

Judges are evaluated by survey on their judicial performance midway through their term and prior to their retention election. Beginning in 2022, their survey evaluations include midterm and retention feedback. Newly appointed judges serve a two-year term and are evaluated prior to their retention election. The composite percentage table is an average of all evaluators who rated the judge "superior", "very good", or "satisfactory" in each of the Commission's evaluation categories. Some evaluators select "Can't Rate" on the questionnaire affecting the average response number within their respondent category. The JPR Commission votes whether a judge "Meets" or "Does Not Meet" Judicial Performance Standards, based on the survey information and any other information submitted by the public or the judge. Further information on the judges and justices can be found on each court's website.

**Commission on Judicial Performance Review  
Composite Percentage - Average of all Evaluation Categories**

**All Arizona Voters**

**Hon. Kathryn Hackett King**

<b>2024</b>		
<b>Evaluation Category</b>	Total Survey Responses	Average Percentage of Satisfactory or Above
Legal Ability	20	94%
Integrity	10	90%
Communication Skills	22	96%
Judicial Temperament	23	96%
Administrative Performance	18	94%

Responses based upon 40 returned survey questionnaires from a distribution of 165 questionnaires. Survey respondents may not necessarily answer all survey questions.

ARIZONA COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL PERFORMANCE REVIEW

Appellate Courts

Name of Judge:	Total Surveys: 34						Assignment: Appellate					Cycle: 2023 Retention Review									
	ATTORNEY					7	20	PEER JUDGE/JUSTICE					6	SUP COURT JUDGE					1		
Hon. Kathryn Hackett King	SU	VG	SA	PO	UN	Valid	Mean	SU	VG	SA	PO	UN	Total	Mean	SU	VG	SA	PO	UN	Total	Mean
<b>Section I: Legal Ability</b>	9	3	0	0	1	13	3.4	5	1	0	0	0	6	3.8	0	0	1	0	0	1	1.8
Legal reasoning ability	9	3	0	0	1	13	3.5	5	1	0	0	0	6	3.8	0	0	1	0	0	1	2.0
Knowledge of law	9	3	0	0	1	13	3.5	5	1	0	0	0	6	3.8	0	0	1	0	0	1	2.0
Decisions based on law and facts	8	4	0	0	1	13	3.4	5	1	0	0	0	6	3.8	0	0	1	0	0	1	2.0
Clearly written, legally supported decisions	9	3	0	0	1	13	3.5	5	1	0	0	0	6	3.8	0	0	0	1	0	1	1.0
<b>Section II: Integrity</b>	3	0	0	0	1	4	3.0	6	0	0	0	0	6	4.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0
Basic fairness and impartiality	5	0	0	0	1	6	3.3	6	0	0	0	0	6	4.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0
Equal treatment regardless of race	3	0	0	0	1	4	3.0	6	0	0	0	0	6	4.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0
Equal treatment regardless of gender	5	0	0	0	1	6	3.3	6	0	0	0	0	6	4.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0
Equal treatment regardless of religion	2	0	0	0	1	3	2.7	6	0	0	0	0	6	4.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0
Equal treatment regardless of national origin	2	0	0	0	1	3	2.7	6	0	0	0	0	6	4.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0
Equal treatment regardless of disability	2	0	0	0	1	3	2.7	6	0	0	0	0	6	4.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0
Equal treatment regardless of age	4	0	0	0	1	5	3.2	6	0	0	0	0	6	4.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0
Equal treatment regardless of sexual orientation	3	0	0	0	1	4	3.0	6	0	0	0	0	6	4.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0
Equal treatment regardless of economic status	2	0	0	0	1	3	2.7	6	0	0	0	0	6	4.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0
Written decision free from personal bias																					
<b>Section III: Communication Skills</b>	10	4	2	1	0	16	3.4	6	0	0	0	0	6	4.0							
Attentiveness	14	7	2	1	0	24	3.4	6	0	0	0	0	6	4.0							
Demeanor in communications with counsel	11	2	2	1	0	16	3.4														
Appropriate restrictions on counsel during argument	4	0	0	0	0	4	4.0	6	0	0	0	0	6	4.0							
Relevant questions	11	7	3	1	0	22	3.3	6	0	0	0	0	6	4.0							
Preparation for oral argument	8	3	4	1	0	16	3.1														
Clear and logical communications																					
<b>Section IV: Judicial temperament</b>	12	3	2	1	0	17	3.5	6	0	0	0	0	6	4.0							
Understanding and compassion																					
Dignified	13	3	1	1	0	18	3.6	6	0	0	0	0	6	4.0							
Courteous	12	3	1	1	0	17	3.5	6	0	0	0	0	6	4.0							
Patient	12	2	2	1	0	17	3.5	6	0	0	0	0	6	4.0							
Conduct that promotes public confidence in the court	12	2	2	1	0	17	3.5	6	0	0	0	0	6	4.0							
<b>Section V: Administrative Performance</b>	7	2	2	0	1	12	3.2	6	0	0	0	0	6	3.9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0
Punctual in conducting proceedings																					
Maintains proper control over courtroom																					
Prepared for proceedings								6	0	0	0	0	6	4.0							
Respectful treatment of staff																					
Cooperation with peers																					
Cooperation with staff																					
Efficient management of calendar																					
Promptness in making rulings and rendering decisions	7	2	2	0	1	12	3.2	5	1	0	0	0	6	3.8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0
Works effectively with other judges								6	0	0	0	0	6	4.0							
Works effectively with other court personnel								6	0	0	0	0	6	4.0							
Effective handling of incoming e-mail								5	1	0	0	0	6	3.8							

SA=Satisfactory, VG=Very Good, SU=Superior

Category summaries are averages and may not add up due to rounding.

