
Section III: Communication Skills	57	24	22	8	3	113	3.1	36	16	8	6	8	73	2.9	21	2	0	0	0	0	0	23	3.9		
Clear and logical communications																									
Clear and logical oral communications and directions	58	25	22	10	2	117	3.1																		
Clear and logical written decisions	53	22	20	8	1	104	3.1																		
Gave all parties an adequate opportunity to be heard	60	25	24	5	5	119	3.1																		
Explained proceedings (to the jury)								38	17	6	8	9	78	2.9	23	1	0	0	0	0	0	24	4.0		
Explained reason for delays								33	15	9	3	7	67	3.0	19	3	1	0	0	0	0	23	3.8		
Clearly explained the juror's responsibilities															22	1	0	0	0	0	0	23	4.0		
Section IV: Judicial temperament	57	24	20	8	7	117	3.0	38	11	9	7	11	76	2.8	22	2	0	0	0	0	0	24	3.9		
Understanding and compassion	56	24	22	6	8	116	3.0	37	10	9	7	13	76	2.7	21	3	0	0	0	0	0	24	3.9		
Dignified	60	24	20	6	7	117	3.1	38	14	8	5	10	75	2.9	22	2	0	0	0	0	0	24	3.9		
Courteous	58	24	23	6	6	117	3.0	39	12	10	9	7	77	2.9	22	2	0	0	0	0	0	24	3.9		
Conduct that promotes public confidence in the court	59	22	17	11	8	117	3.0	39	10	8	3	14	74	2.8	22	2	0	0	0	0	0	24	3.9		
Patient	54	28	20	10	4	116	3.0	38	11	8	9	10	76	2.8	21	3	0	0	0	0	0	24	3.9		
Section V: Administrative Performance	61	30	21	3	2	117	3.2	42	14	10	5	7	77	3.0	20	2	1	0	0	0	0	23	3.8		
Punctual in conducting proceedings	61	32	22	3	1	119	3.3	41	17	10	3	6	77	3.1	18	3	2	0	0	0	0	23	3.7		
Maintained proper control of courtroom	62	28	21	3	4	118	3.2	43	11	10	4	9	77	3.0	22	2	0	0	0	0	0	24	3.9		
Prompt in making rulings and rendering decisions	58	29	22	4	0	113	3.2																		
Was prepared for the proceedings	64	29	20	3	3	119	3.2	41	13	10	7	6	77	3.0	21	2	0	0	0	0	0	23	3.9		
Respectful treatment of staff																									
Cooperation with peers																									
Efficient management of calendar	61	31	18	3	2	115	3.3																		
Section VI: Settlement Activities	44	17	10	11	4	86	3.0																		
Appropriately promoted or conducted settlement	44	17	10	11	4	86	3.0																		

UN=Unacceptable, PO=Poor,
SA=Satisfactory, VG=Very Good,
SU=Superior

Category summaries are averages and may not add up due to rounding.

Surveys were distributed to court users from 02/2021 -09/2023

ARIZONA COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL PERFORMANCE REVIEW

