

Judges are evaluated by survey on their judicial performance midway through their term and prior to their retention election. Beginning in 2022, their survey evaluations include midterm and retention feedback. Newly appointed judges serve a two-year term and are evaluated prior to their retention election. The composite percentage table is an average of all evaluators who rated the judge "superior", "very good", or "satisfactory" in each of the Commission's evaluation categories. Some evaluators select "Can't Rate" on the questionnaire affecting the average response number within their respondent category. The JPR Commission votes whether a judge "Meets" or "Does Not Meet" Judicial Performance Standards, based on the survey information and any other information submitted by the public or the judge. Further information on the judges and justices can be found on each court's website.

**Commission on Judicial Performance Review  
Composite Percentage - Average of all Evaluation Categories**

**Superior Court in Pima County**

**Hon. Danielle J. K. Constant**

<b>2024</b>		
<b>Evaluation Category</b>	Total Survey Responses	Average Percentage of Satisfactory or Above
Legal Ability	19	84%
Integrity	35	94%
Communication Skills	39	92%
Judicial Temperament	45	90%
Administrative Performance	42	95%
Settlement Activities	13	85%

Responses based upon 46 returned survey questionnaires from a distribution of 374 questionnaires. Survey respondents may not necessarily answer all survey questions.

ARIZONA COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL PERFORMANCE REVIEW

Superior Court

Name of Judge:	Total Surveys: 43					Assignment: Family					Cycle: Retention Review														
Hon. Danielle J. K. Constant	ATTORNEY					LIT/WIT/PRO PER					JUROR														
	SU	VG	SA	PO	UN	19	Resp	Mean	SU	VG	SA	PO	UN	24	Resp	Mean	SU	VG	SA	PO	UN	0	Resp	Mean	
<b>Section I: Legal Ability</b>	4	7	3	3	0	16	2.7																		
Legal reasoning ability	4	7	3	3	0	17	2.7																		
Knowledge of substantive law	4	7	2	3	1	17	2.6																		
Knowledge of rules of evidence	3	7	2	2	0	14	2.8																		
Knowledge of rules of procedure	3	7	3	3	0	16	2.6																		
<b>Section II: Integrity</b>	5	5	1	1	0	12	3.1	14	3	2	0	0	0	20	3.5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0.0
Basic fairness and impartiality	5	7	3	2	1	18	2.7	15	5	2	1	1	1	24	3.3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0.0
Equal treatment regardless of race	5	5	1	1	0	12	3.2	12	3	2	0	0	0	17	3.6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0.0
Equal treatment regardless of gender	6	6	1	3	0	16	2.9	14	5	2	1	1	1	23	3.3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0.0
Equal treatment regardless of religion	5	4	1	0	0	10	3.4	12	4	2	0	0	0	18	3.6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0.0
Equal treatment regardless of national origin	5	5	0	1	0	11	3.3	13	3	2	0	0	0	18	3.6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0.0
Equal treatment regardless of disability	5	4	0	0	0	9	3.6	13	3	2	0	0	0	18	3.6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0.0
Equal treatment regardless of age	5	6	0	1	0	12	3.3	16	3	2	0	0	0	21	3.7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0.0
Equal treatment regardless of sexual orientation	5	5	0	0	0	10	3.5	14	2	2	0	0	0	18	3.7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0.0
Equal treatment regardless of economic status	5	5	0	3	0	13	2.9	15	3	1	1	1	1	21	3.4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0.0
<b>Section III: Communication Skills</b>	4	8	2	2	1	17	2.7	12	5	3	0	0	0	19	3.5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0.0
Clear and logical communications																									
Clear and logical oral communications and directions	4	8	2	3	1	18	2.6																		
Clear and logical written decisions	4	8	2	2	0	16	2.9																		
Gave all parties an adequate opportunity to be heard	4	7	3	2	2	18	2.5																		
Explained proceedings (to the jury)								15	5	2	0	0	0	22	3.6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0.0
Explained reason for delays								9	4	3	0	0	0	16	3.4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0.0
Clearly explained the juror's responsibilities																0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0.0
<b>Section IV: Judicial temperament</b>	7	6	2	1	1	18	2.9	16	5	1	0	1	1	24	3.4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0.0
Understanding and compassion	7	5	2	2	1	17	2.9	16	5	1	0	2	2	24	3.4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0.0
Dignified	7	5	5	0	1	18	2.9	16	6	1	0	1	1	24	3.5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0.0
Courteous	7	7	1	2	1	18	2.9	16	5	1	1	1	1	24	3.4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0.0
Conduct that promotes public confidence in the court	6	7	1	1	3	18	2.7	17	4	1	0	2	2	24	3.4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0.0
Patient	7	6	2	2	1	18	2.9	14	5	3	1	0	0	23	3.4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0.0
<b>Section V: Administrative Performance</b>	5	8	3	1	0	17	3.0	16	4	2	1	0	0	22	3.5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0.0
Punctual in conducting proceedings	5	10	3	0	0	18	3.1	16	3	3	0	0	0	22	3.6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0.0
Maintained proper control of courtroom	5	7	5	1	0	18	2.9	16	4	1	1	0	0	22	3.6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0.0
Prompt in making rulings and rendering decisions	5	8	2	1	0	16	3.1																		
Was prepared for the proceedings	5	9	3	1	0	18	3.0	15	4	1	2	0	0	22	3.5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0.0
Respectful treatment of staff																									
Cooperation with peers																									
Efficient management of calendar	5	7	3	2	0	17	2.9																		
<b>Section VI: Settlement Activities</b>	5	6	0	1	1	13	3.0																		
Appropriately promoted or conducted settlement	5	6	0	1	1	13	3.0																		