Surveys were distributed to court users from 09/2020 - 09/2023

ARIZONA COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL PERFORMANCE REVIEW

Appellate Courts

Name of Judge:	Total Surveys: 34					7	20	Assignment: Appellate					6	Cycle: 2023 Retention Review					1
	ATTORNEY							PEER JUDGE/JUSTICE						SUP COURT JUDGE					
	SU	VG	SA	PO	UN			Mean	SU	VG	SA	PO		UN	Mean	SU	VG	SA	
<b>Hon. Kathryn Hackett King</b>																			
<b>Section I: Legal Ability</b>	67%	25%	0%	0%	8%	3.4		83%	17%	0%	0%	0%	3.8	0%	0%	75%	25%	0%	1.8
Legal reasoning ability	69%	23%	0%	0%	8%	3.5		83%	17%	0%	0%	0%	3.8	0%	0%	100%	0%	0%	2.0
Knowledge of law	69%	23%	0%	0%	8%	3.5		83%	17%	0%	0%	0%	3.8	0%	0%	100%	0%	0%	2.0
Decisions based on law and facts	62%	31%	0%	0%	8%	3.4		83%	17%	0%	0%	0%	3.8	0%	0%	100%	0%	0%	2.0
Clearly written, legally supported decisions	69%	23%	0%	0%	8%	3.5		83%	17%	0%	0%	0%	3.8	0%	0%	0%	100%	0%	1.0
<b>Section II: Integrity</b>	76%	0%	0%	0%	24%	3.0		100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	4.0	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0.0
Basic fairness and impartiality	83%	0%	0%	0%	17%	3.3		100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	4.0	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0.0
Equal treatment regardless of race	75%	0%	0%	0%	25%	3.0		100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	4.0	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0.0
Equal treatment regardless of gender	83%	0%	0%	0%	17%	3.3		100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	4.0	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0.0
Equal treatment regardless of religion	67%	0%	0%	0%	33%	2.7		100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	4.0	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0.0
Equal treatment regardless of national origin	67%	0%	0%	0%	33%	2.7		100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	4.0	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0.0
Equal treatment regardless of disability	67%	0%	0%	0%	33%	2.7		100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	4.0	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0.0
Equal treatment regardless of age	80%	0%	0%	0%	20%	3.2		100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	4.0	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0.0
Equal treatment regardless of sexual orientation	75%	0%	0%	0%	25%	3.0		100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	4.0	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0.0
Equal treatment regardless of economic status	67%	0%	0%	0%	33%	2.7		100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	4.0	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0.0
Written decision free from personal bias																			
<b>Section III: Communication Skills</b>	59%	23%	13%	5%	0%	3.4		100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	4.0						
Attentiveness	58%	29%	8%	4%	0%	3.4		100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	4.0						
Demeanor in communications with counsel	69%	13%	13%	6%	0%	3.4													
Appropriate restrictions on counsel during argument	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	4.0		100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	4.0						
Relevant questions	50%	32%	14%	5%	0%	3.3		100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	4.0						
Preparation for oral argument	50%	19%	25%	6%	0%	3.1													
Clear and logical communications																			
<b>Section IV: Judicial temperament</b>	71%	14%	9%	6%	0%	3.5		100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	4.0						
Understanding and compassion																			
Dignified	72%	17%	6%	6%	0%	3.6		100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	4.0						
Courteous	71%	18%	6%	6%	0%	3.5		100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	4.0						
Patient	71%	12%	12%	6%	0%	3.5		100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	4.0						
Conduct that promotes public confidence in the court	71%	12%	12%	6%	0%	3.5		100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	4.0						
<b>Section V: Administrative Performance</b>	58%	17%	17%	0%	8%	3.2		93%	7%	0%	0%	0%	3.9	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0.0
Punctual in conducting proceedings																			
Maintains proper control over courtroom																			
Prepared for proceedings								100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	4.0						
Respectful treatment of staff																			
Cooperation with peers																			
Cooperation with staff																			
Efficient management of calendar																			
Promptness in making rulings and rendering decisions	58%	17%	17%	0%	8%	3.2		83%	17%	0%	0%	0%	3.8	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0.0
Works effectively with other judges								100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	4.0						
Works effectively with other court personnel								100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	4.0						
Effective handling of ongoing workload								83%	17%	0%	0%	0%	3.8						

UN=Unacceptable, PO=Poor,  
SA=Satisfactory, VG=Very Good,  
SU=Superior

Category summaries are averages and may not add up due to rounding.

Surveys were distributed to court users from 09/2020 - 09/2023