

Judges are evaluated by survey on their judicial performance midway through their term and prior to their retention election. Beginning in 2022, their survey evaluations include midterm and retention feedback. Newly appointed judges serve a two-year term and are evaluated prior to their retention election. The composite percentage table is an average of all evaluators who rated the judge "superior", "very good", or "satisfactory" in each of the Commission's evaluation categories. Some evaluators select "Can't Rate" on the questionnaire affecting the average response number within their respondent category. The JPR Commission votes whether a judge "Meets" or "Does Not Meet" Judicial Performance Standards, based on the survey information and any other information submitted by the public or the judge. Further information on the judges and justices can be found on each court's website.

**Commission on Judicial Performance Review
Composite Percentage - Average of all Evaluation Categories**

Superior Court in Coconino County

Hon. Stacy L. Krueger

2024		
Evaluation Category	Total Survey Responses	Average Percentage of Satisfactory or Above
Legal Ability	35	96%
Integrity	70	96%
Communication Skills	76	94%
Judicial Temperament	80	96%
Administrative Performance	79	96%
Settlement Activities	15	93%

Responses based upon 82 returned survey questionnaires from a distribution of 366 questionnaires. Survey respondents may not necessarily answer all survey questions.

ARIZONA COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL PERFORMANCE REVIEW

Superior Court

Name of Judge:	Total Surveys: 76					Assignment: CR/CV					Cycle: Retention Review										
Hon. Stacy L. Krueger	ATTORNEY					LIT/WIT/PRO PER					JUROR										
	SU	VG	SA	PO	UN	31 Resp Mean	SU	VG	SA	PO	UN	32 Resp Mean	SU	VG	SA	PO	UN	13 Resp Mean			
Section I: Legal Ability	20	7	3	0	1	31	3.5														
Legal reasoning ability	19	7	3	0	2	31	3.3														
Knowledge of substantive law	18	9	2	1	1	31	3.4														
Knowledge of rules of evidence	22	5	2	0	0	29	3.7														
Knowledge of rules of procedure	21	6	3	0	1	31	3.5														
Section II: Integrity	19	4	1	0	0	25	3.7	20	2	3	1	1	28	3.4	11	1	0	0	0	12	3.9
Basic fairness and impartiality	19	8	1	0	3	31	3.3	21	4	4	2	1	32	3.3	11	2	0	0	0	13	3.8
Equal treatment regardless of race	20	4	1	0	0	25	3.8	21	2	2	1	2	28	3.4	12	1	0	0	0	13	3.9
Equal treatment regardless of gender	20	5	1	0	0	26	3.7	21	2	4	1	1	29	3.4	12	1	0	0	0	13	3.9
Equal treatment regardless of religion	18	4	1	0	0	23	3.7	19	2	2	1	2	26	3.3	11	1	0	0	0	12	3.9
Equal treatment regardless of national origin	18	4	1	0	0	23	3.7	20	2	3	0	1	26	3.5	11	1	0	0	0	12	3.9
Equal treatment regardless of disability	19	2	1	0	0	22	3.8	19	3	3	1	0	26	3.5	11	1	0	0	0	12	3.9
Equal treatment regardless of age	21	3	1	0	0	25	3.8	20	3	3	2	1	29	3.3	12	1	0	0	0	13	3.9
Equal treatment regardless of sexual orientation	20	2	1	0	0	23	3.8	19	2	3	1	1	26	3.4	11	1	0	0	0	12	3.9
Equal treatment regardless of economic status	20	4	1	0	1	26	3.6	20	2	2	1	2	27	3.4	10	2	0	0	0	12	3.8
Section III: Communication Skills	21	4	2	0	1	28	3.6	19	4	4	2	2	30	3.2	11	2	0	0	0	13	3.8
Clear and logical communications																					
Clear and logical oral communications and directions	22	7	1	0	1	31	3.6														
Clear and logical written decisions	19	1	2	0	1	23	3.6														
Gave all parties an adequate opportunity to be heard	23	4	2	0	2	31	3.5														
Explained proceedings (to the jury)								19	4	4	3	0	30	3.3	11	2	0	0	0	13	3.8
Explained reason for delays								19	3	3	0	4	29	3.1	10	3	0	0	0	13	3.8
Clearly explained the juror's responsibilities															11	2	0	0	0	13	3.8
Section IV: Judicial temperament	23	5	2	0	1	30	3.6	23	3	3	1	1	31	3.4	12	1	0	0	0	13	3.9
Understanding and compassion	21	7	2	0	0	30	3.6	22	3	3	2	1	31	3.4	12	1	0	0	0	13	3.9
Dignified	22	7	1	0	0	30	3.7	23	3	4	0	1	31	3.5	12	1	0	0	0	13	3.9
Courteous	24	5	2	0	0	31	3.7	24	2	3	1	2	32	3.4	12	1	0	0	0	13	3.9
Conduct that promotes public confidence in the court	23	4	2	0	2	31	3.5	23	3	2	2	2	32	3.3	12	1	0	0	0	13	3.9
Patient	23	4	2	0	1	30	3.6	24	2	4	0	1	31	3.5	12	1	0	0	0	13	3.9
Section V: Administrative Performance	23	6	1	0	0	30	3.7	22	3	4	2	1	32	3.4	12	1	0	0	0	13	3.9
Punctual in conducting proceedings	23	6	2	0	0	31	3.7	22	3	4	2	1	32	3.3	12	1	0	0	0	13	3.9
Maintained proper control of courtroom	22	7	1	0	0	30	3.7	22	3	3	2	1	31	3.4	12	1	0	0	0	13	3.9
Prompt in making rulings and rendering decisions	23	5	1	0	0	29	3.8														
Was prepared for the proceedings	24	6	1	0	0	31	3.7	22	4	4	1	1	32	3.4	12	1	0	0	0	13	3.9
Respectful treatment of staff																					
Cooperation with peers																					
Efficient management of calendar	23	5	1	0	1	30	3.6														
Section VI: Settlement Activities	8	5	1	0	1	15	3.3														
Appropriately promoted or conducted settlement	8	5	1	0	1	15	3.3														