Superior Court

Name of Judge:	Total Surveys: 231					Assignment: Criminal					Cycle: 2021 & 2023								
	ATTORNEY					119	LIT/WIT/PRO PER					88	JUROR					24	
Hon. Kevin Wein	SU	VG	SA	PO	UN	Mean	SU	VG	SA	PO	UN	Mean	SU	VG	SA	PO	UN	Mean	
Section I: Legal Ability	46%	24%	18%	10%	3%	3.0													
Legal reasoning ability	45%	25%	17%	9%	4%	3.0													
Knowledge of substantive law	45%	22%	19%	10%	3%	2.9													
Knowledge of rules of evidence	47%	22%	19%	9%	3%	3.0													
Knowledge of rules of procedure	46%	26%	16%	11%	2%	3.0													
Section II: Integrity	57%	21%	16%	5%	1%	3.3	50%	19%	12%	6%	12%	2.9	90%	10%	0%	0%	0%	3.9	
Basic fairness and impartiality	49%	24%	9%	13%	5%	3.0	45%	20%	13%	9%	14%	2.7	88%	13%	0%	0%	0%	3.9	
Equal treatment regardless of race	58%	21%	17%	3%	1%	3.3	48%	21%	13%	4%	13%	2.9	92%	8%	0%	0%	0%	3.9	
Equal treatment regardless of gender	56%	22%	15%	6%	1%	3.3	45%	17%	15%	8%	15%	2.7	91%	9%	0%	0%	0%	3.9	
Equal treatment regardless of religion	62%	19%	17%	2%	0%	3.4	55%	23%	9%	3%	9%	3.1	90%	10%	0%	0%	0%	3.9	
Equal treatment regardless of national origin	59%	21%	18%	2%	0%	3.4	56%	19%	12%	4%	9%	3.1	91%	9%	0%	0%	0%	3.9	
Equal treatment regardless of disability	62%	18%	16%	4%	0%	3.4	57%	18%	5%	10%	10%	3.0	90%	10%	0%	0%	0%	3.9	
Equal treatment regardless of age	56%	22%	16%	5%	1%	3.3	50%	19%	17%	6%	8%	3.0	91%	9%	0%	0%	0%	3.9	
Equal treatment regardless of sexual orientation	60%	19%	20%	1%	0%	3.4	52%	21%	13%	5%	10%	3.0	90%	10%	0%	0%	0%	3.9	
Equal treatment regardless of economic status	57%	22%	17%	4%	1%	3.3	48%	16%	11%	10%	15%	2.7	91%	9%	0%	0%	0%	3.9	
Section III: Communication Skills	50%	21%	19%	7%	2%	3.1	49%	22%	10%	8%	11%	2.9	91%	7%	1%	0%	0%	3.9	
Clear and logical communications																			
Clear and logical oral communications and directions	50%	21%	19%	9%	2%	3.1													
Clear and logical written decisions	51%	21%	19%	8%	1%	3.1													
Gave all parties an adequate opportunity to be heard	50%	21%	20%	4%	4%	3.1													
Explained proceedings (to the jury)							49%	22%	8%	10%	12%	2.9	96%	4%	0%	0%	0%	4.0	
Explained reason for delays							49%	22%	13%	4%	10%	3.0	83%	13%	4%	0%	0%	3.8	
Clearly explained the juror's responsibilities													96%	4%	0%	0%	0%	4.0	
Section IV: Judicial temperament	49%	21%	17%	7%	6%	3.0	51%	15%	11%	9%	14%	2.8	90%	10%	0%	0%	0%	3.9	
Understanding and compassion	48%	21%	19%	5%	7%	3.0	49%	13%	12%	9%	17%	2.7	88%	13%	0%	0%	0%	3.9	
Dignified	51%	21%	17%	5%	6%	3.1	51%	19%	11%	7%	13%	2.9	92%	8%	0%	0%	0%	3.9	
Courteous	50%	21%	20%	5%	5%	3.0	51%	16%	13%	12%	9%	2.9	92%	8%	0%	0%	0%	3.9	
Conduct that promotes public confidence in the court	50%	19%	15%	9%	7%	3.0	53%	14%	11%	4%	19%	2.8	92%	8%	0%	0%	0%	3.9	
Patient	47%	24%	17%	9%	3%	3.0	50%	14%	11%	12%	13%	2.8	88%	13%	0%	0%	0%	3.9	
Section V: Administrative Performance	52%	26%	18%	3%	2%	3.2	54%	18%	13%	6%	9%	3.0	87%	10%	3%	0%	0%	3.8	
Punctual in conducting proceedings	51%	27%	18%	3%	1%	3.3	53%	22%	13%	4%	8%	3.1	78%	13%	9%	0%	0%	3.7	
Maintained proper control of courtroom	53%	24%	18%	3%	3%	3.2	56%	14%	13%	5%	12%	3.0	92%	8%	0%	0%	0%	3.9	
Prompt in making rulings and rendering decisions	51%	26%	19%	4%	0%	3.2													
Was prepared for the proceedings	54%	24%	17%	3%	3%	3.2	53%	17%	13%	9%	8%	3.0	91%	9%	0%	0%	0%	3.9	
Respectful treatment of staff																			
Cooperation with peers																			
Efficient management of calendar	53%	27%	16%	3%	2%	3.3													
Section VI: Settlement Activities	51%	20%	12%	13%	5%	3.0													
Appropriately promoted or conducted settlement	51%	20%	12%	13%	5%	3.0													

