

Judges are evaluated by survey on their judicial performance midway through their term and prior to their retention election. Beginning in 2022, their survey evaluations include midterm and retention feedback. Newly appointed judges serve a two-year term and are evaluated prior to their retention election. The composite percentage table is an average of all evaluators who rated the judge "superior", "very good", or "satisfactory" in each of the Commission's evaluation categories. Some evaluators select "Can't Rate" on the questionnaire affecting the average response number within their respondent category. The JPR Commission votes whether a judge "Meets" or "Does Not Meet" Judicial Performance Standards, based on the survey information and any other information submitted by the public or the judge. Further information on the judges and justices can be found on each court's website.

**Commission on Judicial Performance Review
Composite Percentage - Average of all Evaluation Categories**

Superior Court in Pima County

Hon. Casey McGinley

2024		
Evaluation Category	Total Survey Responses	Average Percentage of Satisfactory or Above
Legal Ability	74	96%
Integrity	134	97%
Communication Skills	140	98%
Judicial Temperament	153	97%
Administrative Performance	145	98%
Settlement Activities	30	100%

Responses based upon 168 returned survey questionnaires from a distribution of 739 questionnaires. Survey respondents may not necessarily answer all survey questions.

ARIZONA COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL PERFORMANCE REVIEW

Superior Court

Name of Judge: **Hon. Casey McGinley** Total Surveys: **164** Assignment: **Civil** Cycle: **2021 & 2023**

Name of Judge:	ATTORNEY					79		LIT/WIT/PRO PER					75		JUROR					10	
	SU	VG	SA	PO	UN	Resp	Mean	SU	VG	SA	PO	UN	Resp	Mean	SU	VG	SA	PO	UN	Resp	Mean
Hon. Casey McGinley																					
Section I: Legal Ability	46	16	5	2	2	70	3.5														
Legal reasoning ability	46	18	6	1	2	73	3.4														
Knowledge of substantive law	46	16	5	2	1	70	3.5														
Knowledge of rules of evidence	43	14	3	2	2	64	3.5														
Knowledge of rules of procedure	48	16	4	1	2	71	3.5														
Section II: Integrity	48	6	3	0	0	57	3.8	48	7	6	2	1	64	3.5	9	0	0	0	0	9	4.0
Basic fairness and impartiality	56	10	4	4	1	75	3.5	47	6	9	3	4	69	3.3	10	0	0	0	0	10	4.0
Equal treatment regardless of race	51	5	3	0	0	59	3.8	50	8	5	1	1	65	3.6	10	0	0	0	0	10	4.0
Equal treatment regardless of gender	53	7	5	0	0	65	3.7	49	6	6	4	0	65	3.5	10	0	0	0	0	10	4.0
Equal treatment regardless of religion	43	4	2	0	0	49	3.8	49	5	7	1	0	62	3.6	9	0	0	0	0	9	4.0
Equal treatment regardless of national origin	47	7	2	0	0	56	3.8	49	8	4	2	1	64	3.6	9	0	0	0	0	9	4.0
Equal treatment regardless of disability	43	4	2	0	0	49	3.8	47	8	6	1	0	62	3.6	9	0	0	0	0	9	4.0
Equal treatment regardless of age	48	6	4	0	0	58	3.8	49	8	6	1	1	65	3.6	9	0	0	0	0	9	4.0
Equal treatment regardless of sexual orientation	42	4	2	0	0	48	3.8	45	8	6	1	1	61	3.6	9	0	0	0	0	9	4.0
Equal treatment regardless of economic status	49	6	3	0	0	58	3.8	46	8	5	1	3	63	3.5	10	0	0	0	0	10	4.0
Section III: Communication Skills	47	14	6	1	0	68	3.6	42	11	6	0	2	59	3.5	9	0	0	0	0	10	3.9
Clear and logical communications																					
Clear and logical oral communications and directions	51	15	7	0	0	73	3.6														
Clear and logical written decisions	36	17	6	1	1	61	3.4														
Gave all parties an adequate opportunity to be heard	53	9	5	2	0	69	3.6														
Explained proceedings (to the jury)								45	10	6	0	2	63	3.5	10	0	0	0	0	10	4.0
Explained reason for delays								38	11	5	0	1	55	3.5	8	0	1	0	0	9	3.8
Clearly explained the juror's responsibilities															10	0	0	0	0	10	4.0
Section IV: Judicial temperament	55	12	4	2	0	73	3.6	49	8	7	2	1	66	3.5	10	0	0	0	0	10	4.0
Understanding and compassion	52	14	4	2	0	72	3.6	48	7	8	1	2	66	3.5	10	0	0	0	0	10	4.0
Dignified	58	10	4	1	0	73	3.7	48	8	8	0	1	65	3.6	10	0	0	0	0	10	4.0
Courteous	55	13	4	1	0	73	3.7	52	7	6	1	1	67	3.6	10	0	0	0	0	10	4.0
Conduct that promotes public confidence in the court	57	10	2	3	2	74	3.6	48	7	6	3	2	66	3.5	9	0	1	0	0	10	3.8
Patient	53	11	7	1	0	72	3.6	49	9	6	3	0	67	3.6	10	0	0	0	0	10	4.0
Section V: Administrative Performance	53	14	2	1	0	70	3.7	45	10	5	0	1	62	3.6	10	0	0	0	0	10	3.9
Punctual in conducting proceedings	53	17	2	0	0	72	3.7	44	13	4	0	1	62	3.6	9	0	1	0	0	10	3.8
Maintained proper control of courtroom	55	13	2	0	0	70	3.8	43	9	7	0	1	60	3.6	10	0	0	0	0	10	4.0
Prompt in making rulings and rendering decisions	50	16	2	1	0	69	3.7														
Was prepared for the proceedings	55	14	4	1	0	74	3.7	47	9	5	0	2	63	3.6	10	0	0	0	0	10	4.0
Respectful treatment of staff																					
Cooperation with peers																					
Efficient management of calendar	52	10	1	3	0	66	3.7														
Section VI: Settlement Activities	24	6	0	0	0	30	3.8														
Appropriately promoted or conducted settlement	24	6	0	0	0	30	3.8														

