

Judges are evaluated by survey on their judicial performance midway through their term and prior to their retention election. Beginning in 2022, their survey evaluations include midterm and retention feedback. Newly appointed judges serve a two-year term and are evaluated prior to their retention election. The composite percentage table is an average of all evaluators who rated the judge "superior", "very good", or "satisfactory" in each of the Commission's evaluation categories. Some evaluators select "Can't Rate" on the questionnaire affecting the average response number within their respondent category. The JPR Commission votes whether a judge "Meets" or "Does Not Meet" Judicial Performance Standards, based on the survey information and any other information submitted by the public or the judge. Further information on the judges and justices can be found on each court's website.

**Commission on Judicial Performance Review  
Composite Percentage - Average of all Evaluation Categories**

**Superior Court in Maricopa County**

**Hon. Ronda R. Fisk**

<b>2024</b>		
<b>Evaluation Category</b>	Total Survey Responses	Average Percentage of Satisfactory or Above
Legal Ability	60	100%
Integrity	93	93%
Communication Skills	109	92%
Judicial Temperament	110	90%
Administrative Performance	108	95%
Settlement Activities	30	97%

Responses based upon 116 returned survey questionnaires from a distribution of 569 questionnaires. Survey respondents may not necessarily answer all survey questions.

ARIZONA COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL PERFORMANCE REVIEW

Superior Court

Name of Judge: **Hon. Ronda R. Fisk** Total Surveys: **93** Assignment: **Family** Cycle: **2021 & 2023**

Name of Judge:	ATTORNEY					44		LIT/WIT/PRO PER					49		JUROR					0		
	SU	VG	SA	PO	UN	Resp	Mean	SU	VG	SA	PO	UN	Resp	Mean	SU	VG	SA	PO	UN	Resp	Mean	
<b>Hon. Ronda R. Fisk</b>	27	7	5	0	0	39	3.6															
<b>Section I: Legal Ability</b>	27	7	5	0	0	39	3.6															
Legal reasoning ability	27	7	5	0	0	39	3.6															
Knowledge of substantive law	26	6	6	0	0	38	3.5															
Knowledge of rules of evidence	27	7	4	0	0	38	3.6															
Knowledge of rules of procedure	28	9	4	0	0	41	3.6															
<b>Section II: Integrity</b>	22	6	4	0	0	33	3.5	22	9	5	3	3	42	3.1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0
Basic fairness and impartiality	26	10	3	2	2	43	3.3	24	10	6	4	3	47	3.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0
Equal treatment regardless of race	23	7	4	0	0	34	3.6	23	9	5	4	2	43	3.1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0
Equal treatment regardless of gender	23	9	5	1	0	38	3.4	24	9	5	1	6	45	3.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0
Equal treatment regardless of religion	21	4	4	0	0	29	3.6	21	9	3	4	1	38	3.2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0
Equal treatment regardless of national origin	22	4	4	0	0	30	3.6	22	9	5	4	2	42	3.1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0
Equal treatment regardless of disability	21	5	4	0	0	30	3.6	21	9	5	2	2	39	3.2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0
Equal treatment regardless of age	23	7	4	0	0	34	3.6	23	9	6	3	2	43	3.1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0
Equal treatment regardless of sexual orientation	22	3	4	0	0	29	3.6	20	9	3	2	3	37	3.1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0
Equal treatment regardless of economic status	21	8	4	0	0	33	3.5	23	8	8	2	4	45	3.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0
<b>Section III: Communication Skills</b>	28	6	6	2	0	42	3.4	25	10	4	3	3	44	3.2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0
Clear and logical communications																						
Clear and logical oral communications and directions	29	7	4	3	0	43	3.4															
Clear and logical written decisions	26	5	6	3	0	40	3.4															
Gave all parties an adequate opportunity to be heard	28	7	7	0	1	43	3.4															
Explained proceedings (to the jury)								27	9	6	3	1	46	3.3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0
Explained reason for delays								23	11	1	3	4	42	3.1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0
Clearly explained the juror's responsibilities															0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0
<b>Section IV: Judicial temperament</b>	25	9	6	3	0	43	3.3	24	11	5	3	4	48	3.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0
Understanding and compassion	22	10	5	5	1	43	3.1	23	11	7	3	4	48	3.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0
Dignified	27	9	6	0	0	42	3.5	26	9	4	2	5	46	3.1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0
Courteous	23	10	7	3	0	43	3.2	23	13	5	3	4	48	3.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0
Conduct that promotes public confidence in the court	28	7	6	2	0	43	3.4	24	12	4	2	6	48	3.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0
Patient	24	7	7	5	0	43	3.2	23	12	5	5	3	48	3.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0
<b>Section V: Administrative Performance</b>	29	8	4	1	0	42	3.5	26	8	7	3	1	45	3.2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0
Punctual in conducting proceedings	29	10	3	1	0	43	3.6	26	8	8	3	1	46	3.2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0
Maintained proper control of courtroom	29	8	4	1	0	42	3.5	25	9	6	3	1	44	3.2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0
Prompt in making rulings and rendering decisions	26	8	6	0	0	40	3.5															
Was prepared for the proceedings	33	5	4	0	1	43	3.6	26	8	8	3	1	46	3.2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0
Respectful treatment of staff																						
Cooperation with peers																						
Efficient management of calendar	29	7	4	1	1	42	3.5															
<b>Section VI: Settlement Activities</b>	21	3	5	1	0	30	3.5															
Appropriately promoted or conducted settlement	21	3	5	1	0	30	3.5															

UN=Unacceptable, PO=Poor,  
SA=Satisfactory, VG=Very Good,  
SU=Superior

Category summaries are averages and may not add up due to rounding.