UN=Unacceptable, PO=Poor,
SA=Satisfactory, VG=Very Good,
SU=Superior

Category summaries are averages and may not add up due to rounding.

Surveys were distributed to court users from 02/2023 - 09/2023
Juror surveys from 9/2021 - 9/2023

ARIZONA COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL PERFORMANCE REVIEW

Superior Court

Name of Judge:	Total Surveys: 76					Assignment: CR/CV					Cycle: Retention Review							
Hon. Stacy L. Krueger	ATTORNEY					31	LIT/WIT/PRO PER					32	JUROR					13
	SU	VG	SA	PO	UN	Mean	SU	VG	SA	PO	UN	Mean	SU	VG	SA	PO	UN	Mean
Section I: Legal Ability	66%	22%	8%	1%	3%	3.5												
Legal reasoning ability	61%	23%	10%	0%	6%	3.3												
Knowledge of substantive law	58%	29%	6%	3%	3%	3.4												
Knowledge of rules of evidence	76%	17%	7%	0%	0%	3.7												
Knowledge of rules of procedure	68%	19%	10%	0%	3%	3.5												
Section II: Integrity	78%	16%	4%	0%	2%	3.7	72%	9%	10%	4%	4%	3.4	90%	10%	0%	0%	0%	3.9
Basic fairness and impartiality	61%	26%	3%	0%	10%	3.3	66%	13%	13%	6%	3%	3.3	85%	15%	0%	0%	0%	3.8
Equal treatment regardless of race	80%	16%	4%	0%	0%	3.8	75%	7%	7%	4%	7%	3.4	92%	8%	0%	0%	0%	3.9
Equal treatment regardless of gender	77%	19%	4%	0%	0%	3.7	72%	7%	14%	3%	3%	3.4	92%	8%	0%	0%	0%	3.9
Equal treatment regardless of religion	78%	17%	4%	0%	0%	3.7	73%	8%	8%	4%	8%	3.3	92%	8%	0%	0%	0%	3.9
Equal treatment regardless of national origin	78%	17%	4%	0%	0%	3.7	77%	8%	12%	0%	4%	3.5	92%	8%	0%	0%	0%	3.9
Equal treatment regardless of disability	86%	9%	5%	0%	0%	3.8	73%	12%	12%	4%	0%	3.5	92%	8%	0%	0%	0%	3.9
Equal treatment regardless of age	84%	12%	4%	0%	0%	3.8	69%	10%	10%	7%	3%	3.3	92%	8%	0%	0%	0%	3.9
Equal treatment regardless of sexual orientation	87%	9%	4%	0%	0%	3.8	73%	8%	12%	4%	4%	3.4	92%	8%	0%	0%	0%	3.9
Equal treatment regardless of economic status	77%	15%	4%	0%	4%	3.6	74%	7%	7%	4%	7%	3.4	83%	17%	0%	0%	0%	3.8
Section III: Communication Skills	75%	14%	6%	0%	5%	3.6	64%	12%	12%	5%	7%	3.2	82%	18%	0%	0%	0%	3.8
Clear and logical communications																		
Clear and logical oral communications and directions	71%	23%	3%	0%	3%	3.6												
Clear and logical written decisions	83%	4%	9%	0%	4%	3.6												
Gave all parties an adequate opportunity to be heard	74%	13%	6%	0%	6%	3.5												
Explained proceedings (to the jury)							63%	13%	13%	10%	0%	3.3	85%	15%	0%	0%	0%	3.8
Explained reason for delays							66%	10%	10%	0%	14%	3.1	77%	23%	0%	0%	0%	3.8
Clearly explained the juror's responsibilities													85%	15%	0%	0%	0%	3.8
Section IV: Judicial temperament	74%	18%	6%	0%	2%	3.6	74%	8%	10%	3%	4%	3.4	92%	8%	0%	0%	0%	3.9
Understanding and compassion	70%	23%	7%	0%	0%	3.6	71%	10%	10%	6%	3%	3.4	92%	8%	0%	0%	0%	3.9
Dignified	73%	23%	3%	0%	0%	3.7	74%	10%	13%	0%	3%	3.5	92%	8%	0%	0%	0%	3.9
Courteous	77%	16%	6%	0%	0%	3.7	75%	6%	9%	3%	6%	3.4	92%	8%	0%	0%	0%	3.9
Conduct that promotes public confidence in the court	74%	13%	6%	0%	6%	3.5	72%	9%	6%	6%	6%	3.3	92%	8%	0%	0%	0%	3.9
Patient	77%	13%	7%	0%	3%	3.6	77%	6%	13%	0%	3%	3.5	92%	8%	0%	0%	0%	3.9
Section V: Administrative Performance	76%	19%	4%	0%	1%	3.7	69%	11%	12%	5%	3%	3.4	92%	8%	0%	0%	0%	3.9
Punctual in conducting proceedings	74%	19%	6%	0%	0%	3.7	69%	9%	13%	6%	3%	3.3	92%	8%	0%	0%	0%	3.9
Maintained proper control of courtroom	73%	23%	3%	0%	0%	3.7	71%	10%	10%	6%	3%	3.4	92%	8%	0%	0%	0%	3.9
Prompt in making rulings and rendering decisions	79%	17%	3%	0%	0%	3.8												
Was prepared for the proceedings	77%	19%	3%	0%	0%	3.7	69%	13%	13%	3%	3%	3.4	92%	8%	0%	0%	0%	3.9
Respectful treatment of staff																		
Cooperation with peers																		
Efficient management of calendar	77%	17%	3%	0%	3%	3.6												
Section VI: Settlement Activities	53%	33%	7%	0%	7%	3.3												
Appropriately promoted or conducted settlement	53%	33%	7%	0%	7%	3.3												