UN=Unacceptable, PO=Poor,
SA=Satisfactory, VG=Very Good,
SU=Superior

Category summaries are averages and may not add up due to rounding.

Surveys were distributed to court users from 02/2021 -09/2023

ARIZONA COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL PERFORMANCE REVIEW

Superior Court

Name of Judge:	Total Surveys: 164					Assignment: Civil					Cycle: 2021 & 2023							
Hon. Casey McGinley	ATTORNEY					79 Mean	LIT/WIT/PROPER					75 Mean	JUROR					10 Mean
	SU	VG	SA	PO	UN		SU	VG	SA	PO	UN		SU	VG	SA	PO	UN	
Section I: Legal Ability	66%	23%	6%	2%	3%	3.5												
Legal reasoning ability	63%	25%	8%	1%	3%	3.4												
Knowledge of substantive law	66%	23%	7%	3%	1%	3.5												
Knowledge of rules of evidence	67%	22%	5%	3%	3%	3.5												
Knowledge of rules of procedure	68%	23%	6%	1%	3%	3.5												
Section II: Integrity	84%	10%	5%	1%	0%	3.8	75%	11%	9%	3%	2%	3.5	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	4.0
Basic fairness and impartiality	75%	13%	5%	5%	1%	3.5	68%	9%	13%	4%	6%	3.3	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	4.0
Equal treatment regardless of race	86%	8%	5%	0%	0%	3.8	77%	12%	8%	2%	2%	3.6	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	4.0
Equal treatment regardless of gender	82%	11%	8%	0%	0%	3.7	75%	9%	9%	6%	0%	3.5	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	4.0
Equal treatment regardless of religion	88%	8%	4%	0%	0%	3.8	79%	8%	11%	2%	0%	3.6	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	4.0
Equal treatment regardless of national origin	84%	13%	4%	0%	0%	3.8	77%	13%	6%	3%	2%	3.6	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	4.0
Equal treatment regardless of disability	88%	8%	4%	0%	0%	3.8	76%	13%	10%	2%	0%	3.6	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	4.0
Equal treatment regardless of age	83%	10%	7%	0%	0%	3.8	75%	12%	9%	2%	2%	3.6	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	4.0
Equal treatment regardless of sexual orientation	88%	8%	4%	0%	0%	3.8	74%	13%	10%	2%	2%	3.6	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	4.0
Equal treatment regardless of economic status	84%	10%	5%	0%	0%	3.8	73%	13%	8%	2%	5%	3.5	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	4.0
Section III: Communication Skills	69%	20%	9%	1%	0%	3.6	70%	18%	9%	0%	3%	3.5	97%	0%	3%	0%	0%	3.9
Clear and logical communications																		
Clear and logical oral communications and directions	70%	21%	10%	0%	0%	3.6												
Clear and logical written decisions	59%	28%	10%	2%	2%	3.4												
Gave all parties an adequate opportunity to be heard	77%	13%	7%	3%	0%	3.6												
Explained proceedings (to the jury)							71%	16%	10%	0%	3%	3.5	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	4.0
Explained reason for delays							69%	20%	9%	0%	2%	3.5	89%	0%	11%	0%	0%	3.8
Clearly explained the juror's responsibilities													100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	4.0
Section IV: Judicial temperament	76%	16%	6%	2%	1%	3.6	74%	11%	10%	2%	2%	3.5	98%	0%	2%	0%	0%	4.0
Understanding and compassion	72%	19%	6%	3%	0%	3.6	73%	11%	12%	2%	3%	3.5	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	4.0
Dignified	79%	14%	5%	1%	0%	3.7	74%	12%	12%	0%	2%	3.6	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	4.0
Courteous	75%	18%	5%	1%	0%	3.7	78%	10%	9%	1%	1%	3.6	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	4.0
Conduct that promotes public confidence in the court	77%	14%	3%	4%	3%	3.6	73%	11%	9%	5%	3%	3.5	90%	0%	10%	0%	0%	3.8
Patient	74%	15%	10%	1%	0%	3.6	73%	13%	9%	4%	0%	3.6	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	4.0
Section V: Administrative Performance	75%	20%	3%	1%	0%	3.7	72%	17%	9%	0%	2%	3.6	97%	0%	3%	0%	0%	3.9
Punctual in conducting proceedings	74%	24%	3%	0%	0%	3.7	71%	21%	6%	0%	2%	3.6	90%	0%	10%	0%	0%	3.8
Maintained proper control of courtroom	79%	19%	3%	0%	0%	3.8	72%	15%	12%	0%	2%	3.6	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	4.0
Prompt in making rulings and rendering decisions	72%	23%	3%	1%	0%	3.7												
Was prepared for the proceedings	74%	19%	5%	1%	0%	3.7	75%	14%	8%	0%	3%	3.6	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	4.0
Respectful treatment of staff																		
Cooperation with peers																		
Efficient management of calendar	79%	15%	2%	5%	0%	3.7												
Section VI: Settlement Activities	80%	20%	0%	0%	0%	3.8												
Appropriately promoted or conducted settlement	80%	20%	0%	0%	0%	3.8												