UN=Unacceptable, PO=Poor,  
SA=Satisfactory, VG=Very Good,  
SU=Superior

Category summaries are averages and may not add up due to rounding.

Surveys were distributed to court  
users from 2/2023 -11/2023  
Juror Surveys from 9/2021-11/2023

ARIZONA COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL PERFORMANCE REVIEW

Superior Court

Name of Judge:	Total Surveys: 43						Assignment: Family					Cycle: Retention Review							
	ATTORNEY					19	LIT/WIT/PRO PER					24	JUROR					0	
Hon. Danielle J. K. Constant	SU	VG	SA	PO	UN	Mean	SU	VG	SA	PO	UN	Mean	SU	VG	SA	PO	UN	Mean	
<b>Section I: Legal Ability</b>	<b>22%</b>	<b>44%</b>	<b>16%</b>	<b>17%</b>	<b>2%</b>	<b>2.7</b>													
Legal reasoning ability	24%	41%	18%	18%	0%	2.7													
Knowledge of substantive law	24%	41%	12%	18%	6%	2.6													
Knowledge of rules of evidence	21%	50%	14%	14%	0%	2.8													
Knowledge of rules of procedure	19%	44%	19%	19%	0%	2.6													
<b>Section II: Integrity</b>	<b>41%</b>	<b>42%</b>	<b>5%</b>	<b>10%</b>	<b>1%</b>	<b>3.1</b>	<b>70%</b>	<b>17%</b>	<b>10%</b>	<b>2%</b>	<b>2%</b>	<b>3.5</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>0.0</b>	
Basic fairness and impartiality	28%	39%	17%	11%	6%	2.7	63%	21%	8%	4%	4%	3.3	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0.0	
Equal treatment regardless of race	42%	42%	8%	8%	0%	3.2	71%	18%	12%	0%	0%	3.6	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0.0	
Equal treatment regardless of gender	38%	38%	6%	19%	0%	2.9	61%	22%	9%	4%	4%	3.3	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0.0	
Equal treatment regardless of religion	50%	40%	10%	0%	0%	3.4	67%	22%	11%	0%	0%	3.6	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0.0	
Equal treatment regardless of national origin	45%	45%	0%	9%	0%	3.3	72%	17%	11%	0%	0%	3.6	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0.0	
Equal treatment regardless of disability	56%	44%	0%	0%	0%	3.6	72%	17%	11%	0%	0%	3.6	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0.0	
Equal treatment regardless of age	42%	50%	0%	8%	0%	3.3	76%	14%	10%	0%	0%	3.7	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0.0	
Equal treatment regardless of sexual orientation	50%	50%	0%	0%	0%	3.5	78%	11%	11%	0%	0%	3.7	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0.0	
Equal treatment regardless of economic status	38%	38%	0%	23%	0%	2.9	71%	14%	5%	5%	5%	3.4	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0.0	
<b>Section III: Communication Skills</b>	<b>23%</b>	<b>44%</b>	<b>13%</b>	<b>13%</b>	<b>6%</b>	<b>2.7</b>	<b>63%</b>	<b>24%</b>	<b>13%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>3.5</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>0.0</b>	
Clear and logical communications																			
Clear and logical oral communications and directions	22%	44%	11%	17%	6%	2.6													
Clear and logical written decisions	25%	50%	13%	13%	0%	2.9													
Gave all parties an adequate opportunity to be heard	22%	39%	17%	11%	11%	2.5													
Explained proceedings (to the jury)							68%	23%	9%	0%	0%	3.6	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0.0	
Explained reason for delays							56%	25%	19%	0%	0%	3.4	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0.0	
Clearly explained the juror's responsibilities													0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0.0	
<b>Section IV: Judicial temperament</b>	<b>38%</b>	<b>34%</b>	<b>12%</b>	<b>8%</b>	<b>8%</b>	<b>2.9</b>	<b>66%</b>	<b>21%</b>	<b>6%</b>	<b>2%</b>	<b>5%</b>	<b>3.4</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>0.0</b>	
Understanding and compassion	41%	29%	12%	12%	6%	2.9	67%	21%	4%	0%	8%	3.4	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0.0	
Dignified	39%	28%	28%	0%	6%	2.9	67%	25%	4%	0%	4%	3.5	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0.0	
Courteous	39%	39%	6%	11%	6%	2.9	67%	21%	4%	4%	4%	3.4	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0.0	
Conduct that promotes public confidence in the court	33%	39%	6%	6%	17%	2.7	71%	17%	4%	0%	8%	3.4	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0.0	
Patient	39%	33%	11%	11%	6%	2.9	61%	22%	13%	4%	0%	3.4	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0.0	
<b>Section V: Administrative Performance</b>	<b>29%</b>	<b>47%</b>	<b>18%</b>	<b>6%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>3.0</b>	<b>71%</b>	<b>17%</b>	<b>8%</b>	<b>5%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>3.5</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>0.0</b>	
Punctual in conducting proceedings	28%	56%	17%	0%	0%	3.1	73%	14%	14%	0%	0%	3.6	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0.0	
Maintained proper control of courtroom	28%	39%	28%	6%	0%	2.9	73%	18%	5%	5%	0%	3.6	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0.0	
Prompt in making rulings and rendering decisions	31%	50%	13%	6%	0%	3.1													
Was prepared for the proceedings	28%	50%	17%	6%	0%	3.0	68%	18%	5%	9%	0%	3.5	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0.0	
Respectful treatment of staff																			
Cooperation with peers																			
Efficient management of calendar	29%	41%	18%	12%	0%	2.9													
<b>Section VI: Settlement Activities</b>	<b>38%</b>	<b>46%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>8%</b>	<b>8%</b>	<b>3.0</b>													
Appropriately promoted or conducted settlement	38%	46%	0%	8%	8%	3.0													