Surveys were distributed to court users from 02/2021 -09/2023

ARIZONA COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL PERFORMANCE REVIEW

Superior Court

Name of Judge:	Total Surveys: 93					Assignment: Family					Cycle: 2021 & 2023								
	ATTORNEY					44	LIT/WIT/PROPER					49	JUROR					0	
Hon. Ronda R. Fisk	SU	VG	SA	PO	UN	Mean	SU	VG	SA	PO	UN	Mean	SU	VG	SA	PO	UN	Mean	
<b>Section I: Legal Ability</b>	<b>69%</b>	<b>19%</b>	<b>12%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>3.6</b>													
Legal reasoning ability	69%	18%	13%	0%	0%	3.6													
Knowledge of substantive law	68%	16%	16%	0%	0%	3.5													
Knowledge of rules of evidence	71%	18%	11%	0%	0%	3.6													
Knowledge of rules of procedure	68%	22%	10%	0%	0%	3.6													
<b>Section II: Integrity</b>	<b>67%</b>	<b>19%</b>	<b>12%</b>	<b>1%</b>	<b>1%</b>	<b>3.5</b>	<b>53%</b>	<b>21%</b>	<b>12%</b>	<b>7%</b>	<b>7%</b>	<b>3.1</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>0.0</b>	
Basic fairness and impartiality	60%	23%	7%	5%	5%	3.3	51%	21%	13%	9%	6%	3.0	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0.0	
Equal treatment regardless of race	68%	21%	12%	0%	0%	3.6	53%	21%	12%	9%	5%	3.1	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0.0	
Equal treatment regardless of gender	61%	24%	13%	3%	0%	3.4	53%	20%	11%	2%	13%	3.0	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0.0	
Equal treatment regardless of religion	72%	14%	14%	0%	0%	3.6	55%	24%	8%	11%	3%	3.2	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0.0	
Equal treatment regardless of national origin	73%	13%	13%	0%	0%	3.6	52%	21%	12%	10%	5%	3.1	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0.0	
Equal treatment regardless of disability	70%	17%	13%	0%	0%	3.6	54%	23%	13%	5%	5%	3.2	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0.0	
Equal treatment regardless of age	68%	21%	12%	0%	0%	3.6	53%	21%	14%	7%	5%	3.1	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0.0	
Equal treatment regardless of sexual orientation	76%	10%	14%	0%	0%	3.6	54%	24%	8%	5%	8%	3.1	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0.0	
Equal treatment regardless of economic status	64%	24%	12%	0%	0%	3.5	51%	18%	18%	4%	9%	3.0	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0.0	
<b>Section III: Communication Skills</b>	<b>66%</b>	<b>15%</b>	<b>13%</b>	<b>5%</b>	<b>1%</b>	<b>3.4</b>	<b>57%</b>	<b>23%</b>	<b>8%</b>	<b>7%</b>	<b>6%</b>	<b>3.2</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>0.0</b>	
Clear and logical communications																			
Clear and logical oral communications and directions	67%	16%	9%	7%	0%	3.4													
Clear and logical written decisions	65%	13%	15%	8%	0%	3.4													
Gave all parties an adequate opportunity to be heard	65%	16%	16%	0%	2%	3.4													
Explained proceedings (to the jury)							59%	20%	13%	7%	2%	3.3	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0.0	
Explained reason for delays							55%	26%	2%	7%	10%	3.1	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0.0	
Clearly explained the juror's responsibilities													0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0.0	
<b>Section IV: Judicial temperament</b>	<b>58%</b>	<b>20%</b>	<b>14%</b>	<b>7%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>3.3</b>	<b>50%</b>	<b>24%</b>	<b>11%</b>	<b>6%</b>	<b>9%</b>	<b>3.0</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>0.0</b>	
Understanding and compassion	51%	23%	12%	12%	2%	3.1	48%	23%	15%	6%	8%	3.0	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0.0	
Dignified	64%	21%	14%	0%	0%	3.5	57%	20%	9%	4%	11%	3.1	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0.0	
Courteous	53%	23%	16%	7%	0%	3.2	48%	27%	10%	6%	8%	3.0	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0.0	
Conduct that promotes public confidence in the court	65%	16%	14%	5%	0%	3.4	50%	25%	8%	4%	13%	3.0	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0.0	
Patient	56%	16%	16%	12%	0%	3.2	48%	25%	10%	10%	6%	3.0	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0.0	
<b>Section V: Administrative Performance</b>	<b>70%</b>	<b>18%</b>	<b>10%</b>	<b>1%</b>	<b>1%</b>	<b>3.5</b>	<b>57%</b>	<b>18%</b>	<b>16%</b>	<b>7%</b>	<b>2%</b>	<b>3.2</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>0.0</b>	
Punctual in conducting proceedings	67%	23%	7%	2%	0%	3.6	57%	17%	17%	7%	2%	3.2	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0.0	
Maintained proper control of courtroom	69%	19%	10%	2%	0%	3.5	57%	20%	14%	7%	2%	3.2	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0.0	
Prompt in making rulings and rendering decisions	65%	20%	15%	0%	0%	3.5													
Was prepared for the proceedings	77%	12%	9%	0%	2%	3.6	57%	17%	17%	7%	2%	3.2	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0.0	
Respectful treatment of staff																			
Cooperation with peers																			
Efficient management of calendar	69%	17%	10%	2%	2%	3.5													
<b>Section VI: Settlement Activities</b>	<b>70%</b>	<b>10%</b>	<b>17%</b>	<b>3%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>3.5</b>													
Appropriately promoted or conducted settlement	70%	10%	17%	3%	0%	3.5													