UN=Unacceptable, PO=Poor,
 SA=Satisfactory, VG=Very Good,
 SU=Superior

Category summaries are averages and may not add up due to rounding.

Surveys were distributed to court users from 02/2021 - 09/2023

ARIZONA COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL PERFORMANCE REVIEW

Superior Court

Name of Judge:	Total Surveys: 17					Fall 2023	
	PEER JUDGE					Total	Mean
Hon. Kevin Wein	EX	VG	AC	PO	FA		
Section I: Legal Ability	15	1	0	0	0	16	4.9
Decisions based on law and facts	15	1	0	0	0	16	4.9
Knowledge of rules of evidence	15	1	0	0	0	16	4.9
Knowledge of rules of procedure	15	1	0	0	0	16	4.9
Section II: Integrity	17	0	0	0	0	17	5.0
Unbiased and impartial	17	0	0	0	0	17	5.0
Section III: Communication Skills	15	2	0	0	0	17	4.9
Communication skills	15	2	0	0	0	17	4.9
Section IV: Judicial temperament	17	0	0	0	0	17	5.0
Conduct that promotes public confidence in the court and judge's ability	17	0	0	0	0	17	5.0
Respectful to all individuals	17	0	0	0	0	17	5.0
Section V: Administrative Performance	16	0	0	0	0	16	5.0
Works effectively with other judges	16	1	0	0	0	17	4.9
Works effectively with court personnel	16	0	0	0	0	16	5.0
Efficient management of calendar	16	0	0	0	0	16	5.0
Does a fair share of work	16	0	0	0	0	16	5.0

FA=Failing, PO=Poor,
AC=Acceptable, VG=Very Good,
EX=Excellent

Category summaries are averages and may not add up due to rounding.

Surveys were distributed to court
users from 09/2023 - 11/2023

ARIZONA COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL PERFORMANCE REVIEW

Superior Court

Name of Judge:	Total Surveys: 17					Fall 2023
Hon. Kevin Wein	PEER JUDGE					Mean
	EX	VG	AC	PO	FA	
Section I: Legal Ability	94%	6%	0%	0%	0%	4.9
Decisions based on law and facts	94%	6%	0%	0%	0%	4.9
Knowledge of rules of evidence	94%	6%	0%	0%	0%	4.9
Knowledge of rules of procedure	94%	6%	0%	0%	0%	4.9
Section II: Integrity	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	5.0
Unbiased and impartial	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	5.0
Section III: Communication Skills	88%	12%	0%	0%	0%	4.9
Communication skills	88%	12%	0%	0%	0%	4.9
Section IV: Judicial temperament	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	5.0
Conduct that promotes public confidence in the court and judge's ability	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	5.0
Respectful to all individuals	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	5.0
Section V: Administrative Performance	98%	2%	0%	0%	0%	5.0
Works effectively with other judges	94%	6%	0%	0%	0%	4.9
Works effectively with court personnel	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	5.0
Efficient management of calendar	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	5.0
Does a fair share of work	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	5.0

FA=Failing, PO=Poor,
AC=Acceptable, VG=Very Good,
EX=Excellent

Category summaries are averages and may not add up due to rounding.

Surveys were distributed to court users from 09/2023 - 11/2023