UN=Unacceptable, PO=Poor,
SA=Satisfactory, VG=Very Good,
SU=Superior

Category summaries are averages and may not add up due to rounding.

Surveys were distributed to court users from 02/2021 - 09/2023

ARIZONA COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL PERFORMANCE REVIEW

Superior Court

Name of Judge:	Total Surveys: 4					Fall 2023	
	PEER JUDGE					Total	Mean
Hon. Casey McGinley	EX	VG	AC	PO	FA		
Section I: Legal Ability	3	1	0	0	0	4	4.7
Decisions based on law and facts	3	1	0	0	0	4	4.8
Knowledge of rules of evidence	3	1	0	0	0	4	4.8
Knowledge of rules of procedure	2	2	0	0	0	4	4.5
Section II: Integrity	3	0	0	0	0	3	5.0
Unbiased and impartial	3	0	0	0	0	3	5.0
Section III: Communication Skills	3	1	0	0	0	4	4.8
Communication skills	3	1	0	0	0	4	4.8
Section IV: Judicial temperament	4	0	0	0	0	4	5.0
Conduct that promotes public confidence in the court and judge's ability	4	0	0	0	0	4	5.0
Respectful to all individuals	3	0	0	0	0	3	5.0
Section V: Administrative Performance	3	0	0	0	0	4	4.8
Works effectively with other judges	3	1	0	0	0	4	4.8
Works effectively with court personnel	3	0	0	0	0	3	5.0
Efficient management of calendar	3	0	0	0	0	3	5.0
Does a fair share of work	3	0	1	0	0	4	4.5

FA=Failing, PO=Poor,
AC=Acceptable, VG=Very Good,
EX=Excellent

Category summaries are averages and may not add up due to rounding.

Surveys were distributed to court
users from 09/2023 - 11/2023

ARIZONA COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL PERFORMANCE REVIEW

Superior Court

Name of Judge:	Total Surveys: 4					Fall 2023
Hon. Casey McGinley	PEER JUDGE					Mean
	EX	VG	AC	PO	FA	
Section I: Legal Ability	67%	33%	0%	0%	0%	4.7
Decisions based on law and facts	75%	25%	0%	0%	0%	4.8
Knowledge of rules of evidence	75%	25%	0%	0%	0%	4.8
Knowledge of rules of procedure	50%	50%	0%	0%	0%	4.5
Section II: Integrity	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	5.0
Unbiased and impartial	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	5.0
Section III: Communication Skills	75%	25%	0%	0%	0%	4.8
Communication skills	75%	25%	0%	0%	0%	4.8
Section IV: Judicial temperament	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	5.0
Conduct that promotes public confidence in the court and judge's ability	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	5.0
Respectful to all individuals	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	5.0
Section V: Administrative Performance	86%	7%	7%	0%	0%	4.8
Works effectively with other judges	75%	25%	0%	0%	0%	4.8
Works effectively with court personnel	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	5.0
Efficient management of calendar	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	5.0
Does a fair share of work	75%	0%	25%	0%	0%	4.5

FA=Failing, PO=Poor,
AC=Acceptable, VG=Very Good,
EX=Excellent

Category summaries are averages and may not add up due to rounding.

Surveys were distributed to court users from 09/2023 - 11/2023