UN=Unacceptable, PO=Poor,  
 SA=Satisfactory, VG=Very Good,  
 SU=Superior

Category summaries are averages and may not add up due to rounding.

Surveys were distributed to court users from 02/2021 - 09/2023

ARIZONA COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL PERFORMANCE REVIEW

Superior Court

Name of Judge:	Total Surveys: 23					Fall 2023	
	PEER JUDGE					Total	Mean
Hon. Ronda R. Fisk	EX	VG	AC	PO	FA		
<b>Section I: Legal Ability</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>21</b>	<b>4.8</b>
Decisions based on law and facts	15	4	0	0	0	19	4.8
Knowledge of rules of evidence	18	3	0	0	0	21	4.9
Knowledge of rules of procedure	19	3	0	0	0	22	4.9
<b>Section II: Integrity</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>4.9</b>
Unbiased and impartial	16	2	0	0	0	18	4.9
<b>Section III: Communication Skills</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>4.6</b>
Communication skills	17	4	1	1	0	23	4.6
<b>Section IV: Judicial temperament</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>4.8</b>
Conduct that promotes public confidence in the court and judge's ability	17	2	1	0	0	20	4.8
Respectful to all individuals	16	2	0	1	0	19	4.7
<b>Section V: Administrative Performance</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>21</b>	<b>4.8</b>
Works effectively with other judges	17	5	0	1	0	23	4.7
Works effectively with court personnel	16	2	1	0	0	19	4.8
Efficient management of calendar	17	1	1	0	0	19	4.8
Does a fair share of work	20	1	1	0	0	22	4.9

FA=Failing, PO=Poor,  
 AC=Acceptable, VG=Very Good,  
 EX=Excellent

Category summaries are averages and may not add up due to rounding.

Surveys were distributed to court  
 users from 09/2023 - 11/2023

ARIZONA COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL PERFORMANCE REVIEW

Superior Court

Name of Judge:	Total Surveys: 23					Fall 2023
Hon. Ronda R. Fisk	PEER JUDGE					Mean
	EX	VG	AC	PO	FA	
<b>Section I: Legal Ability</b>	<b>84%</b>	<b>16%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>4.8</b>
Decisions based on law and facts	79%	21%	0%	0%	0%	4.8
Knowledge of rules of evidence	86%	14%	0%	0%	0%	4.9
Knowledge of rules of procedure	86%	14%	0%	0%	0%	4.9
<b>Section II: Integrity</b>	<b>89%</b>	<b>11%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>4.9</b>
Unbiased and impartial	89%	11%	0%	0%	0%	4.9
<b>Section III: Communication Skills</b>	<b>74%</b>	<b>17%</b>	<b>4%</b>	<b>4%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>4.6</b>
Communication skills	74%	17%	4%	4%	0%	4.6
<b>Section IV: Judicial temperament</b>	<b>85%</b>	<b>10%</b>	<b>3%</b>	<b>3%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>4.8</b>
Conduct that promotes public confidence in the court and judge's ability	85%	10%	5%	0%	0%	4.8
Respectful to all individuals	84%	11%	0%	5%	0%	4.7
<b>Section V: Administrative Performance</b>	<b>84%</b>	<b>11%</b>	<b>4%</b>	<b>1%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>4.8</b>
Works effectively with other judges	74%	22%	0%	4%	0%	4.7
Works effectively with court personnel	84%	11%	5%	0%	0%	4.8
Efficient management of calendar	89%	5%	5%	0%	0%	4.8
Does a fair share of work	91%	5%	5%	0%	0%	4.9

FA=Failing, PO=Poor,  
AC=Acceptable, VG=Very Good,  
EX=Excellent

Category summaries are averages and may not add up due to rounding.

Surveys were distributed to court users from 09/2023 - 11/2023