UN=Unacceptable, PO=Poor,
 SA=Satisfactory, VG=Very Good,
 SU=Superior

Category summaries are averages and may not add up due to rounding.

Surveys were distributed to court
 users from 02/2023 - 09/2023
 Juror surveys from 9/2021 - 9/2023

ARIZONA COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL PERFORMANCE REVIEW

Superior Court

Name of Judge:	Total Surveys: 6					Fall 2023	
	PEER JUDGE					Total	Mean
Hon. Stacy L. Krueger	EX	VG	AC	PO	FA		
Section I: Legal Ability	4	0	0	0	0	4	5.0
Decisions based on law and facts	3	0	0	0	0	3	5.0
Knowledge of rules of evidence	5	0	0	0	0	5	5.0
Knowledge of rules of procedure	5	0	0	0	0	5	5.0
Section II: Integrity	5	0	0	0	0	5	5.0
Unbiased and impartial	5	0	0	0	0	5	5.0
Section III: Communication Skills	4	1	0	0	0	5	4.8
Communication skills	4	1	0	0	0	5	4.8
Section IV: Judicial temperament	5	0	0	0	0	5	5.0
Conduct that promotes public confidence in the court and judge's ability	5	0	0	0	0	5	5.0
Respectful to all individuals	5	0	0	0	0	5	5.0
Section V: Administrative Performance	4	1	0	0	0	4	4.9
Works effectively with other judges	3	1	0	0	0	4	4.8
Works effectively with court personnel	4	0	0	0	0	4	5.0
Efficient management of calendar	2	1	0	0	0	3	4.7
Does a fair share of work	5	0	0	0	0	5	5.0

FA=Failing, PO=Poor,
AC=Acceptable, VG=Very Good,
EX=Excellent

Category summaries are averages and may not add up due to rounding.

Surveys were distributed to court
users from 09/2023 - 11/2023

ARIZONA COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL PERFORMANCE REVIEW

Superior Court

Name of Judge:	Total Surveys: 6					Fall 2023
Hon. Stacy L. Krueger	PEER JUDGE					Mean
	EX	VG	AC	PO	FA	
Section I: Legal Ability	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	5.0
Decisions based on law and facts	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	5.0
Knowledge of rules of evidence	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	5.0
Knowledge of rules of procedure	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	5.0
Section II: Integrity	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	5.0
Unbiased and impartial	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	5.0
Section III: Communication Skills	80%	20%	0%	0%	0%	4.8
Communication skills	80%	20%	0%	0%	0%	4.8
Section IV: Judicial temperament	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	5.0
Conduct that promotes public confidence in the court and judge's ability	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	5.0
Respectful to all individuals	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	5.0
Section V: Administrative Performance	88%	13%	0%	0%	0%	4.9
Works effectively with other judges	75%	25%	0%	0%	0%	4.8
Works effectively with court personnel	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	5.0
Efficient management of calendar	67%	33%	0%	0%	0%	4.7
Does a fair share of work	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	5.0

FA=Failing, PO=Poor,
AC=Acceptable, VG=Very Good,
EX=Excellent

Category summaries are averages and may not add up due to rounding.

Surveys were distributed to court
users from 09/2023 - 11/2023