UN=Unacceptable, PO=Poor,  
 SA=Satisfactory, VG=Very Good,  
 SU=Superior

Category summaries are averages and may not add up due to rounding.

Surveys were distributed to court  
 users from 2/2023 -11/2023  
 Juror Surveys from 9/2021-11/2023

ARIZONA COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL PERFORMANCE REVIEW

Superior Court

Name of Judge:	Total Surveys: 3					Fall 2023	
	PEER JUDGE					Total	Mean
Hon. Danielle J. K. Constant	EX	VG	AC	PO	FA		
<b>Section I: Legal Ability</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>4.6</b>
Decisions based on law and facts	3	0	0	0	0	3	5.0
Knowledge of rules of evidence	1	2	0	0	0	3	4.3
Knowledge of rules of procedure	1	2	0	0	0	3	4.3
<b>Section II: Integrity</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>5.0</b>
Unbiased and impartial	3	0	0	0	0	3	5.0
<b>Section III: Communication Skills</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>4.3</b>
Communication skills	1	2	0	0	0	3	4.3
<b>Section IV: Judicial temperament</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>4.8</b>
Conduct that promotes public confidence in the court and judge's ability	2	1	0	0	0	3	4.7
Respectful to all individuals	3	0	0	0	0	3	5.0
<b>Section V: Administrative Performance</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>4.8</b>
Works effectively with other judges	3	0	0	0	0	3	5.0
Works effectively with court personnel	3	0	0	0	0	3	5.0
Efficient management of calendar	2	1	0	0	0	3	4.7
Does a fair share of work	2	1	0	0	0	3	4.7

FA=Failing, PO=Poor,  
AC=Acceptable, VG=Very Good,  
EX=Excellent

Category summaries are averages and may not add up due to rounding.

Surveys were distributed to court  
users from 09/2023 - 11/2023

ARIZONA COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL PERFORMANCE REVIEW

Superior Court

Name of Judge:	Total Surveys: 3					Fall 2023
Hon. Danielle J. K. Constant	PEER JUDGE					Mean
	EX	VG	AC	PO	FA	
<b>Section I: Legal Ability</b>	<b>56%</b>	<b>44%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>4.6</b>
Decisions based on law and facts	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	5.0
Knowledge of rules of evidence	33%	67%	0%	0%	0%	4.3
Knowledge of rules of procedure	33%	67%	0%	0%	0%	4.3
<b>Section II: Integrity</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>5.0</b>
Unbiased and impartial	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	5.0
<b>Section III: Communication Skills</b>	<b>33%</b>	<b>67%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>4.3</b>
Communication skills	33%	67%	0%	0%	0%	4.3
<b>Section IV: Judicial temperament</b>	<b>83%</b>	<b>17%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>4.8</b>
Conduct that promotes public confidence in the court and judge's ability	67%	33%	0%	0%	0%	4.7
Respectful to all individuals	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	5.0
<b>Section V: Administrative Performance</b>	<b>83%</b>	<b>17%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>4.8</b>
Works effectively with other judges	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	5.0
Works effectively with court personnel	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	5.0
Efficient management of calendar	67%	33%	0%	0%	0%	4.7
Does a fair share of work	67%	33%	0%	0%	0%	4.7

FA=Failing, PO=Poor,  
AC=Acceptable, VG=Very Good,  
EX=Excellent

Category summaries are averages and may not add up due to rounding.

Surveys were distributed to court users from 09/2023 - 11/2023